



#### Adobe Acrobat Reader 5.0

### **Finding Words**

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

### To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

#### To find the next occurrence of the word:

Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again

Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

# Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



### To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following:

To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command.

- 2. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.
- 3. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard
  In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the
  Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose
  Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows
  Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[There is no reportable action as a result of the
2	Board of Supervisors' closed session held today.]
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY, THE FEBRUARY 28TH MEETING OF THE
7	LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL BEGIN. FIRST,
8	WE'RE GOING TO BE LED IN PRAYER BY FATHER FRANCISCO BORONAT OF
9	ST. LUCY'S CATHOLIC CHURCH IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. OUR PLEDGE
10	OF ALLEGIANCE WILL BE BY ROGELIO LOYOLA, RETIRED, UNITED
11	STATES NAVY. IF THE AUDIENCE WILL PLEASE RISE AND FATHER?
12	
13	FATHER FRANCISCO BORONAT: HEAVENLY FATHER, WE HUMBLY REQUEST
14	YOUR PRESENCE, YOUR SPIRIT IN THE HALL OF ADMINISTRATION AS
15	SUPERVISORS MEET AND MAKE DECISIONS FOR THE VAST COUNTY OF LOS
16	ANGELES. BE WITH THEM WHEN THEY WILL HAVE TO TALK, DISCUSS AND
17	DECIDE SUCH ISSUES OF THE VALUE OF HUMAN LIFE, VIOLENCE IN THE
18	STREETS, VIOLENCE IN OUR JAILS, RACIAL PROBLEMS, MURDERS AMONG
19	THE STREET GANGS, LA VIDA NO VALE NADA. AS SOMEONE SAID, CAN
20	WE ALL GET ALONG? MAYBE WE CAN. AS THEIR DAILY LIFE COMING
21	FROM YOU, BE WITH THE SUPERVISORS HERE PRESENT IN THIS HALL
22	WHERE THEY GET TO DECIDE ON PRIORITIES. WE HUMBLY BEG OF YOUR
23	PRESENCE AND ASSISTANCE IN MAKING DECISIONS, AS KING SOLOMON
24	DID WHEN HE SAID, "I SAVE YOU IN THE MOST IN THE MIDST OF
25	THE PEOPLE WHOM YOU HAVE CHOSEN, A PEOPLE SO VAST THAT IT



- 1 CANNOT BE NUMBERED NOR COUNTED. BE THEIR SERVANT THEREFORE AN
- 2 UNDERSTANDING PART TO JUDGE YOUR PEOPLE AND TO DISTINGUISH
- 3 RIGHT FROM WRONG FOR WHO IS ABLE TO GOVERN THESE VAST PEOPLE
- 4 OF YOURS. HEAVENLY FATHER, WE THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY IN
- 5 THIS COUNTY AND COUNTRY TO ASSEMBLE OURSELVES TO VOICE OUR
- 6 CONCERNS AS CITIZENS AND THE WISDOM OF OUR SUPERVISORS TO
- 7 CARRY OUT THEIR DECISION WITHIN YOUR WILL. GOD BLESS.

8

- 9 ROGELIO LOYOLA: PLEASE FACE THE FLAG AND JOIN ME IN RECITING
- 10 THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE ]

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: FIRST OF ALL, LET ME THANK AND WELCOME FATHER
- 15 BORONAT AGAIN. HE SERVES AS OUR PASTOR AT ST. LUCY'S CATHOLIC
- 16 CHURCH HERE IN THE CITY TERRACE AREA. HE WAS BORN IN SPAIN,
- 17 JOINED THE PRIESTHOOD IN 1954 AND BEGAN HIS ASSIGNMENT IN
- 18 CUBA, WHERE HE SERVED UNTIL, OF COURSE, THE POLITICAL CHANGES
- 19 BROUGHT HIM TO FLORIDA. AFTER ASSIGNMENTS IN FLORIDA AND NEW
- 20 YORK, FATHER BORONAT CAME TO CALIFORNIA IN 1964. HE WORKED
- 21 TOWARD HIS MASTER'S DEGREE, OBTAINED HIS TEACHING CREDENTIALS,
- 22 TAUGHT ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING, THEN JOINED ST. LUCY'S
- 23 MISSION IN 1979. HE AND OTHER COMMUNITY LEADERS HAVE
- 24 SUCCESSFULLY PETITIONED THE ARCHDIOCESE TO ALLOW ST. LUCY'S TO
- 25 BECOME A PARISH WHERE HE CONTINUES TO PROUDLY SERVE TODAY.



- 1 FATHER BORONAT IS ONE OF THE PEOPLE THAT WE ALSO HAIL AS ONE
- 2 OF OUR COMMUNITY LEADER, NOT ONLY DOES HE INSPIRE US ON A
- 3 REGULAR BASIS BUT HE ACTIVELY PARTICIPATES IN THE COMMUNITY TO
- 4 MAKE IT A COMMUNITY THAT WE'RE ALL VERY PROUD OF, SO WE
- 5 APPRECIATE ALL THAT YOU DO. THANK YOU SO MUCH, SIR. IT'S MY
- 6 HONOR. [ APPLAUSE ]

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: THIS MORNING, I'M ALSO HONORED TO MAKE A
- 9 PRESENTATION TO ROGELIO LOYOLA. HE HAS SERVED IN THE NAVAL AIR
- 10 FORCE COMMAND, THE ATLANTIC FLEET IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY
- 11 FROM 1975 TO 2004. HE SAW BATTLE IN THE PERSIAN GULF AND WAS
- 12 DECORATED WITH A SOUTHWEST ASIAN MEDAL, A KUWAITI LIBERATION
- 13 MEDAL, A UNITED STATES NAVY GOOD CONDUCT MEDAL, A UNITED
- 14 STATES NAVY COMMENDATION NAVY MEDAL AND A U.S. NAVY
- 15 MERITORIOUS SERVICE MEDAL AS WELL. MR. LOYOLA IS MARRIED, HE
- 16 HAS FOUR CHILDREN. HE IS A RESIDENT OF OUR WALNUT COMMUNITY
- 17 AND WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST APPRECIATION FOR JOINING US
- 18 HERE AND LEADING US IN OUR PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE BUT ALSO IN
- 19 PROVIDING SERVICE TO OUR COUNTRY. THANK YOU, SIR. [ APPLAUSE ]

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WE'LL BEGIN THE AGENDA.



- 1 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WE WILL
- 2 BEGIN ON PAGE 6, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 3 AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ITEM 1-H.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 6 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: WE WILL HOLD THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS 1
- 9 THROUGH 4 FOR THE MOMENT. AND, ON PAGE 8, ADMINISTRATIVE
- 10 MATTERS, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 5 THROUGH 14. ON ITEM 5,
- 11 THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 12 REFERRED BACK TO HIS OFFICE. ITEM 6, SUPERVISOR KNABE IS
- 13 REQUESTING A TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE. AND THE REST OF THE ITEMS
- 14 ARE BEFORE YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

19 **CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO:** CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEM 15.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24 **CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO:** HEALTH SERVICES, ITEM 16.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEM 17.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 18 AND 19. WE HAVE A
- 10 REQUEST BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY TO HOLD ITEM 18, AND 19 IS
- 11 BEFORE YOU.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 16 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: ORDINANCES FOR INTRODUCTION, ITEMS 20
- 17 THROUGH 22. I WILL READ THE SHORT TITLES INTO THE RECORD. ITEM
- 18 20, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 2, ADMINISTRATION; TITLE 8,
- 19 CONSUMER PROTECTION AND BUSINESS REGULATIONS; TITLE 10,
- 20 ANIMALS; TITLE 11, HEALTH AND SAFETY; TITLE 12, ENVIRONMENTAL
- 21 PROTECTION; AND TITLE 20, UTILITIES OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 22 CODE TO CREATE THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE OFFICE
- 23 OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH. THIS ITEM WILL BE HELD FOR
- 24 DISCUSSION WITH ITEM S-1. ITEM 21, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE
- 25 6, SALARIES OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE RELATING TO THE



- 1 CREATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. THIS ITEM WILL
- 2 ALSO BE HELD WITH ITEM S-1. ITEM 22, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE
- 3 LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE, TITLE 1, GENERAL PROVISIONS, SECTION
- 4 1.25.040 AND TITLE 2, ADMINISTRATION, TO ADD CHAPTER 2.175
- 5 POST-GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT AND LOBBYING OF COUNTY OFFICIALS.
- 6 WE HAVE A REQUEST TO HOLD THIS ITEM FOR A MEMBER OF THE
- 7 PUBLIC.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON THE REMAINDER, MOTION BY KNABE.
- 10 SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: SO, ACTUALLY, ITEMS 20 THROUGH 22 WILL
- 13 ALL BE HELD. ITEM 23, MISCELLANEOUS, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA
- 14 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 15 OFFICER WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE
- 16 MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ON
- 17 ITEM 23-A, THAT ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 20 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

22 **CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO:** ITEM 23-B.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 25 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



1

- 2 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: ON ITEM 23-C, I WILL READ THE ORDINANCE
- 3 INTO THE RECORD. IT'S AN INTERIM URGENCY ORDINANCE TEMPORARILY
- 4 REOUIRING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR MULTI FAMILY
- 5 CONSTRUCTION IN THE R-3 ZONED PROPERTIES AS DEFINED IN THE
- 6 ORDINANCE DECLARING THE URGENCY THEREOF AND THAT THE ORDINANCE
- 7 SHALL TAKE IMMEDIATE EFFECT. WE HAVE A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER
- 8 OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ITEM 23-D, WE HAVE A REQUEST
- 9 FROM SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 10 ITEM. THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA. BOARD OF
- 11 SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT
- 12 NUMBER 4.

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME FIRST HAVE A-- REPRESENT TO THE
- 15 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS A NEW CONSUL-GENERAL. THIS MORNING, WE
- 16 HAVE THE PLEASURE OF WELCOMING TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY THE NEW
- 17 CONSUL-GENERAL FOR BULGARIA, AND THAT'S THE HONORABLE IVO
- 18 MOUSKOUROV, WHO IS A NATIVE OF BULGARIA. HE RECEIVED HIS
- 19 DEGREE IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS FROM THE HIGHER SCHOOL OF
- 20 ECONOMICS IN SOPHIA, BULGARIA, GRADUATING WITH HONORS. PRIOR
- 21 TO COMING TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY, HE WAS HEAD OF THE EURO
- 22 ATLANTIC COOPERATION AND THE REGIONAL SECURITY DEPARTMENT FOR
- 23 N.A.T.O. AS CONSUL-GENERAL FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY, HIS
- 24 DISTRICT WILL INCLUDE 11 STATES WITHIN THE UNITED STATES,
- 25 INCLUDING ALASKA AND HAWAII. HE SPEAKS FRENCH, ENGLISH,



- 1 RUSSIAN AND DUTCH AND WE WELCOME YOU TO OUR COUNTY. WE LOOK
- 2 FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU AND HELPING YOU WITH YOUR
- 3 RESPONSIBILITIES AND GIVE YOU THIS PROCLAMATION ON BEHALF OF
- 4 THE BOARD. WELCOME. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 6 THE HONORABLE IVO MOUSKOUROV: DEAR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
- 7 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT'S A PARTICULAR
- 8 PRIVILEGE AND HONOR FOR ME TO BE HERE TODAY. THANK YOU VERY
- 9 MUCH FOR YOUR KIND INVITATION. AT THE SAME TIME, IT IS INDEED
- 10 AN EXCELLENT WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY FOR ME TO BRIEFLY INTRODUCE
- 11 TO ALL OF YOU MY BEAUTIFUL COUNTRY, THE REPUBLIC OF BULGARIA,
- 12 AS WELL AS ITS PEOPLE. I'LL START WITH A QUOTATION. "I AM
- 13 PROUD THAT I AM OF BULGARIAN DESCENT AND THAT MY GRANDFATHER
- 14 IS A BULGARIAN, " SAID THE YOUNG AMERICAN. "I AM PROUD OF MY
- 15 BULGARIAN PEOPLE," HIS GRANDFATHER HAS DECLARED IN A LOUD
- 16 VOICE MORE THAN 30 YEARS AGO. THAT WAS JOHN VINCENT TATON
- 17 ASOV, THE MAN WHO DISCOVERED THE DIGITAL ELECTRONIC
- 18 COMPUTATION AND IS NOW OFFICIALLY RECOGNIZED TO BE THE FATHER
- 19 OF WHAT IS CALLED TODAY THE MODERN COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY.
- 20 PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH HAD THIS TO SAY, "PROFESSOR JONATHAN
- 21 ASOV, WHO HAS ALWAYS STRESSED HIS BULGARIAN ORIGIN AND TOOK
- 22 PRIDE OF IT, IS AN EXAMPLE TO ALL WHO ARE GIVING THEIR
- 23 STRENGTH AND THEIR ABILITIES IN THE NAME OF SCIENCE AND THE
- 24 PROGRESS OF MANKIND. BULGARIANS STILL HAVE A ROLE TO PLAY IN
- 25 BOTH AMERICAN SOCIETY AND ECONOMY. BULGARIAN PROFESSORS TEACH



- 1 IN U.C.L.A. IN STANFORD, BULGARIANS ARE THE FOURTH BIGGEST
- 2 GROUP OF INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS IN HARVARD. BULGARIAN
- 3 ENTREPRENEURS IN THE UNITED STATES HAVE ESTABLISHED A NUMBER
- 4 OF SUCCESSFUL HIGH TECH START-UPS, LARGE COMPANIES, LIKE--
- 5 PROVIDING EMPLOYMENT. BULGARIANS GRADUATED FROM U.S.
- 6 UNIVERSITIES, WORK IN LARGE COMPANIES LIKE INTEL, GENERAL
- 7 ELECTRIC, MICROSOFT, NORTHROP GRUMMAN AND N.A.S.A., TO NAME
- 8 JUST A FEW. ACCORDING TO ROUGH ESTIMATES, SOME 200 TO 250,000
- 9 NATIVE BULGARIANS NOW LIVE IN AMERICA, INCLUDING MORE THAN
- 10 20,000 IN THE L.A. COUNTY AREA ALONE. GIVEN THE SMALL
- 11 POPULATION OF BULGARIA OF JUST OVER 7.5 MILLION, THIS IS A
- 12 RATHER SIGNIFICANT COMMUNITY INDEED. KEEPING ALIVE THE BALANCE
- 13 OF FELLOW BULGARIANS WITH THEIR COUNTRY OF ORIGIN IS ONLY A
- 14 PART, ALTHOUGH A VERY IMPORTANT ONE, OF OUR WORK AS LOS
- 15 ANGELES CONSULATE GENERAL. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE ARE SENT HERE
- 16 TO BUILD BRIDGES THROUGH MAINTAINING POLITICAL, DEEPENING
- 17 CULTURAL AND PROMOTING ECONOMIC RELATIONS BETWEEN BULGARIA AND
- 18 THE UNITED STATES WITH A PARTICULAR EMPHASIS FOR THE LATTER,
- 19 ON BOTH THE SILICON VALLEY AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WITH ITS
- 20 MORE THAN 13TH CENTURY OLD HISTORY, MODERN BULGARIA IS ONE OF
- 21 THE HOTTEST EUROPEAN TOURIST DESTINATIONS. AFTER THE FALL OF
- 22 COMMUNISM IN 1989, MY COUNTRY SUFFERED AN ECONOMIC DOWNTURN IN
- 23 THE FIRST HALF OF THE '90S, STRUGGLING TO BUILD A PRIVATE
- 24 MARKET ECONOMY FROM A PREVIOUSLY ENTIRELY STATE-RUN AND
- 25 CENTRALLY PLANNED ECONOMY. HOWEVER, SINCE 1997, BULGARIA HAS



- 1 EXPERIENCED A SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC GROWTH WITH THE GDP GROWTH
- 2 OF MORE THAN 5% AS AN ANNUAL RATE AND A STRONG INFLOW OF
- 3 FOREIGN INVESTMENTS, COMBINED WITH MACRO- ECONOMIC STABILITY.
- 4 ALREADY A N.A.T.O. ALLY OF THE UNITED STATES, BULGARIA IS NOW
- 5 SET TO JOIN THE EUROPEAN UNION ON JANUARY THE 1ST, 2007. IT'S
- 6 A GREAT EFFORT BY THE WHOLE SOCIETY BUT MY COUNTRY IS FIRMLY
- 7 COMMITTED TO MEET ALL DEADLINES AND STAND OUT. THE 2004 WORLD
- 8 INVESTMENT REPORT BY THE U.N. CONFERENCE OF TRADE AND
- 9 DEVELOPMENT, UNCTAD, IN ITS GLOBAL FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT
- 10 INDEX, RANKS BULGARIA THE BEST PERFORMER IN THE REGION OF
- 11 SOUTHEASTERN EUROPE AND 12TH IN THE WORLD. THE ATTRACTIVENESS
- 12 OF BULGARIA AS AN INVESTMENT DESTINATION HAS DRAWN A NUMBER OF
- 13 U.S. MULTINATIONALS. AMERICANS STANDS OUT WITH THE INVESTMENT
- 14 OF MORE THAN \$186 MILLION. AMERICAN ENERGY SYSTEM, AES, WITH
- 15 MORE THAN \$1.3 BILLION, AAG, INTERNATIONAL
- 16 THE NUMBER ONE TELECOM OPERATOR WITH MORE THAN \$340 MILLION.
- 17 H.P., I.B.M., CITIBANK, GENERAL ELECTRIC, KRAFT FOODS AND
- 18 ALCO. A NUMBER OF COMPANIES FROM THE L.A. COUNTY ITSELF HAVE
- 19 ALREADY ESTABLISHED OR ARE IN THE PROCESS OF ESTABLISHING
- 20 OPERATIONS IN BULGARIA. ONE IS BUILDING A 100 MILLION WORTH
- 21 BUSINESS AND LOGISTICS CENTER NEXT TO THE NEW SOPHIA AIRPORT.
- 22 ANOTHER ONE IS AN INDEPENDENT FILM STUDIO WHICH HAS
- 23 SUCCESSFULLY FINALIZED THE PROCEDURE OF ACOUIRING MY COUNTRY'S
- 24 NATIONAL FILM STUDIO AND BULGARIA HAD ALREADY HOSTED A NUMBER
- 25 OF HOLLYWOOD PRODUCTIONS. AND YET A THIRD ONE, A FINANCIAL AND



- 1 ACCOUNTING COMPANY WILL SOON ESTABLISH IN A EUROPEAN
- 2 HEADQUARTERS AND SERVICE CENTER IN BULGARIA. WE HOPE THAT THE
- 3 NUMBER OF COMPANIES DISCOVERING MY COUNTRY AS A PROFITABLE
- 4 GATEWAY TO THE LARGE EUROPEAN MARKET WILL ONLY GROW. OUR
- 5 DEDICATED INVESTMENT AND COMMERCIAL OFFICE AT THE CONSULATE,
- 6 TOGETHER WITH THE BULGARIAN MINISTER OF ECONOMY AND ENERGY,
- 7 PROVIDE A FULL SUPPORT THROUGH EVERY STEP OF ESTABLISHING A
- 8 BUSINESS IN BULGARIA. BULGARIA IS A GREAT PLACE TO VISIT AND
- 9 TO DO BUSINESS. OUR DOORS ARE OPEN TO ALL OF YOU. WE HOPE TO
- 10 HAVE A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS AND GOOD OPPORTUNITIES FOR
- 11 COOPERATION AND FOR LEARNING FROM EACH OTHER. THANK YOU VERY
- 12 MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION. [ APPLAUSE ]

14 SUP. BURKE: WELCOME.

13

15

17

19

21

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR GLORIA MOLINA.

18 SUP. MOLINA: WELCOME.

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 22 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I
- 23 DON'T HAVE ANY FORMAL PRESENTATIONS. I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE
- 24 SOME FOLKS THAT ARE OUT THERE, THOUGH. I'D LIKE TO WELCOME THE
- 25 SIXTH, SEVENTH AND EIGHTH GRADE CLASSES OF CONSTELLATION



- 1 COMMUNITY MIDDLE SCHOOL. I'VE GOT SOME OF THEIR TEACHERS AND
- 2 PARENTS AND DAPHNE CHIN JACKSON, THE DIRECTOR, AND THEY'RE
- 3 SITTING IN THE AUDIENCE. I WANT TO ASK THEM ALL TO STAND AND
- 4 WE WELCOME YOU, HOPE YOU HAVE A GREAT DAY AND A FUN TIME.
- 5 THANK YOU. THANKS FOR BEING HERE. [ APPLAUSE ]

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: I DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER PRESENTATIONS TO DO. WE'LL
- 8 SAY SOME THINGS WHEN YOU HAVE MR. DUNNIGAN UP HERE.

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. LET ME WELCOME TO OUR BOARD OF
- 11 SUPERVISORS NOBEL PRIZE WINNER FROM CAL TECH AND THAT'S
- 12 PROFESSOR ROBERT GRUBBS, WHO IS A ORGANIC CHEMIST FROM CAL
- 13 TECH WHERE HE WON THE 2005 NOBEL PRIZE IN CHEMISTRY. THE \$1.3
- 14 MILLION PRIZE WAS SHARED BY DR. GRUBBS AND TWO OTHERS FOR
- 15 THEIR WORK IN DEVELOPING THE METATHESIS METHOD WHICH IS A
- 16 CHEMICAL DANCE THAT MAKES MOLECULES SWAP ATOMS. THESE
- 17 REACTIONS ARE NOW USED TO CREATE MEDICINES, PLASTICS AND OTHER
- 18 PRODUCTS WITH ENHANCED EFFICIENCIES AND LESS ENVIRONMENTAL
- 19 HAZARDS. ROBERT IS A NATIVE OF KENTUCKY WHO EARNED HIS
- 20 BACHELOR'S AND MASTER'S DEGREE AT THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA.
- 21 AFTER COMPLETING HIS DOCTORATE IN CHEMISTRY AT COLUMBIA
- 22 UNIVERSITY, HE SPENT A YEAR AT STANFORD UNIVERSITY AS A POST-
- 23 DOCTORAL FELLOW AND THEN JOINED THE MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
- 24 FACULTY BACK IN 1969. HE CAME TO CALIFORNIA AND CAL TECH IN
- 25 1978 WITH FULL TENURE AS PROFESSOR AND HAS BEEN THE VICTOR IN



- 1 ELIZABETH ATKINS PROFESSOR OF CHEMISTRY SINCE 1990. HE'S A
- 2 MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES SINCE 1989 AND WAS
- 3 THE 2000 YEAR RECIPIENT OF THE BENJAMIN FRANKLIN MEDAL. HIS
- 4 AWARD BRINGS A NUMBER OF NOBEL PRIZES WON BY CAL TECH FACULTY
- 5 AND ALUMNI TO A GRAND TOTAL OF 32. SO, DR. GRUBBS,
- 6 CONGRATULATIONS ON THIS ACCOMPLISHMENT AND WE WISH YOU MANY
- 7 MORE YEARS OF EDUCATING OUR YOUNG PEOPLE AND PROVIDING MORE
- 8 BREAKTHROUGHS IN SCIENCE. [ APPLAUSE ]

9

- 10 ROBERT H. GRUBBS: YES, GOOD MORNING. IT'S GREAT TO BE HERE
- 11 REPRESENTING THE SCIENTISTS OF THE L.A. AREA. THE RESEARCH
- 12 UNIVERSITIES NOW HAVE REPRESENTATIVES WHO HAVE GONE TO
- 13 STOCKHOLM, U.C.L.A., U.S.C. AND CAL TECH. BUT, IN THE
- 14 COMPETITION IN THE L.A. AREA, WE DON'T HAVE A FOOTBALL TEAM
- 15 ANY MORE BUT WE ACTUALLY HAVE MORE NOBEL PRIZE WINNERS THAN
- 16 THE OTHER TWO. SO, YEAH, IT'S GREAT TO JOIN THE GROUP AT CAL
- 17 TECH, THERE'S NOW FIVE OF US AND SO IT'S A PARTICULAR PLEASURE
- 18 TO BE HERE AND IT'S GREAT TO BE PART OF THE L.A. AREA. THANK
- 19 YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS MORNING, SUPERVISOR BURKE AND I
- 22 WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE FOUR EMANCIPATED FOSTER YOUTHS WHO ARE
- 23 GOING TO BE THE RECIPIENTS OF SCHOLARSHIPS FROM THE COUNTY'S
- 24 AFRICAN-AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMITTEE. TO PARTICIPATE WITH THIS
- 25 PRESENTATION, WE WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME DR. SANDERS, DAVID



- 1 SANDERS, WHO IS THE DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
- 2 FAMILY SERVICES AND, FROM THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN HERITAGE
- 3 COMMITTEE, CYNTHIA MAYCHEN, WHO IS A CHAIRMAN AND JESSE
- 4 RIGGENS, WHO IS THE TREASURER. EACH YEAR, THE AMERICAN AFRICAN
- 5 HERITAGE COMMITTEE CO-SPONSORS THE ANNUAL OBSERVANCE OF BLACK
- 6 HISTORY MONTH. THIS YEAR, THE COMMITTEE DECIDED TO FOREGO ITS
- 7 USUAL MUSICAL CELEBRATION, BELIEVING THAT ITS RESOURCES WOULD
- 8 BE BETTER USED BY AWARDING \$250 SCHOLARSHIPS TO FOUR
- 9 EMANCIPATED YOUTH. I APPLAUD THAT COMMITTEE FOR THIS DONATION
- 10 AND IT'S A PLEASURE TO PRESENT THESE SCHOLARSHIPS TO THESE
- 11 YOUNG PEOPLE AT THIS TIME. SO, SUPERVISOR BURKE?

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO RECOGNIZE COREY NASH.
- 14 SHE'S-- COREY IS A SENIOR AT KING DREW MEDICAL MAGNET IN LOS
- 15 ANGELES. HE WORKS PART-TIME AT MCDONALD'S WHILE ATTENDING HIGH
- 16 SCHOOL FULL TIME AND MAINTAINING A 3.7 GPA. COREY IS A PEER
- 17 COUNSEL AT HIS SCHOOL AND ALSO PLAYS FOR A TRAVELING
- 18 BASKETBALL TEAM. HE HAS APPLIED TO MOREHOUSE COLLEGE IN
- 19 ATLANTA, GEORGIA, AND HAS ALREADY BEEN ACCEPTED TO U.C.
- 20 RIVERSIDE AND SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY. HIS GOAL IS TO
- 21 BECOME A SOCIAL WORKER. CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 23 SUP. BURKE: BRITTNEY WHITMORE IS A SENIOR AT LYNWOOD HIGH
- 24 SCHOOL IN LYNWOOD. SHE WORKS PART-TIME AT TARGET WHILE
- 25 ATTENDING HIGH SCHOOL FULL TIME AND MAINTAINING A 3.3 GPA.



- 1 SHE'S APPLIED TO SEVERAL COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND HOPES
- 2 TO BECOME A PSYCHOLOGIST. CONGRATULATIONS TO BRITTNEY. [
- 3 APPLAUSE ]

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND LET ME RECOGNIZE MELODY HARVEY,
- 6 WHO IS A SENIOR AT BLAIR HIGH SCHOOL IN PASADENA WITH A
- 7 OVERALL GPA OF 3.8. SHE BELONGS TO THE NATIONAL HONOR SOCIETY,
- 8 INVOLVED IN CREATIVE WRITING CLUB AT HER SCHOOL. SHE'S ALSO
- 9 APPLIED TO MAJOR UNIVERSITIES AND PLANS TO MAJOR IN BUSINESS
- 10 ECONOMICS OR ACCOUNTING AND MATH, SO, MELODY, CONGRATULATIONS.
- 11 [ APPLAUSE ]

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND VICTORIA HELM IS A SENIOR
- 14 ATTENDING OPPORTUNITY FOR LEARNING, LOCATED IN CANYON COUNTRY
- 15 IN THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY. SHE WORKS PART-TIME AT STATOR
- 16 BROTHERS WHILE MAINTAINING A 3.25 GRADE POINT AVERAGE. SHE'S
- 17 INVOLVED IN THE CANYON COUNTRY BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB AND PLANS
- 18 ON ATTENDING COLLEGE OF THE CANYONS THIS FALL. VICTORIA,
- 19 CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 21 CYNTHIA: THANK YOU, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. ON BEHALF OF THE
- 22 AFRICAN-AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMITTEE, I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND
- 23 THANK YOU AND OUR CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, MR. DAVID
- 24 JANSSEN, FOR THEIR SUPPORT IN OUR BLACK HISTORY ANNUAL PROGRAM
- 25 AND I'D LIKE TO INVITE EACH OF YOU TO VISIT THE SECOND ANNUAL



- 1 AFRICAN MARKETPLACE ON THE SECOND FLOOR. COME OUT. WE HAVE
- 2 NICE VENDORS OUT AND LOTS OF GOOD SOUL FOOD FOR YOU. THANK
- 3 YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. SANDERS?

6

- 7 DR. DAVID SANDERS: ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
- 8 FAMILY SERVICES, I WANT TO JOIN MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND
- 9 SUPERVISOR BURKE AND THE BOARD IN RECOGNIZING THE OUTSTANDING
- 10 ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THESE FOUR YOUTH BEING RECOGNIZED BY THE
- 11 AFRICAN-AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMITTEE. I'M VERY PROUD TO STAND
- 12 HERE TODAY WITH THESE STUDENTS. THEY'VE MAINTAINED A HIGH
- 13 GRADE POINT AVERAGE WHILE BALANCING SCHOOL, WORK,
- 14 EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND LIFE CHALLENGES. THEY ALL PLAN
- 15 TO GO TO COLLEGE AND WILL PURSUE CAREERS RANGING FROM BUSINESS
- 16 TO SOCIAL WORK. I THANK THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN HERITAGE
- 17 COMMITTEE FOR PROVIDING THEM THESE SCHOLARSHIPS AND WANT TO
- 18 ENCOURAGE EACH OF THE STUDENTS TO CONTINUE TO PURSUE
- 19 EXCELLENCE IN EVERYTHING YOU DO, SO THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NOW WE HAVE A LITTLE BOY, "SHREK",
- 22 HE'S A SHEPHERD MIX, HE'S SEVEN WEEKS OLD, WHO IS LOOKING FOR
- 23 A HOME. HERE'S LITTLE "SHREK". THOSE WHO ARE WATCHING AT HOME
- 24 CAN CALL AREA CODE (562) 728-4644. OR ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE.
- 25 AND, AGAIN, ONE DAY, THE FIRE STATION MIGHT WANT TO ADOPT ONE



- 1 OF THESE LITTLE DOGS. WE'LL PUT DOTS ON HIM. THIS IS A LITTLE
- 2 "SHREK". ANYBODY WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT HIM. DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 3 MOTIONS-- OR PRESENTATIONS? YVONNE? SUPERVISOR BURKE?

- 5 SUP. BURKE: WE ARE VERY PLEASED TO MAKE THIS PRESENTATION FOR
- 6 THE MILLION MAN MARCH-- MILLION CAN MARCH, MILLION CAN MARCH
- 7 MONTH AND WE WOULD LIKE SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH TO JOIN FOR THIS
- 8 PRESENTATION AS WELL. WELL, WHEN HE COMES BACK, WE'LL
- 9 CERTAINLY GET HIM HERE. WE'D LIKE TO CALL KRISTIAN
- 10 HOLLINGSWORTH, TED HAYES AND THOSE INVOLVED WITH THE MILLION
- 11 CAN MARCH TO COME FORWARD. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WILL BE HERE
- 12 IN JUST A SECOND. UNTIL LAST SEPTEMBER, THE HOLLINGSWORTH
- 13 FAMILY LIVED IN THE ROSEWOOD UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE COUNTY
- 14 IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, WHICH I REPRESENT. ONE DAY, ON A
- 15 FAMILY SHOPPING TRIP DOWNTOWN, ONE OF THE CHILDREN, 17-YEAR-
- 16 OLD CHRISTEN, ASKED TO SEE SKID ROW. ON THE DRIVE THROUGH THE
- 17 AREA, HE WAS STRUCK BY THE NUMBER OF HOMELESS PEOPLE HE SAW.
- 18 THE HOLLINGSWORTH FAMILY HAS A TRADITION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE
- 19 AND CHRISTEN DECIDED TO RAISE FUNDS TO HELP THE HOMELESS. HE
- 20 ORGANIZED THE MILLION CAN MARCH, A CAMPAIGN TO COLLECT AND
- 21 RECYCLE ONE MILLION ALUMINUM CANS DURING THE MONTH OF MARCH.
- 22 ITS MISSION IS TO USE THE PROCEEDS TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO
- 23 JUSTICEVILLE HOMELESS U.S.A., WHICH IS ALSO KNOWN AS DOME
- 24 VILLAGE TO MOST OF US HERE IN DOWNTOWN. DOME VILLAGE IS WELL
- 25 KNOWN AS A PLACE WHERE COUNTLESS NUMBERS OF HOMELESS FAMILIES



- 1 HAVE RECEIVED HOUSING AND ASSISTANCE. IT WAS FOUNDED IN 1990
- 2 BY GLOBAL HOMELESS ACTIVIST, TED HAYES, WHO IS AN OLD FRIEND
- 3 OF THE HOLLINGSWORTH FAMILY. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DESPITE THE RICH HISTORY, DOME VILLAGE
- 6 WILL SOON BE A THING OF THE PAST. DOME VILLAGE IS LOCATED IN
- 7 THE PRIME DOWNTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AREA AND EVERYBODY NOW IS
- 8 BEING EVICTED FOR A HIGH-RISE BUILDING. THE COMMITTEE WANTS
- 9 THE PROCEEDS OF ITS CAMPAIGN TO HELP THE NEEDY FAMILIES WHO
- 10 WILL BE DISPLACED AND TO HELP DOME VILLAGE SECURE A NEW SITE.
- 11 KRISTIAN HOLLINGSWORTH, WHO IS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE,
- 12 NOW LIVES IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY IN THE FIFTH DISTRICT AND
- 13 ATTENDS OUARTZ HILL HIGH SCHOOL. EVEN THOUGH DOME VILLAGE IS
- 14 MANY MILES AWAY FROM THE VALLEY, HOMELESSNESS IS A MAJOR ISSUE
- 15 ALL OVER OUR COUNTY. THE MILLION CAN MARCH ORGANIZING
- 16 COMMITTEE IS A PARTNER WITH DIVERSE SEGMENT OF THE RELIGIOUS
- 17 COMMUNITY AND WITH CORPORATIONS IN THIS CAMPAIGN INCLUDING
- 18 COSTCO, THE COUNTY'S DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION IS
- 19 ALSO A PARTNER IN THIS EFFORT. IN RECOGNITION OF THIS
- 20 UNDERTAKING, THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, THE SUPERVISORS ARE
- 21 HEREBY PROCLAIMING THE MONTH OF MARCH 2006 AS MILLION CAN
- 22 MARCH MONTH. WE URGE ALL RESIDENTS TO PARTICIPATE IN AND
- 23 SUPPORT THIS EFFORT TO HELP MAKE EVERY SUCCESSFUL CAMPAIGN.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, PLEASE JOIN ME IN
- 2 PRESENTING THIS SCROLL TO KRISTIAN HOLLINGSWORTH, CHAIR OF THE
- 3 MILLION CAN MARCH ORGANIZING COMMITTEE IN PROCLAMATION OF THE
- 4 MARCH 2006 AS MILLION CAN MARCH. ALSO WITH KRISTIAN, HIS
- 5 FATHER, LOUIS HOLLINGSWORTH, HIS GRANDMOTHER, SHIRLEY LEWIS.
- 6 IS SHE HERE? SHE COULDN'T BE HERE. TED HAYES IS HERE, FOUNDER
- 7 OF DOME VILLAGE AND KRISTIAN'S TEACHER, TEDRICK JOHNSON, IS
- 8 SHE HERE? SHE DIDN'T MAKE IT, EITHER. MARCUS BELL OF AMER-I-
- 9 CAN PROGRAM IS HERE. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. OKAY. BUT YOU HAD A LOT
- 10 OF SUPPORT, THOUGH. [ APPLAUSE ]

11

- 12 KRISTIAN HOLLINGSWORTH: I WANT TO SAY THAT I'M HONORED AND I
- 13 THANK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR PROVIDING ME WITH THIS
- 14 PRIVILEGE AND I WOULD LIKE IT IF EVERYONE GOT INVOLVED TO HELP
- 15 THE HOMELESS BY DONATING A CAN DURING THE MONTH OF MARCH TO
- 16 HELP BENEFIT THE HOMELESS DOWN AT JUSTICEVILLE, U.S.A., AND
- 17 THANK YOU GUYS FOR YOUR TIME AND YOUR SUPPORT. [ APPLAUSE ]

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'D LIKE TO ASK HAROLD DUNNIGAN TO JOIN ME.
- 22 COME ON UP. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, TODAY WE'RE HONORING OCEAN
- 23 LIFEGUARD HAROLD DUNNIGAN, WHO RETIRED ON JANUARY 28TH AT THE
- 24 AGE OF 76 AFTER AN ASTOUNDING AND OUTSTANDING 57 YEARS OF
- 25 LIFEGUARD SERVICE FOR THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA, THE CITY OF



- 1 LOS ANGELES AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. HE SERVED HIS
- 2 COUNTRY AS ENLISTEE IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY, SAW ACTION, WAS
- 3 DECORATED WITH A PRESIDENTIAL UNIT CITATION FOR HIS HEROISM
- 4 DURING THE KOREAN WAR, HAS BEEN A MENTOR AND INSPIRATION TO
- 5 GENERATIONS OF YOUNGER SWIMMERS AND LIFEGUARDS, ORGANIZING
- 6 TEACHING AND RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS, LIFEGUARD COMPETITIONS AND
- 7 WINNING TWO NATIONAL TITLES IN THE NATIONAL LIFEGUARD IRON MAN
- 8 COMPETITION. HE DOESN'T LOOK LIKE HE'S 76. HE DOESN'T LOOK
- 9 LIKE HE'S 56. BUT WE WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY, ALL FIVE
- 10 OF US, TO PRESENT YOU WITH THIS PROCLAMATION, THANKING YOU FOR
- 11 YOUR SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, FOR YOUR
- 12 SINGULAR OUTSTANDING LIFE THAT YOU HAVE SO FAR LED, BOTH ON
- 13 BEHALF OF THE COUNTY AND ON BEHALF OF OUR COUNTRY, WE WANT TO
- 14 WISH YOU WELL IN THE MANY MORE YEARS OF RETIREMENT THAT YOU
- 15 HAVE COMING. YOU'VE EARNED IT. CONGRATULATIONS. THANKS FOR
- 16 YOUR SERVICE. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 18 HAROLD DUNNIGAN: BEGAN MY FIRST YEARS LIFE GUARDING AT SANTA
- 19 MONICA. I KEEP THINKING THE DATE WAS 1959 BUT, WHEN YOU GET TO
- 20 BE 76 YEARS OLD, YOU CAN'T REMEMBER SOMETIMES WHERE YOU LEAVE
- 21 YOUR KEYS OR YOUR CAR. NEVERTHELESS, IT'S BEEN A GREAT
- 22 EXPERIENCE FOR ME THANKS TO THE TRANSITION FROM BEING PART OF
- 23 RECREATIONAL PARKS TO BEING PART OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. I
- 24 THINK THE LIFEGUARDS HAVE GROWN TO A NEW DIMENSION. I'M
- 25 THANKFUL FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, THANKFUL FOR THE COUNTY FOR



- 1 TAKING US ON AND MOST OF ALL THANKFUL TO THE TAXPAYERS OF THE
- 2 COUNTY WHO SUPPORT US AND ALLOW US TO DO THE THINGS THAT WE
- 3 DO. I TRUST WE DO OUR JOB WELL AND THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. HANG ON A SECOND. GOT A COUPLE OF
- 6 OTHER PEOPLE WHO WANT TO SAY A WORD. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO INVITE
- 7 MY COLLEAGUE, DON KNABE.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: HE HAS BEEN A GREAT FRIEND BUT I MEAN NOT ONLY 57
- 10 YEARS OF LIFE GUARDING BUT HIS COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES AND
- 11 NURTURING THE JUNIOR LIFEGUARD PROGRAM AND EVERYTHING ELSE.
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO MISS HIM. THIS IS AN INCREDIBLE INDIVIDUAL,
- 13 GREAT HUMAN BEING AND WE JUST THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR MANY
- 14 YEARS OF SERVICE.

15

16 HAROLD DUNNIGAN: VERY GOOD, SIR. THANK YOU.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND CHIEF MICHAEL FREEMAN.

- 20 CHIEF P. MICHAEL FREEMAN: THANK YOU, SIR. HONORABLE MAYOR AND
- 21 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO TAKE A BRIEF MOMENT
- 22 TO CONGRATULATE HAROLD ON HIS STELLAR CAREER AS A LIFEGUARD,
- 23 HIS MANY YEARS OF SERVICE, HIS CARING FOR YOUNG PEOPLE,
- 24 YOUNGSTERS. HE ALSO HAD A SIGNIFICANT CAREER IN EDUCATION AND
- 25 I THINK PROBABLY ONE OF THE MOST IMPRESSIVE THINGS IS HE HAS



- 1 SAILED, HE AND ONE OTHER LIFEGUARD, ALL THE WAY FROM HERE TO
- 2 ISTANBUL, TURKEY, AND BACK AND THAT, IN ITSELF, IS WORTHY OF
- 3 RECOGNITION. WE CONGRATULATE YOU FOR YOUR MANY YEARS OF
- 4 SERVICE, YOUR CARING COMMITMENT TO THE OCEAN LIFEGUARDS AND TO
- 5 THE YOUNG PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY AND WE WISH YOU THE VERY
- 6 BEST FOR A VERY LONG, HEALTHY AND REWARDING RETIREMENT.
- 7 CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]

9 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WE'LL GO ON WITH THE PUBLIC

12 HEARINGS.

8

10

13

17

14 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: WILL ALL THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY

- 15 BEFORE THE BOARD ON AGENDA ITEMS 1 THROUGH 4 PLEASE, STAND AND
- 16 RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. [ ADMINISTERING OATH ]
- 18 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. WE WILL
- 19 BEGIN WITH ITEM 1, HEARING ON THE ANNUAL GARBAGE COLLECTION
- 20 AND DISPOSAL SERVICE FEES REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007 FOR
- 21 THE BELVEDERE GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT AND ON THE COLLECTION
- 22 OF INCREASED ANNUAL SERVICE FEES IN THE BELVEDERE GARBAGE
- 23 DISPOSAL DISTRICT FROM \$108 TO \$152 PER REFUSE UNIT PER YEAR.
- 24 WE HAVE ONE WRITTEN PROTEST.



1 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: MR. MAYOR, THERE IS A BRIEF STAFF

2 STATEMENT, IF STAFF COULD COME UP, PLEASE.

3

- 4 HOSAM BANA: GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE
- 5 BOARD. MY NAME IS HOSAM BANA AND I'M SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER FOR
- 6 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I AM FAMILIAR WITH THESE
- 7 PROCEEDINGS TO INCREASE THE BELVEDERE GARBAGE DISPOSAL
- 8 DISTRICT, GARBAGE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL SERVICE FEES FROM
- 9 \$108 TO \$152 PER REFUSE UNIT AND TO CONTINUE TO COLLECT THOSE
- 10 SERVICE FEES ON THE TAX ROLL DURING FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007. THE
- 11 REPORT ON THESE GARBAGE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL SERVICE FEES
- 12 WAS PREPARED IN MY OFFICE AND UNDER MY DIRECTION. IN MY
- 13 OPINION, IT IS NECESSARY TO INCREASE THE SERVICE FEES TO THEIR
- 14 RECOMMENDED LEVELS DUE TO DEPLETING RESERVES AS A RESULT OF
- 15 STATE CUTBACKS ON PROPERTY TAX TRANSFERS. IN MY OPINION, IT IS
- 16 IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO CONTINUE TO COLLECT THE SERVICE FEES
- 17 ON THE TAX ROLL BECAUSE IT PROVIDED FOR THE MOST ORDERLY
- 18 COLLECTION OF SUCH CHARGES FROM THE AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS.
- 19 IN MY OPINION, THE PROPOSED GARBAGE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL
- 20 SERVICE FEES ARE NECESSARY TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO
- 21 PROVIDE ADEQUATE SERVICES IN THE DISTRICT AND THE PROPOSED
- 22 FEES HAVE BEEN FAIRLY IMPOSED. NOTICES OF THE PROPOSED SERVICE
- 23 FEE INCREASE WERE MAILED TO APPROXIMATELY 16,200 PROPERTY
- 24 OWNERS. WE RECEIVED 14 OBJECTIONS. THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. ANY COMMENTS? ANYBODY IN THE
- 2 AUDIENCE WHO WISHES TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM? SUPERVISOR KNABE
- 3 MOVES. I'LL SECOND TO CLOSE THE HEARING AND APPROVE THE ITEM.
- 4 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 2.

5

- 6 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: ITEM NUMBER 2, HEARING ON PROPOSED SALE
- 7 OF A 587-SQUARE-FOOT UNDEVELOPED PORTION OF THE JOHN ANSON
- 8 FORD AMPHITHEATER TO GRAHAM STREETER. WE HAVE NO WRITTEN
- 9 PROTESTS.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WISHES TO
- 12 SPEAK ON THIS ITEM? SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WILL MOVE TO CLOSE
- 13 THE HEARING, APPROVE THE ITEM NUMBER 2. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 3.

15

- 16 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: THE HEARING ON ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY
- 17 TO COUNTY COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY
- 18 LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1, UNINCORPORATED ZONE, WHITTIER AREA,
- 19 AND THE LEVYING OF ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS FOR STREET LIGHTING
- 20 PURPOSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY.

- 24 RANDINE RUIZ: MY NAME IS RANDINE RUIZ AND I AM A SENIOR CIVIL
- 25 ENGINEER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'M FAMILIAR WITH



- 1 THESE PROCEEDINGS FOR THE ANNEXATION OF THE PROJECT L092 2004
- 2 IN THE UNINCORPORATED WHITTIER AREA TO COUNTY LIGHTING
- 3 MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1,
- 4 UNINCORPORATED ZONE, AND FOR THE LEVYING AND COLLECTION OF
- 5 ASSESSMENTS WITHIN THAT PROJECT AREA. IN MY OPINION, THE
- 6 INVOLVED PROJECT AREA WILL BE BENEFITED BY THE ANNEXATION AND
- 7 THE SERVICE TO BE PROVIDED AND THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS HAVE
- 8 BEEN SPREAD IN PROPORTION TO BENEFIT. IN THE EVENT THAT THERE
- 9 IS NO MAJORITY PROTEST, WE ARE RECOMMENDING THAT YOUR BOARD
- 10 ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATIONS TO ANNEX AND LEVY THE PROPOSED
- 11 ASSESSMENTS. WE ARE FURTHER RECOMMENDING THAT YOUR BOARD
- 12 APPROVE THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES AMONG NONEXEMPT
- 13 AGENCIES, AS DESCRIBED IN THE BOARD LETTER.

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. IS THERE ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE
- 16 WHO WISHES TO SPEAK ON THIS?

17

14

- 18 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: MAYOR, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE AT THIS
- 19 TIME TO CLOSE THE HEARING, DIRECT THE TABULATION OF BALLOTS
- 20 AND TABLE THE ITEM UNTIL LATER IN THE MEETING FOR TABULATION
- 21 RESULTS AND ACTION BY YOUR BOARD.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I MOVE. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 24 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: ITEM 4, HEARING ON ANNEXATION OF
- 2 TERRITORY, PETITION NUMBER 140-902 TO COUNTY LIGHTING
- 3 MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1,
- 4 UNINCORPORATED ZONE, CITY OF INDUSTRY AREA AND THE LEVY OF
- 5 ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS FOR STREET LIGHTING PURPOSES FOR FISCAL
- 6 YEAR 2006/2007.

7

- 8 RANDINE RUIZ: MY NAME IS RANDINE RUIZ AND I AM A SENIOR CIVIL
- 9 ENGINEER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'M FAMILIAR WITH
- 10 THESE PROCEEDINGS FOR THE ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY TO LIGHTING
- 11 MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1,
- 12 UNINCORPORATED ZONE, AND FOR THE LEVYING AND COLLECTION OF
- 13 ASSESSMENTS WITH RESPECT TO UNINCORPORATED PETITION AREA 140-
- 14 902 IN THE VICINITY OF THE CITY OF INDUSTRY. IN MY OPINION,
- 15 THE AREA COVERED BY THIS PETITION WILL BE BENEFITED BY THE
- 16 ANNEXATION AND THE SERVICE TO BE PROVIDED AND THE PROPOSED
- 17 ASSESSMENTS HAVE BEEN SPREAD IN PROPORTION TO BENEFIT. IN THE
- 18 EVENT THAT THERE ARE NO MAJORITY PROTESTS, WE ARE RECOMMENDING
- 19 THAT YOUR BOARD ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATIONS TO ANNEX AND LEVY
- 20 THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS AND APPROVE THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY
- 21 TAX REVENUES BY NONEXEMPT TAXING AGENCIES.

- 23 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: MAYOR, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE AT THIS
- 24 TIME TO CLOSE THE HEARING, DIRECT THE TABULATION OF BALLOTS



- 1 AND TABLE THE ITEM UNTIL LATER IN THE MEETING FOR TABULATION
- 2 RESULTS AND ACTION BY YOUR BOARD.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SO MOVED. SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 5 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. OKAY. SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 7 SUP. KNABE: YES. MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, A NUMBER OF
- 8 ADJOURNMENTS. FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 9 THE MEMORY OF SHAWNEE MICHELLE TRUJILLO. SHAWNEE IS THE NIECE
- 10 OF LOUIS TRUJILLO, WHO WORKS IN OUR OFFICE. SHE PASSED AWAY ON
- 11 FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 17TH. SHE WAS A VERY YOUNG 32 YEARS OLD AND
- 12 HAD A TWO-YEAR BATTLE WITH CANCER. SHE'S A RESIDENT OF
- 13 HACIENDA HEIGHTS. SHE WAS A GRADUATE OF CAL STATE LOS ANGELES
- 14 AND A LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT TEACHER WHO TAUGHT
- 15 SIXTH AND SEVENTH GRADE AT MARY MCCONE BETHUNE MIDDLE SCHOOL
- 16 IN SOUTH CENTRAL LOS ANGELES. SHE RAISED THE BAR OF EXCELLENCE
- 17 FOR HER SIBLINGS TO STRIVE FOR. SHE WILL BE SORELY MISSED BY
- 18 HER FAMILY, FRIENDS AND CO-WORKERS. SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER DAD,
- 19 FRED, STEPMOTHER, LAURIE, BROTHERS, GABRIEL AND ANDREW,
- 20 SISTER, MONET, UNCLES LOUIE, ROBERT, AUNT VIVIAN AND
- 21 GRANDMOTHER MARY. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF NORMAN
- 22 HERMANN. HE PASSED AWAY ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 23RD. HE'S MY
- 23 UNCLE AND-- MY FAVORITE UNCLE, ACTUALLY, AND RESIDED IN
- 24 FLORIDA AND HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, JOANNE, SON, DOUG,
- 25 DAUGHTERS, PHYLLIS, HAM AND MY MOM, LUCILLE. ALSO THAT WE



- 1 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF FRED LIVINGSTON, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF
- 2 CERRITOS, A GOOD FRIEND, MEMBER OF OUR CERRITOS OPTIMISTS
- 3 CLUB. HE WAS A VERY ACTIVE MEMBER OF OUR COMMUNITY THERE AND
- 4 HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, LYNNETTE, MANY BELOVED FAMILY
- 5 MEMBERS AND FRIENDS. HE WILL BE GREATLY MISSED BY ALL. ALSO
- 6 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF HENRY CORRAL, A LONG-TIME
- 7 HACIENDA HEIGHTS RESIDENT WHO PASSED AWAY ON THURSDAY,
- 8 FEBRUARY 16TH. HE WAS A VERY YOUNG 52. HE WAS A VERY POPULAR
- 9 SECURITY GUARD AT WILSON HIGH SCHOOL IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS. HE
- 10 IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, LUPE, SIX CHILDREN, SIX GRANDCHILDREN
- 11 AND TWO SISTERS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MILDRED
- 12 THORN "MIDDY" DETHLEFSEN, WHO IS A LONG TIME AND ACTIVE
- 13 RESIDENT OF THE FOURTH DISTRICT. SHE WAS A MEMBER OF MANY
- 14 CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING THE SAN PEDRO SOUTH BAY CHAPTER
- 15 OF THE ASSISTANCE LEAGUE, THE AUXILIARY OF THE TOBERMAN
- 16 SETTLEMENT HOUSE, BESIDES HER INVOLVEMENT IN WORTHWHILE
- 17 ORGANIZATIONS. SHE ENJOYED PLAYING A VARIETY OF SPORTS FROM
- 18 TENNIS TO SWIMMING TO SKIING WITH HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS. ALSO
- 19 ONE OF HER FAVORITE ACTIVITIES WAS TRAVELING WITH HER HUSBAND,
- 20 DOUG. SHE'LL BE GREATLY MISSED BY HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS. ALSO
- 21 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF DR. JOHN HIBMA, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 22 AT THE AGE OF 86. HE GRADUATED, DID HIS POSTGRADUATE WORK AT
- 23 FULLER THEOLOGICAL IN PASADENA. HE SERVED THE ARTESIAN REFORM
- 24 CHURCH IN ARTESIA IN THE MAYFAIR COMMUNITY, THE REFORM CHURCH
- 25 OF LAKEWOOD. HE RETIRED IN 1986, HAS BEEN VERY ACTIVE WITH THE



- 1 SALEM CHRISTIAN SCHOOL FOR THE HANDICAPPED IN BELLFLOWER. HE
- 2 IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, BETTY, HIS 13 CHILDREN, 28
- 3 GRANDCHILDREN AND 14 GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO THAT WE
- 4 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF NICOLAS LIDDI, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE
- 5 OF 78. HE MOVED TO SAN PEDRO WHEN HE WAS 5. HE ATTENDED SAN
- 6 PEDRO HIGH SCHOOL. HE WAS A FAITHFUL FAN OF FOOTBALL,
- 7 BASKETBALL AND HAWAIIAN SHIRTS. HE MOVED TO LAKEWOOD IN '52.
- 8 HE OWNED THE RESTAURANT THERE IN AVALON FOR MANY, MANY YEARS.
- 9 HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, JANELLE, SON, NICHOLAS, WIFE,
- 10 DIANE, DAUGHTERS, CHRISTINE, HER HUSBAND, MIKE, ALLISON, SIX
- 11 GRANDCHILDREN, FOUR GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. FINALLY, WE ADJOURN
- 12 IN MEMORY DR. JULIUS ROBBINS WHO-- A WELL-KNOWN DENTIST IN THE
- 13 LAKEWOOD AREA WHO PRACTICED THERE FOR SOME 62 YEARS. HE WAS A
- 14 BRONZE STAR RECIPIENT, HE WAS A PAST PRESIDENT OF TEMPLE
- 15 ISRAEL IN LONG BEACH, THE ALPERT JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER,
- 16 LAKEWOOD COORDINATING COUNCIL, AND A MEMBER OF THE LOS ANGELES
- 17 CERRITOS MASONIC LODGE. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 46
- 18 YEARS, DODIE, CHILDREN, BARRY AND JANET, JUDY AND DAVID, DAN,
- 19 AND MARSHA AND BARBARA, 10 GRANDCHILDREN AND TWO GREAT-
- 20 GRANDCHILDREN. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS, MR. MAYOR.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 23 ORDERED.



- 1 SUP. KNABE: LET'S SEE. I DON'T BELIEVE I WAS HOLDING ANYTHING,
- 2 SO...

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME MOVE THE FOLLOWING. ROSS
- 5 AMSPOKER, WHO WAS PALMDALE CITY'S FIRST CITY ATTORNEY, ALSO
- 6 SERVED AS A SUPERIOR COURT REFEREE, NEWSPAPER COLUMNIST AND
- 7 COMMUNITY LEADER IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, PASSED AWAY FROM
- 8 CANCER AT THE AGE OF 84. HE WAS HONORED FOR HIS 50-PLUS YEARS
- 9 OF DISTINGUISHED SERVICE IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, RECENTLY AT A
- 10 CEREMONY PRESENTED BY THE SUPERIOR COURT AND THE CITIES OF
- 11 PALMDALE AND LANCASTER AND MY OFFICE. THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT
- 12 LOST A NUMBER OF FINE LEADERS THIS PAST WEEK. FIRST, DONALD
- 13 DEAN DUNCAN, WHO PASSED AWAY IN LITTLE ROCK AT THE AGE OF 54.
- 14 HE HAD LIVED IN LITTLE ROCK FOR THE PAST 29 YEARS, A GRADUATE
- 15 OF CAL STATE UNIVERSITY SAN BERNARDINO, WORKED FOR THE LOS
- 16 ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT FOR 30 YEARS. LASHELLE
- 17 DUNHAM-JEMISON, A PROBATION OFFICER WHO ASSISTED THE OPERATION
- 18 READ PROGRAM AT CAMP HOLTON AND CAMP SOUTH IN THE SAN FERNANDO
- 19 VALLEY, PASSED AWAY, FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. ROBERT "TERRY"
- 20 LANDRUM, WHO IS THE DIRECTOR OF CAMP ROCKY, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 21 YESTERDAY. HE WAS A BELOVED AND RESPECTED MEMBER OF THE
- 22 PROBATION CAMP SYSTEM. OVER THE COURSE OF HIS YEARS, HE
- 23 CONTRIBUTED GREATLY TO THE LIVES -- IMPROVING THE LIVES OF OUR
- 24 STAFF AND MINORS. HE WILL BE MISSED. A GOOD FRIEND AND GOOD
- 25 LEADER IN THE VALLEY, ROBERT NEIMAN, PASSED AWAY. HE WAS A



- 1 DECORATED UNITED STATES MARINE, SAN FERNANDO VALLEY
- 2 PHILANTHROPIST AND ONE OF AMERICA'S-- CREATED AMERICA'S FIRST
- 3 DO IT YOURSELF HOME CENTER. HE PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 87.
- 4 HE HAD BEEN A RECIPIENT OF THE NAVY CROSS AND FIGHTING SOME OF
- 5 THE BLOODIEST BATTLES OF THE PACIFIC AND HE HAD THREE BRONZE
- 6 STARS, SIX BATTLE STARS AND, AFTER THE WAR HE MOVED TO
- 7 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, WHERE HE WENT INTO BUSINESS WITH HIS
- 8 FORMER TANK MATE, BOB REED, WHICH BUILD ONE OF THE BIGGEST
- 9 LUMBER SUPPLY COMPANIES IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AND HE IS
- 10 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 55 YEARS, SUSIE, A GOOD FRIEND AND
- 11 THEIR SONS, PASTOR JOHN NEIMAN, WHO RESIDES IN TEMPLE CITY AND
- 12 PHILIP VALENCIA, THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY AND ANDY OF WEST
- 13 LAKE VILLAGE AND THREE GRANDCHILDREN. ALBERT RIVERA, SR.,
- 14 FORMERLY THE SUPERVISING PROBATION OFFICER FOR LOS ANGELES
- 15 COUNTY. HE WAS LONG-TIME MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL LATINO PEACE
- 16 OFFICERS ASSOCIATION AND INSTRUMENTAL IN THE CREATION OF THE
- 17 ANNUAL SCHOLARSHIP AWARD GIVEN OUT TO HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS
- 18 THROUGHOUT OUR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. TWO GREAT ACTORS, DON
- 19 KNOTTS, WHO WAS RECIPIENT OF FIVE EMMY AWARDS AND A GREAT
- 20 COMEDIAN BEGINNING WITH THE ANDY GRIFFITH-- I SHOULD SAY,
- 21 BEGINNING WITH THE STEVE ALLEN AND THEN MOVING ON TO ANDY
- 22 GRIFFITH AND THREE'S COMPANY AND A NUMBER OF MOTION PICTURES
- 23 THAT HE MADE WITH DISNEY AND OTHERS. DENNIS WEAVER, THE ACTOR
- 24 WHO CAME TO FAME PLAYING DEPUTY CHESTER ON GUNSMOKE TO MATT
- 25 DILLON AND HAD HIS OWN SERIES ON MCLEOD AND A NUMBER OF



- 1 OTHERS. MARGARET FRANCES THRIFT PASSED AWAY, A SISTER OF ST.
- 2 JOSEPH'S CARONDELET, THE ORDER THAT MY AUNT IS A MEMBER OF.
- 3 CONSUELO "CONNIE" HUGHES COLE, WHOSE FAMILY STARTED HUGHES
- 4 MARKETS, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 98 IN GLENDALE. ANN MEANS.
- 5 SHE WAS THE MOTHER OF MARLEE LAUGHER OF THE NEW HALL LAND. SHE
- 6 PASSED AWAY. SHE WAS A COLUMNIST AND HOSTED MANY OF THE
- 7 TELEVISION PROGRAMS ON PUBLIC TELEVISION, PAST PRESIDENT OF
- 8 THE ASSISTANCE LEAGUE AND CO-FOUNDER OF ALLA GITANA GUILD OF
- 9 THE ORANGE COUNTY PERFORMING ARTS. PAUL EUGENE ESTES, WORLD
- 10 WAR II VETERAN WITH THE UNITED STATES ARMY WHO PASSED AWAY.
- 11 TYRUS BUQUI, RETIRED PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS AT LOS ANGELES
- 12 PIERCE COLLEGE, PASSED AWAY. SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT
- 13 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. I HAVE A MOTION TO INTRODUCE. SATURDAY,
- 14 FEBRUARY 25TH, AT APPROXIMATELY 10:30, THERE WAS A RACIALLY
- 15 MOTIVATED DISTURBANCE INVOLVING HISPANIC AND AFRICAN-AMERICAN
- 16 MINORS AT CHALLENGER CAMP MCNAIR. STAFF WAS ABLE TO RESPOND
- 17 OUICKLY AND STOP THE FIGHTING. 30 MINORS WERE SENT TO THE
- 18 SPECIAL HOUSING UNIT AND THE CAMP WAS ON LOCKDOWN STATUS
- 19 THROUGHOUT SUNDAY WITH THE EXCEPTION OF PARENTAL VISITS.
- 20 DISTURBANCES ON YOUTH VIOLENCE APPEARS TO BE ON THE RISE IN
- 21 OUR CAMPS AND HALLS AND I WOULD MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE
- 22 PROBATION OFFICER TO PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH A 30-DAY REPORT
- 23 RELATIVE TO THE YOUTH ON YOUTH VIOLENCE WITH OTHER
- 24 DISTURBANCES IN THE CAMPS AND HALLS, INCLUDING ASSESSMENT OF
- 25 THE CAUSES LEADING TO THIS AND I SHOULD SAY MAKE A REPORT



- 1 WITHIN 15 DAYS TO THE BOARD. SECONDED BY GLORIA MOLINA.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. IS THERE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM
- 3 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HERE? WOULD YOU COMMENT ON THE
- 4 DISTURBANCES LAST NIGHT, TELL THE BOARD WHAT HAPPENED.
- 5 SHERIFF. WE HAD ANOTHER DISTURBANCE. IF YOU WOULD JUST UPDATE
- 6 US ON WHAT OCCURRED.

7

- 8 VICTOR: I'M VICTOR \_\_\_\_\_ FROM SHERIFF'S ADMIN
- 9 SERVICES. I WAS NOT INFORMED OF ANY DISTURBANCES LAST NIGHT. I
- 10 HANDLE THE ADMIN SIDE AND BUDGET AND PERSONNEL, SO I CANNOT
- 11 GIVE YOU AN UPDATE BUT I CAN GET AN UPDATE AND GET BACK.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PERHAPS YOU COULD GET AN UPDATE AND
- 14 GIVE US A REPORT LATER ON IN THE MEETING.

15

16 VICTOR: YES, SIR. I'LL DO THAT.

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ALSO, WE HAVE A-- THANK YOU-- TO
- 19 DIRECT HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION TO CONTACT THE SANTA CLARITA
- 20 VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT. THEY HAD A DISTURBANCE THIS PAST
- 21 FRIDAY AND IF THEY WOULD GO OUT TO ASSIST THAT SCHOOL DISTRICT
- 22 FOR THE DISTURBANCE. IT WAS AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN/CAUCASIAN
- 23 VERSUS HISPANIC CONFRONTATION THAT TOOK PLACE. SECONDED BY
- 24 BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. WE HAVE A SET ITEM AT
- 25 11:00 AND THAT'S THE ISSUE RELATIVE TO PUBLIC HEALTH BEING



- 1 SEPARATED FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, SO IF WE COULD
- 2 PERHAPS HAVE A PRESENTATION FIRST AND THEN HEAR FROM THE
- 3 PUBLIC. DR. CHERNOF AND DR. FIELDING.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, ON ANOTHER ITEM, CAN I JUST
- 6 ASK ITEM 18, WHICH IS A PUBLIC WORKS ITEM RELATED TO COUNTY
- 7 U.S.C., CAN WE CONTINUE THAT ONE WEEK? MY STAFF HAS TALKED TO
- 8 THE PUBLIC WORKS ABOUT IT. THEY ARE OKAY WITH IT. THEY WANT TO
- 9 MEET WITH THEM ON THURSDAY SO WE CAN GET...

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO, ON ITEM 18, CONTINUED ONE WEEK AND
- 12 THERE'S NO IMPACT TO THE DEPARTMENT. WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING,
- 13 MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 14 ORDERED.

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, ON ITEM S-1, THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY
- 17 DISCUSSED THIS ISSUE IN DECEMBER AND CONTINUED THE ITEM UNTIL
- 18 THE COMPLETION OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE
- 19 DEPARTMENTS, THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND A PROPOSED
- 20 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THAT M.O.U. HAS BEEN COMPLETED
- 21 AND IS ATTACHED FOR YOUR REVIEW. YOU ALSO ASKED THAT THE
- 22 M.O.U. ON THE ISSUE BE TAKEN TO THE VARIOUS COMMISSIONS THAT
- 23 ARE INTERESTED IN THE SUBJECT MATTER. THAT HAS BEEN DONE AND
- 24 YOU HAVE COMMENTS FROM BOTH THE PUBLIC HEALTH COMMISSION AND
- 25 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES COMMISSION BEFORE YOU. YOU



- 1 HAVE ORDINANCES TO IMPLEMENT, SHOULD THAT BE YOUR CHOICE, THE
- 2 SEPARATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 3 SERVICES. A LOT OF WORK HAS GONE INTO THIS ON ALL PARTIES AND
- 4 WE BASICALLY AWAIT THE POLICY DECISION OF YOUR BOARD ON
- 5 WHETHER TO PROCEED WITH THE SEPARATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH FROM
- 6 THE DEPARTMENT.

7

9

12

20

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION?

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I RECOMMEND THAT YOU -- THAT YOU DO SEPARATE

11 THE DEPARTMENTS.

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. CHERNOF, YOU BELIEVE THAT

- 14 SEPARATING PUBLIC HEALTH WOULD PROVIDE FRAGMENTED CARE FOR THE
- 15 PATIENTS. CURRENTLY, PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES ARE, IN MOST
- 16 CASES, NOT CO-LOCATED WITH OUR CLINICS UNDER THE M.O.U. THAT
- 17 WILL NOT CHANGE. COULD YOU ELABORATE ON WHY YOU BELIEVE THE
- 18 M.O.U. DOES NOT SUFFICIENTLY PROVIDE A SEAMLESS SYSTEM FOR THE
- 19 PATIENTS?
- 21 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: OF COURSE, MAYOR. LET ME BEGIN BY SAYING
- 22 THAT I THINK WE ALREADY HAVE A FRAGMENTED SYSTEM THAT DOESN'T
- 23 WORK TOGETHER EFFICIENTLY ENOUGH AND OUR DEPARTMENT, IN
- 24 CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS, ARE WORKING HARD TO TRY TO
- 25 ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES. AND I THINK THAT SOME OF THE DISCUSSIONS



- 1 THAT YOUR BOARD HAS HAD AROUND ISSUES LIKE HOMELESSNESS, MY
- 2 DEPARTMENT'S EFFORTS TO WORK CLOSELY WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 3 MENTAL HEALTH TO TRY TO GET OVER SOME OF THE BARRIERS IN
- 4 COORDINATED CARE ARE JUST EXAMPLES OF SOME OF THE CHALLENGES
- 5 WE FACE. I ACTUALLY THINK THE M.O.U. IS A VERY THOUGHTFUL,
- 6 EXTREMELY WELL DEVELOPED DOCUMENT. I COULD SAY THAT DR.
- 7 FIELDING AND I HAVE WORKED CLOSELY TO MAKE SURE THAT THE
- 8 CONCEPTS IN THE DOCUMENT ARE ONES THAT WE BOTH STRONGLY
- 9 BELIEVE AND CAN SUPPORT AND CAN FULLY IMPLEMENT. AND I CAN SAY
- 10 THAT THAT-- THE DOCUMENT CLEARLY REFLECTS OUR GOALS FOR BOTH
- 11 ORGANIZATIONS. I THINK, IF YOU LOOK AT THE DOCUMENT, IF YOU
- 12 LOOK AT HOW LONG THE DOCUMENT ACTUALLY IS, THE NUMBER OF
- 13 PROGRAMS THAT ARE ARTICULATED, IT JUST POINTS OUT THE
- 14 CHALLENGES GOING FORWARD OF MAKING SURE THAT ALL OF THOSE
- 15 ELEMENTS CONTINUE TO COMMUNICATE WITH EACH OTHER AND, GIVEN
- 16 THAT THEY ARE FRAGMENTED AND NOT NECESSARILY CO-LOCATED TO
- 17 BEGIN WITH, THE FACT THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEPARATE THEM OUT,
- 18 PUT THEM ON DIFFERENT TRACKS, JUST ADDS ANOTHER LAYER OF
- 19 COMPLEXITY TO MAKING SURE THAT COMMUNICATION EXISTS.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU BELIEVE THAT A-- FROM A POLICY
- 22 VISIBILITY STANDPOINT, THE SEPARATING PUBLIC HEALTH FROM THE
- 23 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES IS POSITIVE. HOWEVER, FROM AN
- 24 ADMINISTRATIVE, EFFICIENCY AND SERVICE DELIVERY PERSPECTIVE,
- 25 YOU BELIEVE THE SEPARATION IS A NEGATIVE. COULD YOU PROVIDE



- 1 SPECIFIC EXAMPLES EXPLAINING WHY YOU BELIEVE, FROM A POLICY
- 2 STANDPOINT, SEPARATION IS POSITIVE; AND DO YOU BELIEVE THAT
- 3 POSITIVE OUTWEIGHS THE NEGATIVE?

- 5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CERTAINLY, MAYOR. LET ME SAY THAT, IN THE
- 6 TIME-- I'VE HAD THE PLEASURE OF WATCHING PUBLIC HEALTH CHANGE
- 7 OVER TIME. I WAS OUT OF THE DEPARTMENT AT THE TIME THAT DR.
- 8 FIELDING CAME ON BOARD AND I'VE WATCHED HIS WORK IN THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT WITH THE PUBLIC HEALTH FOLKS OVER SEVEN, ALMOST
- 10 EIGHT YEARS AT THIS POINT AND I THINK PUBLIC HEALTH HAS COME A
- 11 TREMENDOUS DISTANCE AND HAS DEVELOPED THE KIND OF VISIBILITY
- 12 THAT IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT AND IT IS EVEN MORE IMPORTANT
- 13 AFTER 9/11, MAKING SURE THAT WE ARE FULLY PREPARED FROM A
- 14 HOMELAND SECURITY PERSPECTIVE, FROM THE VARIOUS PANDEMICS AND
- 15 OTHER HEALTHCARE CONCERNS THAT WE FACE IN WHAT IS A GLOBAL
- 16 CITY AND A STOPPING POINT FOR MANY FOLKS. THAT VISIBILITY,
- 17 THAT DIRECT VISIBILITY FOR PUBLIC HEALTH TO YOUR BOARD, THE
- 18 GUIDANCE THAT DR. FIELDING AND PUBLIC HEALTH CAN PROVIDE YOUR
- 19 BOARD FROM A POLICY PERSPECTIVE, IS DEFINITELY A NET POSITIVE,
- 20 I THINK. AND WE'VE SEEN EVIDENCE OF THEIR STRONG WORK WITH
- 21 MANY OF THE CLINICAL CHALLENGES WE'VE FACED IN MY SHORT TIME
- 22 BACK IN THE DEPARTMENT. FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY
- 23 PERSPECTIVE, YOU KNOW, I PERSONALLY BELIEVE THAT DUPLICATING
- 24 BUREAUCRACIES HAS THE POTENTIAL MAYBE TO SIMPLIFY THINGS BUT,
- 25 IN GENERAL, IT JUST CREATES MORE BUREAUCRACIES AND, WITH IT,



- 1 AN ASSOCIATED COST. I ALSO THINK THAT, OVER TIME, THOSE
- 2 BUREAUCRACIES ARE LESS LIKELY TO TALK TO EACH OTHER. AND,
- 3 AGAIN, I WOULD JUST POINT OUT THE CHALLENGES THAT WE FACE
- 4 TRYING TO GET OUR CURRENT DEPARTMENTS TO WORK MORE CLOSELY
- 5 WITH EACH OTHER AND THAT'S NOT A CRITICISM OF THOSE
- 6 DEPARTMENTS BY ANY MEANS. IT'S JUST THE CHALLENGES OF RUNNING
- 7 INDEPENDENT ORGANIZATIONS AND STARTING TO MAKE INDEPENDENT
- 8 DECISIONS ABOUT THINGS LIKE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER
- 9 SORTS OF PROCESSES.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DO YOU BELIEVE THE M.O.U. WILL
- 12 STRENGTHEN THE DELIVERY OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HEALTH PROGRAMS
- 13 IN OUR COUNTY?

- 15 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S A REALLY GOOD QUESTION, MAYOR. I
- 16 THINK THAT, REGARDLESS OF YOUR BOARD'S DECISION, THE ANSWER TO
- 17 THE QUESTION IS YES. I PERSONALLY AND, ON BEHALF OF ALL OF THE
- 18 EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT, HAVE FOUND THIS TO BE A ROBUST
- 19 AND VALUABLE PROCESS. THE INPUT OF THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE, SHEILA
- 20 SHEEN, HAS BEEN EXTRAORDINARILY HELPFUL, GIVING JONATHAN AND I
- 21 A WAY OF REALIZING THE CONCEPTS THAT WE THINK ARE IMPORTANT IN
- 22 THE M.O.U. AND I THINK THAT, PROGRAMMATICALLY, WE CAN DELIVER
- 23 A BETTER PRODUCT AS A RESULT OF THIS THINKING, WHETHER WE STAY
- 24 TOGETHER OR WHETHER YOU CHOOSE TO CREATE TWO INDEPENDENT
- 25 ENTITIES.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. FIELDING, YOU INDICATE THAT THE
- 3 ONE-TIME ADMINISTRATIVE COST, IF WE SEPARATED THE TWO
- 4 FUNCTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND PUBLIC HEALTH, IS
- 5 APPROXIMATELY \$1.7 MILLION AND IT COULD BE COVERED WITH
- 6 EXISTING BUDGET EXPENDITURES THAT YOU HAVE AT YOUR DISPOSAL.
- 7 COULD YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU COULD COVER THE EXPENSE WITH THE
- 8 EXISTING RESOURCES YOU HAVE?

9

- 10 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: THANK YOU, MAYOR. YES, I'D BE PLEASED
- 11 TO. THE C.A.O. WORKED TIRELESSLY TO TRY AND UNDERSTAND THE
- 12 FUNDS FLOW AND THE RELATIVE CONTRIBUTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO
- 13 OVERHEAD, COMPARED TO THE SERVICES THAT PUBLIC HEALTH WAS
- 14 RECEIVING. AND THEIR CONCLUSION WAS THAT PUBLIC HEALTH
- 15 CURRENTLY PAYS \$4.7 MILLION TO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
- 16 IN EXCESS OF THE SERVICES THAT WE RECEIVE BACK FOR THAT
- 17 OVERHEAD. SO IT WOULD BE VERY EASY TO COVER THAT \$1.7 MILLION
- 18 FROM THAT, SHOULD THE DEPARTMENTS BE SEPARATED.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE RECOMMENDED CHANGES APPEAR TO
- 21 FOCUS ON ADMINISTRATIVE RATHER THAN SERVICE DELIVERY. HOW
- 22 WOULD THESE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES STRENGTHEN PUBLIC HEALTH'S
- 23 ABILITY TO PROVIDE YOUR SERVICES TO THE COUNTY?



- 1 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: MAYOR, I WAS BROUGHT IN HERE IN 1998 ON
- 2 THE HEELS OF A REPORT BY DR. BRESLOW AND HIS COLLEAGUES
- 3 SHOWING A SYSTEMATIC AND LONG, SLOW DECLINE IN PUBLIC HEALTH
- 4 CORE CAPACITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE LATE '80S AND MOST OF
- 5 THE 1990S. AND, WITH YOUR HELP, THE HELP OF THIS BOARD, WE'VE
- 6 BEEN ABLE TO REINVIGORATE PUBLIC HEALTH. MY VIEW IS THAT, BY
- 7 HAVING A SEPARATE ORGANIZATION THAT RESPONDS DIRECTLY TO YOUR
- 8 BOARD AND IS ACCOUNTABLE, WE WILL PREVENT HISTORY REPEATING
- 9 ITSELF AND MAKE SURE WE REMAIN STRONG AND CAN PROTECT THE
- 10 HEALTH OF THE PUBLIC. WE HAVE NEW THREATS THAT WE DID NOT HAVE
- 11 BEFORE. WE DID NOT HAVE BIOTERRORISM, WE DID NOT HAVE PANDEMIC
- 12 FLU, WE DID NOT HAVE S.A.R.S. THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PUBLIC
- 13 PROTECTION FUNCTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH HAS GROWN IMMENSELY, AS
- 14 HAS THE PARTNERSHIPS, THE PARTNERSHIPS WITH THE SHERIFF, WITH
- 15 LAW ENFORCEMENT, WITH FIRE, EVEN WITH THE F.B.I. I BELIEVE
- 16 THAT HAVING AN AGENCY THAT FOCUSES ON PROTECTING AND IMPROVING
- 17 THE HEALTH OF THE WHOLE POPULATION STRENGTHENS OUR COUNTY
- 18 OVERALL. FINALLY, I THINK HAVING OUR OWN ADMINISTRATIVE
- 19 PROCESSES WILL ASSURE THAT WE'RE NOT COMPETING WITH CRISES
- 20 THAT ARE OCCURRING IN HEALTH SERVICES DELIVERY IN OUR
- 21 HOSPITALS OR CLINICS FOR RESOURCES. SO WE WON'T HAVE CONTRACTS
- 22 DELAYED OR PERSONNEL, A KEY PERSON NOT HIRED AS QUICKLY AS WE
- 23 NEED TO. I THINK EVERYBODY IN D.H.S. HAS DONE A VERY GOOD JOB
- 24 OF TRYING TO JUGGLE PRIORITIES BUT IT IS A JUGGLING ACT AND
- 25 WE'RE A SMALL PART OF A LARGE DEPARTMENT. HAVING OUR OWN



- 1 CAPACITY, I THINK, WOULD REMEDIATE THAT TO A SUBSTANTIAL
- 2 DEGREE.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE COUNTY'S STRATEGIC PLAN HAS PLACED
- 5 EMPHASIS ON INITIATIVES TO COORDINATE AND REINTEGRATE HEALTH
- 6 AND HUMAN SERVICES DELIVERY. HOW WOULD THE SEPARATION PROVIDE
- 7 FOR INTEGRATION OF SERVICES?

8

- 9 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: MAYOR, I THINK THAT'S A VERY GOOD
- 10 OUESTION. THE M.O.U. THAT BOTH PUBLIC HEALTH AND D.H.S. STAFF
- 11 HAS WORKED SO HARD ON, UNDER THE WATCHFUL AND HELPFUL AEGIS OF
- 12 THE C.A.O. I THINK DELINEATES SPECIFICALLY THE ROLES AND
- 13 RESPONSIBILITIES. I THINK THAT HELPS INTEGRATION BECAUSE IT'S
- 14 VERY CLEAR WHO HAS TO DO WHAT AND UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES, SO
- 15 I THINK THAT THE PROCESS WE'VE GONE ON-- HAVE UNDERTAKEN
- 16 REALLY WILL STRENGTHEN THE INTEGRATION.

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE BOARD HAS BEEN ADVISED BY DR.
- 19 CHERNOF IN THE PAST THAT THE THREE MOST PRESSING ISSUES FACING
- 20 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES ARE THE ISSUE DEALING WITH
- 21 MAINTAINING KING/DREW MEDICAL CENTER, FOCUSING ON THE
- 22 TRANSITION TO THE NEW L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER, AND
- 23 DEVELOPING A COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY TO MANAGE THE LOOMING
- 24 D.H.S. BUDGET DEFICIT AND THOSE ARE ALL VITAL ISSUES THAT WILL
- 25 REQUIRE A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF TIME AND ENERGY. PREPARING FOR



- 1 THE THREATS SURROUNDING BIOTERRORISM, AN OUTBREAK OF THE
- 2 PANDEMIC FLU ARE ALSO PRESSING AND EQUALLY IMPORTANT AND, IN
- 3 MY VIEW, CREATING A SEPARATE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT ENSURES
- 4 THAT ALL CRITICAL ISSUES FACING BOTH HEALTH SERVICES AND
- 5 PUBLIC HEALTH ARE ADDRESSED AND I'LL JUST PUT THIS MOTION ON
- 6 THE TABLE AT THIS TIME. ON JUNE 28TH, THE BOARD APPROVED A
- 7 MOTION WHICH SUPPORTED, IN CONCEPT, THE SEPARATION OF THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES INTO A DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 9 HEALTH. AN M.O.U. HAD BEEN DEVELOPED WHICH DESCRIBES PROGRAMS
- 10 WHERE THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 11 PUBLIC HEALTH SHARE RESPONSIBILITIES OR WHERE THE
- 12 EFFECTIVENESS OF THEIR SEPARATE RESPONSIBILITIES ARE DEPENDENT
- 13 ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE OTHER DEPARTMENT'S PROGRAMS. THE
- 14 M.O.U. ALSO DEVELOPS A JOINT WORKING GROUP CONSISTING OF
- 15 EXISTING STAFF TO MEET AT LEAST QUARTERLY TO MONITOR THE
- 16 PROGRAM AREAS IN THE M.O.U. I'D MOVE THAT THE BOARD ADOPT THE
- 17 M.O.U. BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND THE
- 18 SEPARATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND BEGIN THE PROCESS OF
- 19 IMPLEMENTING THAT SEPARATION AND I'LL JUST PUT THAT ON THE
- 20 TABLE AT THIS TIME. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? ANYBODY WANTS TO
- 21 SAY ANYTHING AT THIS TIME?

- 23 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I MEAN, I THINK, I MEAN, IF YOU'RE GOING TO
- 24 PUT A MOTION OUT THERE, MR. MAYOR, I HAVE A COMPETING MOTION
- 25 THAT I'D LIKE TO PUT OUT THERE ON THE TABLE MAYBE THAT WE CAN



- 1 ALL DISCUSS, SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT THAN YOURS, AND MAYBE THE
- 2 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. OVER THE PAST TWO MONTHS, THIS BOARD
- 3 HAS RECEIVED A VERY THOROUGH REPORT FROM THE C.A.O. THAT
- 4 RECOMMENDED THE PUBLIC HEALTH FUNCTION AND BUDGET NEEDS TO BE
- 5 REASONABLY INSULATED FROM FUTURE REVENUE UNCERTAINTIES AND
- 6 SHORTFALLS FORECAST FOR COUNTY HOSPITALS AND CLINICS. THE
- 7 C.A.O.'S REPORT HAS SHOWN THAT THE TWO FUNCTIONS CAN BE
- 8 SEPARATED FISCALLY AND ADMINISTRATIVELY AND THAT, IN DOING SO,
- 9 MAY LEAD TO GREATER TRANSPARENCY AS TO WHERE AND HOW TAXPAYER
- 10 FUNDS ARE SPENT. AND I DON'T DENY THE IMPORTANCE OF ALL OF
- 11 THAT. IN THE BEGINNING OF THE DISCUSSION, MY CONCERN HAS
- 12 ALWAYS BEEN THAT CURRENT OPERATIONAL ISSUES AND THE LOOMING
- 13 DEFICIT IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES MAY MAKE IT
- 14 DIFFICULT FOR PUBLIC HEALTH TO RECEIVE SUFFICIENT ATTENTION
- 15 DESPITE GROWING THREATS, AS SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH MENTIONED,
- 16 OF EPIDEMICS, BIOTERRORISM AND THE BURDENS OF A CHRONIC
- 17 DISEASE AND THE CHANGING DEMOGRAPHICS HERE IN OUR COUNTY. BUT,
- 18 IN SPITE OF THE ANALYSIS DONE AND THE FEEDBACK FOR AND AGAINST
- 19 FROM FORMIDABLE HEALTH EXPERTS AND CONSUMER ADVOCATES,
- 20 QUESTIONS DO REMAIN. IS SEPARATING THE TWO FUNCTIONS THAT
- 21 FREQUENTLY INTERRELATE WITH EACH OTHER THE BEST AND ONLY
- 22 OPTION HERE? SEPARATION MAY LEAD TO MORE FISCAL AND
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE TRANSPARENCY BUT IT WILL NOT NECESSARILY LEAD
- 24 TO BETTER SERVICES FOR THE PUBLIC OR WILL IT BECOME ANOTHER
- 25 BUREAUCRATIC OPERATION WITH TUNNEL VISION? THERE'S NO QUESTION



- I IN MY MIND THAT BOTH PERSONAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC HEALTH NEED TO
- 2 WORK MORE CLOSELY TOGETHER. SEPARATING THE TWO IS NOT
- 3 NECESSARILY THE ANSWER. WHILE A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
- 4 MAY HELP, THE RISKS ARE TOO HIGH FOR ME TO SUPPORT AN ENTIRE
- 5 SEPARATION AT THIS TIME. DO I RECOMMEND GOING BACK TO THE
- 6 STATUS QUO? ABSOLUTELY NOT. OUR PUBLIC HEALTH FUNCTIONS MUST
- 7 BE STRONGER AND MORE EFFICIENT THAN THEY ARE NOW. THE PUBLIC
- 8 DESERVES NO LESS BUT WE DON'T HAVE TO CREATE TWO INDEPENDENT
- 9 BUREAUCRACIES TO REACH THAT GOAL. TO MAKE THIS WORK, WE NEED A
- 10 STRONGER FIREWALL TO DIVIDE ITS FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCES
- 11 FROM THE PERSONAL HEALTH SIDE AND THIS MUST BE DONE WITH THE
- 12 NECESSARY OPERATIONAL TRANSPARENCY TO ASSURE THAT PUBLIC
- 13 HEALTH RESOURCES ARE SPECIFICALLY USED FOR THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 14 MISSION. THE SPECIFICS OF STRENGTHENING PUBLIC HEALTH'S
- 15 OPERATING AUTONOMY CAN BE BASED ON LESSONS LEARNED FROM RECENT
- 16 PLANNING DONE THERE ALREADY. THE DILIGENT WORK BY COUNTY STAFF
- 17 TO DRAFT THE M.O.U. CAN STILL BE USED TO BETTER INTEGRATE
- 18 SERVICES TO PEOPLE WHOSE NEEDS CROSS THE BOUNDARIES BETWEEN
- 19 PERSONAL HEALTH, PUBLIC HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH. IT IS
- 20 IMPERATIVE THAT THE LESSONS LEARNED FROM THIS EXERCISE MUST
- 21 NOT BE LOST. THEY CAN AND MUST BE USED TO BENEFIT THE COUNTY
- 22 AND ITS RESIDENTS. SO I WOULD MOVE THAT, ONE, WE DETERMINE
- 23 THAT THE PROPOSAL FOR SEPARATE DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH SERVICES
- 24 AND PUBLIC HEALTH IS NOT APPROPRIATE FOR IMPLEMENTATION AT
- 25 THIS TIME BUT DIRECT THAT PROPOSED ORDINANCE CHANGES AND OTHER



- 1 PLANS FOR THE SEPARATIONS BE PRESERVED SHOULD CIRCUMSTANCES
- 2 CHANGE. TWO, DECLARE AS POLICY THE PUBLIC HEALTH AS A
- 3 DISTINCT, SEMI-AUTONOMOUS OPERATION WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 4 HEALTH SERVICES. FURTHERMORE, THAT THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER,
- 5 IN CLOSE COOPERATION WITH THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES, IS
- 6 THE LEAD AUTHORITY TO THIS BOARD AND TO THE PUBLIC FOR ALL
- 7 PUBLIC HEALTH MATTERS. AND, THREE, INSTRUCT THE C.A.O. TO MAKE
- 8 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD AS PART OF A 2006/'7 BUDGET TO
- 9 FURTHER STRENGTHEN PUBLIC HEALTH AND HOLD ITS MANAGEMENT
- 10 ACCOUNTABLE AS A SEMI-AUTONOMOUS UNIT OF HEALTH SERVICES WITH
- 11 A CLEARLY DEFINED MISSION AND BUDGET AND RESOURCES CLEAR AND
- 12 DISTINGUISHABLE FROM THAT OF PERSONAL HEALTH. ALSO RECOMMEND
- 13 WHETHER AND UNDER WHAT TERMS AN INTERDEPARTMENTAL M.O.U.
- 14 BETWEEN HEALTH SERVICES, PUBLIC HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH IS
- 15 APPROPRIATE. SO I WOULD PUT THAT ON THE TABLE AS WELL.

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR BURKE.

19 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE SOME OUESTIONS I'D LIKE TO ASK. THERE IS A

- 20 PROJECTION THAT THERE WOULD BE A 1.7-MILLION-DOLLAR SHORTFALL
- 21 OR COST OF THE SEPARATION AND THAT PUBLIC HEALTH WOULD ABSORB
- 22 IT. HOW WOULD THAT WORK?

16

18

- 24 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: SUPERVISOR, IT WOULD WORK IN TWO WAYS.
- 25 AS I INDICATED, THE C.A.O. DID AN ANALYSIS OF OUR BUDGET,



- 1 PUBLIC HEALTH BUDGET, VERSUS THE REST OF D.H.S. AND ITS
- 2 CONCLUSION WAS THAT, FROM OUR OVERHEAD, FROM OUR GRANTS, WE
- 3 PAY \$4.7 MILLION IN EXCESS OF THE SERVICES WE RECEIVE. SO, IF
- 4 THE DEPARTMENTS WERE SEPARATED, WE WOULD HAVE THOSE FUNDS
- 5 AVAILABLE THAT WE DON'T HAVE NOW AND THE 1.7 COULD BE EASILY
- 6 ABSORBED WITH PART OF THAT. BUT, IN ADDITION, I BELIEVE THAT
- 7 WE WILL HAVE A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN GRANT OPPORTUNITIES AS
- 8 A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT AND WE WILL ALSO HAVE MORE OVERHEAD FROM
- 9 THAT TO HELP DEFRAY THESE COSTS.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: HOW DOES IT HAPPEN THAT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO HAVE
- 12 MORE GRANT OPPORTUNITIES?

13

- 14 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: WELL, I THINK, RIGHT NOW, IT TAKES A
- 15 LONG TIME FOR US TO GET PEOPLE HIRED, SO A LOT OF SHORT-TERM
- 16 GRANTS WE DON'T GO AFTER SIMPLY BECAUSE IT TAKES TOO LONG TO
- 17 GET THE POSITIONS ALLOCATED AND THEN TO RECRUIT AND THEN TO
- 18 HIRE. SO IF YOU HAVE A GRANT FOR TWO YEARS, OFTENTIMES, YOU'RE
- 19 NOT ABLE TO DO THAT AND, WITH ALL THE OTHER PRIORITIES OF THE
- 20 DEPARTMENT, I THINK IT'S HARD TO DO IT IN THAT PERIOD OF TIME
- 21 AS WELL AS GETTING CONTRACTS FINALIZED. I THINK, IF WE HAVE
- 22 CONTROL OVER OUR OWN H.R. AND CONTRACTS AND GRANTS, WE'LL BE
- 23 ABLE TO DO IT MORE QUICKLY.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN WITH THE OFFICE OF WOMEN'S
- 2 HEALTH?

3

- 4 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: THE OFFICE OF WOMEN'S HEALTH WOULD
- 5 REMAIN AS WE HAVE ORGANIZED IT WITH PUBLIC HEALTH BUT IT HAS A
- 6 BROAD RESPONSIBILITY AND WOULD CONTINUE THE IMPORTANT WORK
- 7 THAT IT HAS IN DEALING WITH THE FULL RANGE OF HEALTH ISSUES
- 8 FOR WOMEN. ITS CURRENT PRIORITY, AS YOU KNOW, SUPERVISOR, IS
- 9 FOCUSING ON THE EPIDEMIC OF HEART DISEASE AMONG WOMEN
- 10 THROUGHOUT OUR COUNTY.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE: ALSO, ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS IN TERMS OF COST
- 13 SEPARATIONS, I'D LIKE TO GET SOME UNDERSTANDING IN TERMS OF
- 14 THE I.T. HOW MUCH IS IT GOING TO COST TO SEPARATE THE I.T.?

15

- 16 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: I AM NOT AWARE THAT WE EXPECT AN
- 17 INCREASE IN COST TO SEPARATE THE I.T. I WOULD DEFER TO C.A.O.
- 18 BUT, SUPERVISOR, WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE ANY INCREASE IN COST TO
- 19 SEPARATING THE I.T.

- 21 SUP. KNABE: SUPERVISOR BURKE, COULD I JUST-- ON A PREVIOUS
- 22 QUESTION? YOU KNOW, MY MOTION, ALTHOUGH NOT COMPLETE
- 23 SEPARATION, STILL GIVES THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH THE
- 24 CONTROL OVER CONTRACTS AND THINGS. THAT'S NOT A MESHED-IN DEAL
- 25 THERE THAT'S SEMI-AUTONOMOUS NATURE.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: WHICH IS GOING TO GET TO ANOTHER ONE OF MY
- 3 QUESTIONS. LET ME GET THE I.T. AND THEN THE NEXT ONE, I WANT
- 4 TO REALLY GET AN UNDERSTANDING IN TERMS OF HUMAN RESOURCES AND
- 5 HOW THAT WOULD WORK. SO THERE WOULD BE HIRED A NEW HUMAN
- 6 RESOURCE PERSON, I ASSUME? YES, WOULD YOU RESPOND?

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR, ON THE I.T., IN THE
- 9 DECEMBER 13TH REPORT, ON PAGE 16, IT SAYS: BECAUSE BOTH D.H.S.
- 10 AND PUBLIC HEALTH CURRENTLY MAINTAIN SEPARATE INFORMATION
- 11 SERVICES UNITS AND SYSTEMS, IT WAS DETERMINED, AT LEAST
- 12 INITIALLY, THAT BOTH UNITS SHOULD MAINTAIN OPERATIONS THAT
- 13 CURRENTLY EXIST, SO THEY'RE ALREADY EFFECTIVELY SEPARATED.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: SO THEY'RE ALREADY SEPARATED, SO THAT'S NOT
- 16 NECESSARY. NOW WHAT ABOUT HUMAN RESOURCES? AND I GUESS THAT'S
- 17 THE KEY TO THE ISSUE THAT'S BEEN RAISED BY DR. FIELDING.

- 19 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: CURRENTLY, SUPERVISOR, THERE IS ONE
- 20 DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES WHICH SERVES THE NEEDS OF THOSE
- 21 PERSONAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC HEALTH. IN THE SEPARATION, THERE
- 22 WOULD BE A SEPARATE UNIT THAT WOULD SERVE PUBLIC HEALTH AS
- 23 THERE WOULD BE FOR CONTRACTS AND GRANTS AND MATERIAL
- 24 MANAGEMENT, ALL AREAS WHERE WE'VE HAD SOME ISSUES GETTING
- 25 PRIORITY OF OTHER OVERARCHING ISSUES.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: IS THAT INCLUDED IN THE 1.7 MILLION ADDITIONAL
- 3 COST FOR PERSONNEL?

4

- 5 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: YES, IT IS, SUPERVISOR. IT'S FULLY
- 6 INCLUDED. IN FACT, MOST OF THE POSITIONS ARE THE
- 7 ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT POSITIONS AND I WOULD SAY, IN THAT, MY
- 8 UNDERSTANDING OF THE ANALYSIS OF THE C.A.O. IS THAT THERE WAS
- 9 A FEELING THAT, EVEN IF WE STAYED TOGETHER, THAT THERE WAS AN
- 10 UNDER FUNDING-- THERE WAS INSUFFICIENT RESOURCES IN THAT, SO
- 11 IT WASN'T JUST A QUESTION OF DIVIDING UP WHAT CURRENTLY
- 12 EXISTS. NEW RESOURCES HAD TO BE ADDED.

13

14 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: NOW, WHAT ABOUT SOME OF THOSE THINGS, LIKE LAB
- 17 TESTING? WOULD YOU BILL-- WOULD ONE DEPARTMENT BILL THE OTHER
- 18 FOR THOSE, LIKE, LAB TESTS AND OTHER THINGS LIKE THAT?

- 20 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: YES. DR. CHERNOF AND I HAVE AGREED ON
- 21 THE PRINCIPLE THAT, WHERE THE DEPARTMENTS SEPARATE, EACH
- 22 DEPARTMENT WOULD HAVE THE OPTION OF USING THE OTHER DEPARTMENT
- 23 FOR THE SERVICES IT CURRENTLY NOW GETS OR GOING ELSEWHERE IF
- 24 THEY CAN GET IT MORE COST EFFECTIVELY. AND SO, TO THE DEGREE
- 25 THAT A PERSONAL HEALTH USES THE PUBLIC HEALTH LAB, WE WOULD,



- 1 IN ESSENCE, HAVE TO COMPETE WITH OTHERS TO MAKE SURE IT WAS
- 2 COST EFFECTIVE FOR THEM. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT PUBLIC HEALTH
- 3 CURRENTLY USES LAB FACILITIES AND PERSONAL HEALTH, THE SAME
- 4 WAY. IS THAT...?

5

- 6 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: YEAH, I THINK THAT'S VERY ACCURATE. THAT
- 7 THE CONCEPT THAT THE M.O.U. LAYS OUT IS WHAT DR. FIELDING AND
- 8 I CONSIDER A PROVIDER OF FIRST RESORT, FEE FOR SERVICE
- 9 RELATIONSHIP, THE LOGIC BEING, WE WOULD LIKE TO WORK TOGETHER
- 10 WITHIN THE COUNTY FAMILY WHEREVER POSSIBLE AND THAT WE SHOULD
- 11 MAKE THAT A GOAL BUT THAT WE DO WANT EACH SIDE TO CARRY ITS
- 12 OWN WEIGHT FINANCIALLY.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: I UNDERSTAND THAT LABOR IS OPPOSED. HAVE YOU HAD
- 15 DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM ABOUT THAT, DR. FIELDING?

16

- 17 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: WE HAD SEVERAL DISCUSSIONS. I WAS
- 18 UNAWARE, UNTIL TODAY, THAT THEY WERE OPPOSED. AS OF LAST WEEK,
- 19 THEY HAD TOLD ME THAT THEY WERE SPECIFICALLY NEUTRAL ON THIS
- 20 ISSUE BECAUSE THEY HAD EMPLOYEES IN BOTH PUBLIC HEALTH AND
- 21 PERSONAL HEALTH, SO I THINK YOU'LL HAVE TO HEAR FROM THEM.

22

23 SUP. BURKE: HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TODAY THAT THEY WERE?



- 1 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: NOT OFFICIALLY. I HEARD A RUMOR THAT
- 2 THEY HAD CHANGED THEIR POSITION BUT I HAVE NOT HEARD
- 3 SPECIFICALLY FROM THEM, NO.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY WHAT THEIR POSITION IS
- 6 BUT I THINK THAT WHAT THEIR-- THEY HAVE, I GUESS, SOME
- 7 CONCERNS IN TERMS OF AN IMMEDIACY OF SEPARATION AND-- WHICH IS
- 8 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS RAISED BY DR. CHERNOF'S LETTER THAT
- 9 CONCERNS ME. WE HAVE THE U.S.C. NEW BUILDING GOING IN, WE HAVE
- 10 THE ISSUES AS FAR AS KING THAT ARE UNRESOLVED. I HAVE BEEN
- 11 LEANING TOWARDS SEPARATION BUT I'M-- AND I'M NOT PREPARED, AT
- 12 THIS POINT, TO SAY THAT I WOULD SAY THAT WE SHOULD JUST PUT
- 13 THE WHOLE DISCUSSION IN A FILE BOX. I WOULD PREFER, AND I'D
- 14 FEEL MUCH MORE COMFORTABLE, TO PUT THIS DECISION OVER UNTIL
- 15 SOME OF THOSE THINGS ARE RESOLVED AND SOME OF THOSE PROBLEMS
- 16 AND SO, SUPERVISOR KNABE, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO CONSIDER, IN
- 17 YOUR MOTION, PUTTING A SPECIFIC TIME THAT THIS WOULD COME
- 18 BACK, SAY IN SIX MONTHS?

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT. I MEAN, I THINK THE
- 21 WHOLE PURPOSE HERE BEING IS THAT IT GIVES US AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 22 SEE HOW IT MAY WORK UP TO COMPLETE SEPARATION WITH THE SEMI-
- 23 AUTONOMOUS KIND OF THING AND IF YOU WANT IT TO COME BACK IN
- 24 SIX MONTHS...



- 1 SUP. BURKE: I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE AUTONOMY AND I WOULD
- 2 CERTAINLY LIKE TO SEE, PARTICULARLY IN THE AREA OF HUMAN
- 3 RESOURCES, THAT THERE WOULD NOT BE ANY KIND OF IMPEDIMENT TO
- 4 PUBLIC HEALTH MOVING FORWARD. BUT WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND
- 5 YOUR MOTION TO SAY THAT WE WOULD PUT OFF THIS DECISION UNTIL--
- 6 FOR SIX MONTHS AND, AT THAT POINT-- WE WOULD HAVE SIX MONTHS
- 7 TO LOOK AT IT. BY THAT TIME, WE'LL-- WHEN IS U.S.C. SCHEDULED
- 8 TO OPEN? NOT UNTIL THE MIDDLE OF NEXT YEAR?

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, BECAUSE I-- MY INTENT, SUPERVISOR BURKE, IS
- 11 THE SAME AS YOURS, THAT THE H.R. ISSUES ARE NOT IMPEDED, THAT
- 12 THE CONTRACT ISSUES ARE NOT IMPEDED AND THAT THE DIRECTOR OF
- 13 PUBLIC HEALTH BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR THAT. AND A SIX-MONTH TEST, I
- 14 MEAN, IF YOU WANT IT TO COME BACK, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
- 15 THAT.

- 17 SUP. BURKE: YES. I -- YOU KNOW, I KNOW THAT, AT ONE TIME,
- 18 PUBLIC HEALTH FUNCTIONED SEPARATELY AND MANY PEOPLE REMEMBER
- 19 THAT BUT THE FACILITIES WERE VERY DISTINCT. WE DIDN'T HAVE THE
- 20 COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH FACILITIES, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, AT
- 21 THAT TIME. WE HAD-- THOSE WERE PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITIES AND
- 22 THEY WERE PEOPLE WHO WERE VERY AGGRESSIVE THAT I THINK ALL OF
- 23 US RECALL AND REMEMBER VERY WELL FROM THEIR ACTIVITIES IN
- 24 PUBLIC HEALTH. SO I WOULD AMEND YOUR MOTION TO CHANGE IT FOR
- 25 SIX MONTHS, TO COME BACK IN SIX MONTHS FOR US TO THEN...



1
2 **SUP. KNABE:** UNDER THIS SEMI-AUTONOMOUS FORMAT?

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT. THEY WOULD CONTINUED UNDER A SEMI-- THEY
- 5 WOULD START MOVING INTO A SEMI-AUTONOMOUS APPROACH TO
- 6 OPERATIONS AND WE WOULD SEE HOW THAT'S PROGRESSING AND WHAT
- 7 HAPPENS.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU'RE SAYING THE SIX-MONTH TIMEFRAME
- 10 WOULD HAVE THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH MOVING INTO A
- 11 SEPARATE DEPARTMENT AND, AFTER SIX MONTHS, YOU WOULD REVIEW
- 12 IT?

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: NO, I'M NOT SAYING THAT. IT WOULD BE A SEMI-
- 15 AUTONOMOUS. IT WOULD NOT BE SEPARATED AT THIS POINT OVER A SIX
- 16 MONTH PERIOD. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING THE DECISION OVER FOR SIX
- 17 MONTHS OF WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S A TOTAL SEPARATION.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COULD YOU EXPLAIN, DR. CHERNOF AND DR.
- 20 FIELDING, WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND OR HOW YOU UNDERSTAND THAT
- 21 MOTION? WHAT DOES IT MEAN, MOVE INTO A SEMI-AUTONOMOUS
- 22 SITUATION?

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: COMPARED TO WHAT YOU'RE DOING RIGHT
- 25 NOW.



- 2 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WELL, I'LL START AND THEN DR. FIELDING CAN
- 3 CHIME IN AND WE'LL DO THIS TOGETHER. I THINK THAT THE IDEA
- 4 HERE, AND IT'S AN IDEA THAT I ACTUALLY SUPPORT, IS THAT, FOR
- 5 SOME OF THE FUNCTIONAL AREAS, IT MAKES SENSE, GIVEN THE VOLUME
- 6 OF WORK THAT PUBLIC HEALTH DOES, THAT THERE ARE STAFF THAT ARE
- 7 PREDOMINANTLY ASSIGNED TO WORK ON THOSE AREAS AND WOULD WORK
- 8 ON THOSE AREAS FIRST. IN A SHARED DEPARTMENT, THERE SHOULD BE
- 9 SHARED RESOURCES THAT GO BACK AND FORTH AND DR. FIELDING AND I
- 10 WOULD NEED TO SORT OF NEGOTIATE WHEN THERE WAS A CHALLENGE
- 11 AND, IF YOU LEAVE THE DEPARTMENTS TOGETHER, I WANT TO MAKE IT
- 12 CLEAR THAT, YOU KNOW, IF THERE WAS A SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGE
- 13 WHERE SOMETHING THAT WE CAN'T FORESEE BUT HAD TO COME BACK IN
- 14 FRONT OF YOUR BOARD WITH NEW AND UNEXPECTED CHALLENGES, IT
- 15 MIGHT BE THAT THE PERSONAL HEALTH SIDE WOULD NEED TO SUPPORT A
- 16 PUBLIC HEALTH EFFORT TO INCREASE CONTRACTING TO DEAL WITH SOME
- 17 ISSUE THAT'S YET TO BE BEFORE US. BUT I DO THINK THAT THERE
- 18 ARE CERTAIN AREAS WHERE THE VOLUME OF WORK THAT PUBLIC HEALTH
- 19 GENERATES, COULD BE MANAGED BY A COHORT OF STAFF THAT
- 20 PREDOMINANTLY DO THAT WORK. I ALSO THINK THAT LOOKING AT THE
- 21 BUDGET IN A WAY THAT SORT OF SEGMENTS THE PARTS OF THEIR WORK
- 22 THAT ARE PROGRAM-RELATED AS OPPOSED TO SERVICE-RELATED. THOSE
- 23 ARE ALSO AREAS THAT WE CAN IDENTIFY AND PROBABLY MANAGE IN A
- 24 MORE SEGMENTED FASHION. I WOULD WANT MY DIRECTOR OF FINANCE TO
- 25 COMMENT ON THAT BUT I AM COMFORTABLE WITH THAT AS A CONCEPT.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT WOULD BE THE ADVANTAGE OF DOING THAT
- 3 VERSUS JUST A COMPLETE SEPARATION? WHAT WOULD BE THE HARM IN A
- 4 COMPLETE SEPARATION? IF YOU'RE WILLING TO GO THIS FAR, WHAT
- 5 WOULD BE THE HARM IN COMPLETELY SEPARATING THEM? IT SOUNDS
- 6 LIKE YOU WANT TO HAVE ONE FOOT IN ONE SYSTEM AND ONE FOOT IN
- 7 ANOTHER AND I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT AMBIGUITY IS GOING TO SERVE
- 8 EITHER FUNCTION OF PUBLIC OR PERSONAL HEALTH WELL. SO WHAT'S
- 9 THE BEEF OF JUST-- IF YOU'RE GOING TO GO THAT FAR, WHY NOT GO
- 10 THE REST OF THE WAY?

- 12 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, I THINK, WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 13 NEAR TERM, THAT AN OUTRIGHT SEPARATION DOES CREATE MORE
- 14 CHALLENGES TO JUST ACCOMPLISH IT IN THE SHORT-TERM THAN A MOVE
- 15 TOWARDS MORE INDEPENDENT FUNCTIONAL UNITS WITHIN THE
- 16 DEPARTMENT. THE SECOND OBSERVATION I WOULD MAKE, AND IT'S THE
- 17 ONE I'VE MADE TO EACH OF YOU, IS THAT I DO THINK THAT, ONCE A
- 18 COMPLETE SEPARATION OCCURS, FOR THE COMPONENT OF SERVICES, FOR
- 19 THE COMPONENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMS THAT HAVE A SERVICE
- 20 ELEMENT IN THEM THAT ACTUALLY DO TOUCH INDIVIDUALS OR FAMILIES
- 21 AND THAT DO INTERRELATE WITH THE FACILITIES, THE HOSPITALS AND
- 22 THE CLINICS THAT WE CURRENTLY OWN AND OPERATE IN THE COUNTY,
- 23 THAT THOSE SERVICES WILL ULTIMATELY END UP BEING MORE
- 24 FRAGMENTED BECAUSE YOU WILL LOSE THE CONNECTIVITY BY BEING IN
- 25 ONE DEPARTMENT.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO LET ME GET TO YOUR FIRST POINT. THERE'S
- 3 NEVER GOING TO BE-- I MEAN, THERE'S NEVER GOING TO BE A GOOD
- 4 TIME TO MAKE THIS CHANGE BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE, WHEN YOU GET TO
- 5 THE SECOND POINT, THAT YOU'RE NOT FOR THE CHANGE NO MATTER
- 6 WHETHER IT'S A GOOD TIME OR A BAD TIME. I DON'T THINK YOU WANT
- 7 TO SEPARATE IS WHAT IT SOUNDS LIKE TO ME, PERIOD. AM I
- 8 MISREADING YOU?

9

- 10 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: NO, MY RECOMMENDATION TO YOUR BOARD HAS
- 11 BEEN THAT I CAN SEE THE PLUSES. I MEAN, THERE IS NO RIGHT OR
- 12 WRONG DECISION HERE, SUPERVISOR. I THINK IT'S A VERY TOUGH
- 13 POLICY DECISION AND IT'S BEEN A VERY THOUGHTFUL DISCUSSION...

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I'LL TELL YOU WHAT THE WRONG DECISION
- 16 WOULD BE. THE WRONG DECISION WOULD BE TO CREATE SUCH AMBIGUITY
- 17 THAT IT WOULD COMPLICATE THE SITUATION THAT CURRENTLY EXISTS.
- 18 YOU'D MAKE A BAD SITUATION WORSE. THAT'S ALL-- OR A SITUATION
- 19 WE WANT TO IMPROVE UPON WORSE. THAT'S THE PROBLEM AND, I MEAN,
- 20 THIS IS WHAT DRIVES ME NUTS ABOUT THIS MIDDLE GROUND, IS I'M
- 21 NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND WHAT IT DOES. IF YOU'RE GOING TO
- 22 PARTIALLY SEPARATE IT OR CREATE A SEMI-AUTONOMOUS SITUATION,
- 23 THAT'S GOING TO TAKE YOU A LOT OF TIME, TOO, AND IT'S GOING TO
- 24 DISTRACT YOUR ATTENTION FROM KING/DREW AND ALL THE OTHER
- 25 THINGS THAT ARE TAKING YOUR TIME. BUT, ACTUALLY, IF THERE'S



- 1 ANY REFORM WITHIN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT THAT COULD BE DONE
- 2 RELATIVELY SIMPLY, WHERE IT LENDS ITSELF TO A VERY NATURAL
- 3 SEPARATION, IT'S THIS. THERE ARE A LOT OF OTHER THINGS THAT I
- 4 WOULD BE VERY SYMPATHETIC TO ABOUT REFORMING THE HEALTH
- 5 DEPARTMENT AT A TIME WHEN YOU'RE TRYING TO FIX MANY OF THE
- 6 PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE BUT THIS IS NOT THE ONE AND, IN FACT, IF
- 7 YOU'RE ALREADY PREPARING TO MAKE SOME UNDEFINED CHANGE, WHICH,
- 8 AS I SAY, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE CHANGE IS BUT LET'S
- 9 ASSUME THAT IT'S A CHANGE OF MOVING FROM THE CURRENT SITUATION
- 10 TO A SEMI-AUTONOMOUS SITUATION, THAT'S ALREADY GOING TO TAKE
- 11 PROBABLY 90% OF THE ENERGY AND THE INTELLECTUAL WORK POWER
- 12 THAT YOU HAVE TO GET THAT DONE AS IT WOULD TO JUST SEPARATE IT
- 13 COMPLETELY. MOST OF THE THINGS WOULD BE-- MOST OF THE WORK
- 14 WOULD BE INTERCHANGEABLE BETWEEN ONE OPTION OR THE OTHER. MR.
- 15 CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO-- I MEAN, I WANT TO JUST BACK UP FOR A
- 16 SECOND AND TALK ABOUT THIS. I THOUGHT WE WERE MOVING IN A VERY
- 17 DISTINCT DIRECTION AND-- WHEN SUPERVISOR KNABE PROPOSED THIS
- 18 ORIGINALLY LAST YEAR AND IT WAS A BOLD PROPOSAL AND WE KNEW IT
- 19 WAS BOLD AT THE TIME. WE'VE CONTINUED THIS ONCE OR TWICE SINCE
- 20 IT WAS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED IN ORDER TO GIVE THE DEPARTMENTS
- 21 MORE TIME AND THE STAKEHOLDERS MORE TIME TO INPUT. I'VE SEEN
- 22 THE STAKEHOLDER INPUT AND THE STAKEHOLDER INPUT IS THE SAME
- 23 INPUT WE'RE GETTING CONSTANTLY. I GOT A LETTER FROM ONE
- 24 STAKEHOLDER WHO SAYS THAT BEFORE WE-- IF WE'RE GOING TO DO IT,
- 25 WE OUGHT TO HAVE A HEALTH AUTHORITY IMPOSED AT THE SAME TIME.



- 1 NOW, THAT WOULD COMPLICATE YOUR LIFE A BIT AT THIS VERY MOMENT
- 2 AND A BUNCH OF OTHER THINGS, HALF A DOZEN OR MORE THINGS. I
- 3 THINK THAT PUBLIC HEALTH IS AS IMPORTANT AN ISSUE THAT WE FACE
- 4 IN THIS COUNTY TODAY AS ANY OTHER. IT'S KIND OF A SILENT-- THE
- 5 SILENT HEALTH. UNTIL WE GET A ANTHRAX ATTACK OR A CHEMICAL
- 6 ATTACK OR A CHEMICAL ACCIDENT OR SOME KIND OF A BIOLOGICAL
- 7 EVENT OR STRAWBERRIES AT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT END UP
- 8 CONTAMINATING HUNDREDS IF NOT MORE STUDENTS AS WE HAD SOME
- 9 YEARS AGO, PUBLIC HEALTH OR RESTAURANTS OR HEPATITIS A, I
- 10 MEAN, LET'S FACE IT, PUBLIC HEALTH TODAY GETS MORE ATTENTION
- 11 BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC THAN IT DID 10 YEARS AGO OR FIVE YEARS
- 12 AGO AND, SINCE 9/11, PUBLIC HEALTH IS ON EVERYBODY'S MIND,
- 13 WHETHER THEY CALL IT PUBLIC HEALTH OR NOT, IT'S PUBLIC HEALTH.
- 14 IT'S WHAT WE DEPEND UPON THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT OR THE
- 15 PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO DEAL WITH.
- 16 SO I WAS VERY SUPPORTIVE AND I AM VERY SUPPORTIVE OF WHAT WAS
- 17 SUPERVISOR KNABE'S PROPOSAL TO SEPARATE THE DEPARTMENTS, NOT
- 18 BECAUSE I'M FOR CHANGE FOR CHANGE'S SAKE, I NEVER AM. I THINK
- 19 REARRANGING THE CHAIRS ON THE DECK OF THE TITANIC IS NOT
- 20 EXACTLY A WORTHY EXERCISE, BUT THAT'S NOT THE CASE HERE. WE
- 21 HAVE A VERY FINE PUBLIC HEALTH OPERATION THAT COULD BE MADE
- 22 BETTER. WE'VE GET A PERSONAL HEALTH AND THE REST OF OUR HEALTH
- 23 SYSTEM, WHICH NEEDS A LOT OF HELP BUT IT'S GETTING BETTER. AND
- 24 I THINK THIS WOULD LIBERATE BOTH TO ACHIEVE WHAT THEY NEED TO
- 25 ACHIEVE. IT WOULD LIBERATE THE PUBLIC HEALTH ASPECTS OF THE



- 1 HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO PROVIDE FIRST RATE PREPAREDNESS AND
- 2 RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES AND CRISES AND EPIDEMICS THAT
- 3 WE HAVE IN OUR-- AND MAY HAVE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND IT WILL
- 4 LIBERATE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO BE ABLE TO DEAL WITH THE
- 5 ISSUES THAT ARE HAMSTRINGING THEM TO DO WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE
- 6 TO TURN IT AROUND. AND I THINK YOU'RE MOVING IN THAT DIRECTION
- 7 SLOWLY BUT SURELY AND IT KEEPS GOING THAT WAY. BUT LET'S-- I
- 8 APPRECIATE THE EFFORT TO COMPROMISE BUT THIS IS -- TO ME, THIS
- 9 IS NOT A COMPROMISE, THIS IS -- I MEAN, I THINK THE TIME IS
- 10 EITHER-- TIME HAS COME TO EITHER FISH OR CUT BAIT. IF WE WANT
- 11 TO WAIT SIX MONTHS-- SIX MONTHS FROM NOW, WE'RE GOING TO BE IN
- 12 EXACTLY THE SAME POSITION. COUNTY U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER IS NOT
- 13 GOING TO BE UP AND OPERATIONAL UNTIL THE MIDDLE TO LATTER PART
- 14 OF 2007 SO, FOR THE NEXT 12 TO 18 MONTHS, THERE'S A BUILT-IN
- 15 EXCUSE TO POSTPONE IT TWO OR THREE MORE TIMES. I'M NOT SURE
- 16 THE FINANCIAL SITUATION OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS GOING TO
- 17 BE SOLVED IN THE NEXT 12-- IN FACT, I'M CERTAIN IT WON'T BE
- 18 SOLVED IN THE NEXT 12 TO 18 MONTHS SO WE'LL HAVE A BUILT-IN
- 19 EXCUSE TO CONTINUE THAT SEVERAL MORE TIMES. WHETHER KING
- 20 MEDICAL CENTER IS TURNED AROUND IN THE NEXT SIX MONTHS OR NOT,
- 21 WE'LL KNOW REASONABLY SOON BUT, EVEN IF WE TURN IT AROUND,
- 22 WHICH WOULD BE A GREAT ACHIEVEMENT, WE HAVE A SLEW OF OTHER
- 23 PROBLEMS IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT THAT WILL PROVIDE FODDER FOR
- 24 A POSTPONEMENT OF THE DECISION. SO I'M REALLY NOT CLEAR WHAT
- 25 THE REASON IS FOR THE POSTPONEMENT AND IF THERE'S-- I GUESS IF



- 1 THERE WAS A REASON FOR THE POSTPONEMENT, I WONDER WHY IT WAS
- 2 PROPOSED IN THE FIRST PLACE. I WANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS.
- 3 I THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA. IF IT'S GOING TO TAKE YOU MORE TIME
- 4 TO IMPLEMENT THE SEPARATION, I'M PREPARED TO CONSIDER SOME FOR
- 5 THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SEPARATION. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE
- 6 M.O.U. PROVIDES A SCHEDULE OF TIME. DOES IT?

7

- 8 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: THERE'S A SEPARATE SCHEDULE FROM THE
- 9 C.A.O.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH TIME WOULD IT TAKE TO IMPLEMENT IT
- 12 UNDER THAT SCHEDULE?

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE PLAN IS TO IMPLEMENT IT NEXT FISCAL YEAR.
- 15 WE REALLY WOULD PREFER THAT IT BE DONE ON A FISCAL YEAR BASIS,
- 16 AND THAT'S THE DIRECTION THAT WE'RE MOVING RIGHT NOW IS TO
- 17 IMPLEMENT IT IN...

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU'RE LOOKING AT JULY OF THIS YEAR?
- 20 JUNE/JULY OF THIS YEAR?

21

22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: JULY 1ST. RIGHT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH GIVES US FOUR MONTHS, THREE TO FOUR
- 2 MONTHS OF TRANSITION TIME, WHICH I THINK IS PLENTY UNDER, YOU
- 3 KNOW, FOR THIS SITUATION.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT'D BE ALMOST FIVE MONTH SO...

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I-- THE C.A.O. SAYS HE SUPPORTS THIS.
- 8 OBVIOUSLY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER SUPPORTS THIS. MR.
- 9 CHERNOF IS SQUIRMING, DOESN'T SUPPORT IT BUT COULD LIVE WITH
- 10 IT. MY MENTOR, DR. BRESLOW, FROM WHOM WE'LL HEAR, I'M SURE,
- 11 LATER, SINCE THE FIRST DAY I GOT HERE HAS BEEN PUSHING FOR
- 12 THIS AND HE'S MADE A VERY PERSUASIVE CASE, AS HAVE OTHERS. AND
- 13 THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE NOT BEEN ON THAT SIDE, I'M SORRY, I'M JUST
- 14 GOING TO SAY IT, I THINK ARE MORE IN THE LET'S PROTECT THE
- 15 STATUS QUO MODE, THE DEVIL WE KNOW IS BETTER THAN THE DEVIL WE
- 16 DON'T KNOW. BEING ABLE TO MOVE MONEY BACK AND FORTH IS
- 17 APPEALING TO SOME BECAUSE IT GIVES MORE FLEXIBILITY TO MAKE
- 18 FUNDS MORE FUNGIBLE AND MOVE THEM AROUND, NOT NECESSARILY IN
- 19 PUBLIC HEALTH. WE ARE, ALL OF US, THE FIVE SUPERVISORS, THE
- 20 MAYOR OF THE CITY OF L.A. AND OTHER MAJOR CITIES IN THIS
- 21 REGION ALL RUN AROUND EVERY DAY AND SAY, "WE ARE A NUMBER ONE
- 22 TARGET, WE GO TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, WE DEMAND MORE MONEY
- 23 FOR BIOTERRORISM RESPONSE AND FOR THIS AND FOR THAT, " AND WE
- 24 ARE A NUMBER ONE TARGET ON THAT LEVEL AND WE'RE ALSO A NUMBER
- 25 ONE TARGET SIMPLY TO DISEASE, SIMPLY BECAUSE OF THE SIZE OF



- 1 OUR POPULATION AND THAT WE ARE AN INTERNATIONAL HUB. PEOPLE
- 2 ARE COMING THROUGH LAX, LIKE FRANKFURT, GERMANY, EVERY DISEASE
- 3 KNOWN TO MAN COMES THROUGH THAT COFFEE SHOP AND THE CUSTOMS
- 4 DESK AT A NUMBER OF AIRPORTS. WE'RE ONE OF THEM. SO WHEN WE
- 5 TALK ABOUT BIRD FLU, EVERY TIME THERE'S A BIRD FLU STORY ABOUT
- 6 ISTANBUL AND ANKARA AND WHEREVER IT SHOWS UP, I'M WAITING FOR
- 7 THE STORY WHERE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BE CALLED OUT TO LOS
- 8 ANGELES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT BECAUSE SOMEBODY HAS FLOWN IN
- 9 FROM ANKARA OR FROM WHEREVER WITH BIRD FLU. SO THIS IS A BIG
- 10 DEAL AND I DON'T THINK THAT PUBLIC HEALTH FUNCTION SHOULD BE A
- 11 STEPCHILD TO THE REST OF THE HEALTH SYSTEM. I THINK IT OUGHT
- 12 TO BE DISTINCT. I THOUGHT THAT'S WHAT MOTIVATED THE ORIGINAL
- 13 PROPOSAL. I SUPPORTED THAT. I SUPPORT IT NOW. I DON'T SEE ANY
- 14 DOWNSIDE TO DOING IT AND I SEE A HUGE UPSIDE TO DOING IT. HUGE
- 15 UPSIDE TO DOING IT AND WE OUGHT TO DO IT NOW. LET'S NOT DELAY
- 16 IT SIX MONTHS. NOTHING'S GOING TO CHANGE IN SIX MONTHS. I JUST
- 17 HOPE WE DO IT NOW. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

18

19 SUP. BURKE: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY BE I JUST SAY ONE WORD?

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: YOU KNOW, I THINK WHAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY HAS
- 24 SAID HAS REALLY EMPHASIZED MY CONCERNS. WHEN PEOPLE GOT THE
- 25 BIRD FLU, THEY DID NOT, FOR THE MOST PART, GO TO THE PUBLIC



- 1 HEALTH FACILITY, THEY WENT TO OUR EMERGENCY ROOMS. SO WHAT HAS
- 2 HAPPENED OVER THE YEARS, SINCE DR. BRESLOW SO ABLY PROVIDED
- 3 PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES HERE, IS THAT MANY OF THE ISSUES THAT
- 4 HAVE BECOME MORE COMPLEX AND THE ILLNESSES AND THE CONCERNS
- 5 BUT WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS THOSE PEOPLE WHO PREVIOUSLY KNEW A
- 6 PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITY, IF THEY HAD A \_\_\_\_\_, THEY WENT TO A
- 7 PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITY. TODAY, MOST OF THOSE PEOPLE COME INTO
- 8 OUR EMERGENCY ROOMS AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE SOME
- 9 MECHANISM DEVELOPED AND I DON'T THINK WE HAVE IT YET AND WE'RE
- 10 NOT PREPARED TO DO IT YET, OF WHERE THERE IS AN ABILITY TO
- 11 REINSTITUTE AN ATTENTION AND AN IDENTIFICATION WITH CERTAIN
- 12 THINGS WILL GO TO PUBLIC HEALTH AND TO THOSE FACILITIES. THE
- 13 ISSUES THAT I HAVE BEEN RAISING EVERY TIME THIS HAS COME UP IS
- 14 STILL THE SAME ISSUE I HAVE NOW, IS HOW WE HANDLE THE FACILITY
- 15 AND I WAS TOLD YOU, WELL, YOU PUT PART OF THEM OVER ON ONE
- 16 SIDE AND PUT THE OTHERS ON THE OTHER SIDE. AND I'M JUST NOT
- 17 PREPARED, AT THIS POINT, TO ACCEPT THAT AS AN APPROACH BECAUSE
- 18 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, IN MANY INSTANCES, NOT DISTINCT PROBLEMS
- 19 THAT THE INDIVIDUAL HAVE. EACH INDIVIDUAL THAT COMES IN MAY
- 20 HAVE A MULTITUDE OF PROBLEMS, SOME OF WHICH ARE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 21 PROBLEMS, SOME OF WHICH MAY BE THE KIND OF A PROBLEM THAT
- 22 REQUIRES MEDICAL SERVICES WITHIN OUR HOSPITAL AND OUR
- 23 HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IN THAT SENSE AND THAT'S MY CONCERN, AND CAN
- 24 WE SOLVE IT? I'D LIKE TO SEE IF WE CAN SOLVE IT. AT THIS
- 25 POINT, I'M NOT SATISFIED THAT WE HAVE.



1

- 2 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: SUPERVISOR, CAN I JUST ANSWER THAT
- 3 ISSUE? YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. THERE WAS A POINT IN TIME WHEN
- 4 PUBLIC HEALTH PROVIDED A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE CLINICAL
- 5 SERVICES AND THAT IS NO LONGER TRUE. WE HAVE A TOTAL OF 14
- 6 PUBLIC HEALTH CLINICS, WE DO SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE
- 7 DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE TOO EMBARRASSED OR
- 8 OTHERWISE WON'T COME TO ANOTHER SOURCE. WE DO CARE FOR T.B.
- 9 THERE'S A TOTAL OF ABOUT 900 PATIENTS A YEAR THAT HAVE T.B.
- 10 AND MOST OF THEM WE WIND UP TAKING CARE OF BECAUSE THAT'S VERY
- 11 SPECIALIZED EXPERTISE AND WE'RE PROTECTING THE REST OF THE
- 12 PUBLIC, AS WE ARE WITH SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE, AND WE DO
- 13 SOME IMMUNIZATIONS. WE DO NOT, OTHER THAN THAT, PROVIDE DIRECT
- 14 CLINICAL SERVICES. SO THE SITUATION YOU DESCRIBE IS VERY
- 15 ACCURATE. IT HAS EVOLVED NOW TO THE POINT WHERE WE ARE DOING
- 16 VERY, VERY LITTLE OF THAT. THE PROPOSED SEPARATION IS ONLY AN
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE SEPARATION. THE CLIENTS AND PATIENTS WOULD NOT
- 18 SEE, WOULD NOT NOTICE ANY CHANGE BASED ON THIS.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: THE BIGGEST PROBLEM I HAVE WITH L.A. COUNTY AT
- 23 ALL LEVELS OF SERVICE, INCLUDING HOW WE SERVE CHILDREN AND ALL
- 24 OF THE CLIENTS THAT WE SERVE, IS WE ARE VERY, VERY FRAGMENTED.
- 25 AND EVERY SINGLE TIME, I WAS IN THE LEGISLATURE WHEN WE WERE



- 1 TOLD THAT WE ARE SENDING ALL OF THIS MONEY OUT TO THE COUNTIES
- 2 TO PROVIDE SERVICES FOR CHILDREN AND YET, AT THE SAME TIME,
- 3 THEY HAVE TO GO TO THE MENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND NEGOTIATE
- 4 THEIR SERVICES THERE. THEY GO TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT,
- 5 NEGOTIATE THEIR SERVICES THERE. THEY GO TO D.P.S.S. AND
- 6 NEGOTIATE THEIR SERVICES THERE. AND, OF COURSE, WE TRIED, WHEN
- 7 NEIL MCCARTHY WAS SPEAKER, TO DO SOMETHING CALLED THE BLENDING
- 8 OF FUNDS AND TRYING TO MAKE SURE WHY WERE WE REPLICATING THE
- 9 SYSTEMS EVERYWHERE ELSE? AND SO WE WANTED TO LOOK AT IT FROM
- 10 THE CLIENT OR THE CUSTOMER POINT OF VIEW. THIS ISSUE, AS YOU
- 11 JUST STATED, DOES NOTHING FOR THE CUSTOMER, THE CLIENT OR THE
- 12 PATIENT. THIS IS TRYING TO MAKE A REARRANGEMENT TO FACILITATE
- 13 IT FOR ADMINISTRATION. AND, AGAIN, WE START OPERATING
- 14 BACKWARDS. IT'S NOT MAKING OUR LIVES MORE CONVENIENT. IT IS
- 15 ABOUT THE PEOPLE THAT WE SERVE AND THE RESPONSIBILITY THAT WE
- 16 HAVE OUT THERE. THE MOST TELLING OF IT IN THE RESPONSES THAT I
- 17 RECEIVED FROM DR. CHERNOF WHEN I ASKED, WILL SEPARATION
- 18 IMPROVE THE HEALTH OF THE PUBLIC? HE SAID THAT IT DOES NOT AND
- 19 CONCLUDED, "WHAT IS MORE TROUBLING IS, EVEN IF THESE
- 20 SEPARATIONS HAVE LED TO SOME ORGANIZATIONAL EFFICIENCIES, IT
- 21 HAS LEFT THE MOST FRAGILE CITIZENS IN THIS COUNTY IN THE
- 22 POSITION TO HAVE TO COORDINATE THEIR SERVICES ACROSS OUR
- 23 ORGANIZATIONS." THAT SPEAKS MIGHTILY TO WHY THIS SHOULD NOT
- 24 OCCUR. NOW, GRANTED, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 25 PROBLEMS ARE AND I'M NOT SO SURE I WANT TO GET INVOLVED IN



- 1 THEM BUT I THINK WE SHOULD CREATE EFFICIENCIES SO THAT
- 2 ADMINISTRATION CAN OPERATE. I CAN TELL YOU THE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 3 PROBLEMS THAT L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. HAS HAD IN TRYING TO NAVIGATE
- 4 AND NEGOTIATE ITS WAY THROUGH TO ACCESS RESOURCES AND OTHER
- 5 KINDS OF ISSUES BUT WE ARE NOT NOW SAYING, IN ORDER TO BE MORE
- 6 EFFICIENT AND MORE EFFECTIVE, I THINK IT COULD BE, IS FOR IT
- 7 TO STAND ALONE BY ITSELF AND ADMINISTER ITSELF. BUT WE'RE
- 8 TALKING ABOUT PROVIDING SERVICES FOR NOT THE CONVENIENCE BUT
- 9 THE ACCESS OF SERVICES FOR THE PUBLIC. SO I AM STANDING BEHIND
- 10 DR. CHERNOF IN HIS RESPONSE. THERE IS NO BENEFIT WHATSOEVER TO
- 11 THE PATIENT. WE ARE NOT IMPROVING SERVICES FOR THEM. INSTEAD,
- 12 WE ARE CREATING ANOTHER DOORWAY THAT THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH,
- 13 FOR THE MOST PART, AS WE START SEEING HOW THIS IS GOING TO
- 14 BECOME ITS OWN SEPARATED AGENCY. BEFORE YOU KNOW IT, THEY'RE
- 15 GOING TO COME HERE AND TALK ABOUT LET'S DEVELOP SEPARATE
- 16 PUBLIC HEALTH CLINICS WHERE THIS IS ALL WE DO AND CREATE THAT.
- 17 AND I REMEMBER WHEN WE USED TO HAVE THAT ALL OF THE TIME. SO
- 18 THE REALITY IS THAT I THINK THAT WE SHOULD, AT THIS TIME, LOOK
- 19 AT THOSE WAYS THAT WE CAN ACCOMMODATE AND MEET THE
- 20 ADMINISTRATIVE NEEDS OF PUBLIC HEALTH BUT DON'T BE DESTRUCTIVE
- 21 TO THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY, THE NEEDS OF THE PUBLIC BECAUSE
- 22 YOU'RE NOT IMPROVING ANYTHING. AND, AT THE END OF THE DAY, I
- 23 THINK IT'S JUST GOING TO COST US MORE, EVEN THOUGH YOU MAY SAY
- 24 THERE MAY BE EFFICIENCIES. I ASKED BOTH QUESTIONS TO DR.
- 25 FIELDING AND TO DR. CHERNOF AND, VERY FRANKLY, I'M NOT



- 1 CONVINCED BY THE RESPONSES ABOUT "TRUST ME, THIS IS GOING TO
- 2 WORK MORE EFFECTIVELY". AND SO I'M OPPOSED TO IT BUT I'M
- 3 OPPOSED TO IT BECAUSE WE KEEP OPERATING BACKWARDS. LET'S MAKE
- 4 IT CONVENIENT FOR US INSTEAD OF LOOK AT THE WAY THAT WE CAN
- 5 PROVIDE SERVICES MORE EFFICIENTLY, MORE EFFECTIVELY AND MEET
- 6 THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY. AND THIS IS A BACKWARD APPROACH
- 7 AND SO WE HAVE TO HOLD OFF ON THIS. WE HAVE TO LOOK AT THOSE
- 8 WAYS I THINK THAT THE C.A.O. SHOULD STUDY ABOUT-- AND THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT OF HOW TO MAKE IT MORE EFFECTIVE FOR PUBLIC HEALTH.
- 10 BUT WHY START CHANGING SOMETHING WHEN, AT THE END OF THE DAY,
- 11 IT REQUIRES NO BENEFIT WHATSOEVER FOR THE COMMUNITY THAT'S OUT
- 12 THERE THAT RELIES ON US EVERY SINGLE MOMENT OF THE DAY TO
- 13 PROVIDE THEM ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE AT ALL LEVELS, TO PROVIDE
- 14 LEADERSHIP IN THE AREA OF PUBLIC HEALTH, TO CONTINUE TO FIGHT
- 15 FOR RESOURCES ALL DAY LONG. THEY ARE JUST NOT IN A POSITION,
- 16 ON A REGULAR BASIS, TO UNDERSTAND ALL THIS AND SO THE REALITY
- 17 IS IS THAT, IF YOU LOOK AT THE ANSWERS THAT BOTH DR. CHERNOF
- 18 PROVIDED AND DR. FIELDING PROVIDED TO SOME VERY BASIC
- 19 QUESTIONS, WHO BENEFITS, WHAT IS THE BENEFIT IN THE LONG RUN,
- 20 WHEN YOU WEIGH THEM BOTH, YOU JUST DON'T SEE ANY BENEFIT IN
- 21 CREATING THIS SEPARATION, EITHER AT THIS POINT IN TIME OR AT
- 22 ANY OTHER TIME BECAUSE THEY WORK HAND IN HAND. I WOULD LOVE IT
- 23 TO BE MORE EFFICIENT. I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT THEY WOULD HAVE
- 24 MORE CONTROL OF RESOURCES AND HAVE MORE SAY SO. THAT, I THINK,
- 25 IS UNDERSTANDABLE AT ANY LEVEL AND THOSE THINGS SHOULD BE



- 1 WORKED OUT. BUT TO CREATE A SEPARATION IS-- IT'S NO BENEFIT AT
- 2 ALL TO THE COMMUNITY AND NO BENEFIT AT ALL TO THE PATIENTS WHO
- 3 ARE GOING TO BE LOOKING TO US TO PROVIDE THOSE SERVICES.

4

- 5 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: MR. MAYOR, I WONDER, I WAS ASKED MY
- 6 COMMENTS ON SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION. I JUST HAD A--
- 7 SUPERVISOR, IF I COULD JUST ASK YOU THIS, EXCUSE ME IF I DON'T
- 8 FULLY UNDERSTAND IT, I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN
- 9 BY SEMI-AUTONOMOUS AND THEN I'M ABLE TO PROVIDE MY-- THERE ARE
- 10 BASICALLY EIGHT FUNCTIONS THAT I SEE THAT WE DO NOT HAVE NOW
- 11 THAT MIGHT OR MIGHT NOT BE INCLUDED IN THAT AND THEY ARE
- 12 FINANCE, H.R. CONTRACTS AND GRANTS, MATERIALS MANAGEMENT,
- 13 PLANNING, COMMUNICATIONS AND THEN THE OTHER TWO QUESTIONS
- 14 ABOUT WHETHER THE BUDGET WOULD GO THROUGH D.H.S. OR GO
- 15 DIRECTLY TO THE C.A.O., WHICH IT DOES NOT DO NOW. WE HAVE OUR
- 16 OWN BUDGETS NOW. WE DON'T HAVE OUR OWN BUDGET PROCESSES. AND
- 17 THEN THE LAST ONE IS WHETHER YOU WOULD EXPECT TO RECEIVE
- 18 COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTLY FROM PUBLIC HEALTH OR WOULD THEY ALL
- 19 GO THROUGH THE DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AS
- 20 CURRENTLY EXISTS?

- 22 SUP. KNABE: WELL, IF YOU READ MY ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, IT
- 23 PRETTY WELL ANSWERS ALL OF THAT. ONE, THAT THE DIRECTOR OF
- 24 PUBLIC HEALTH, WHOEVER THAT MAY BE, WOULD BE ACCOUNTABLE TO
- 25 THIS BOARD, WOULD RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THIS BOARD, CREATE A



- 1 FIREWALL FOR THEIR BUDGET SO YOU'D HAVE FINANCIAL
- 2 RESPONSIBILITY, YOU WOULDN'T HAVE THE CO-MINGLING OF FUNDS.
- 3 YOU'D HAVE CONTRACT RESPONSIBILITY, H.R. RESPONSIBILITY. I
- 4 MEAN, THAT'S THE WHOLE SITUATION HERE WITHOUT A COMPLETE
- 5 SEPARATION AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT, YOU KNOW? BUT, IF IT
- 6 WORKS, IT MAY LEAD TO A TOTAL SEPARATION.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT YOU JUST
- 9 ARTICULATED AND A COMPLETE SEPARATION? IS IT JUST A
- 10 LETTERHEAD?

11

12 SUP. KNABE: YES, TO SEE WHETHER IT WORKS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS JUST THE
- 15 STATIONERY?

16

17 SUP. KNABE: YEAH. I MEAN, SHAKE YOUR HEAD, ZEV, BUT I MEAN...

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I JUST-- I WANT IT TO BE A RECORD HERE
- 20 SO THAT WE ALL UNDERSTAND BECAUSE, DON, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT,
- 21 I JUST-- I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE TWO GENTLEMEN, NOT
- 22 JUST THE FIVE OF US BUT THESE TWO GENTLEMEN UNDERSTAND WHAT IT
- 23 IS WE MEAN BY THIS OR WHAT YOU MEAN BY THIS BECAUSE, IF YOU
- 24 MEAN THAT IT'S FUNCTIONALLY INDEPENDENT WITH THE EXCEPTION OF
- 25 THE LETTERHEAD, THAT IT'S STILL GOING TO BE A DIVISION OF THE



- 1 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC-- A DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 2 SERVICES, BUT FROM ALL OTHER POINTS OF VIEW, IT'S INDEPENDENT,
- 3 THEY ACCOUNT TO US, WE HIRE AND FIRE THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
- 4 HEALTH, HE'S GOT HIS CONTRACT CONTROL, HUMAN RESOURCES,
- 5 EVERYTHING...

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S WHAT BOTHERS ME, IS THE HUMAN RESOURCES IN
- 8 SOME OF THOSE ISSUES.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN THAT'S-- IF THAT'S WHAT IT IS, SOUNDS
- 11 TO ME LIKE A SEPARATION EXCEPT WE'RE NOT CALLING IT THAT BUT I
- 12 THINK I COULD LIVE WITH THAT BUT I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHAT
- 13 MRS. BURKE HAD IN MIND WHEN SHE WAS TALKING. MAYBE SHE DID.

- 15 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I REALLY AM A LITTLE CONCERNED. I THINK
- 16 THAT, WITH THE HUMAN RESOURCES, THERE HAS TO BE MORE AUTONOMY
- 17 IN ORDER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH TO BE ABLE TO FUNCTION IN SUCH A
- 18 WAY THAT THEY ARE ABLE TO GET MORE GRANTS AND THEY DON'T NEED
- 19 TO BE TOTALLY TIED UP. BUT WHETHER OR NOT I WANT TO SEE THAT
- 20 THIS JUST GETS TO BE A LETTERHEAD BECAUSE I REALLY BELIEVE
- 21 THAT PROBABLY, ULTIMATELY THEY WOULD WANT DIFFERENT
- 22 FACILITIES. WE DON'T START OUT THAT WAY BUT, IN THE PAST,
- 23 THAT'S THE WAY IT FUNCTIONED AND THAT'S THE WAY-- AND PEOPLE
- 24 UNDERSTOOD THAT, THOUGH. BUT, SEE, AT THIS POINT, PEOPLE DON'T
- 25 UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE, REALLY. THEY DON'T-- THE PUBLIC HAS



- 1 NO CONCEPT OF THE DIFFERENCE AND WE KNOW THAT THERE'S A
- 2 DIFFERENCE MOST OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME THERE'S A
- 3 DIFFERENCE. BUT, TODAY, I DON'T THINK THE PUBLIC RECOGNIZES
- 4 THE DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF PUBLIC HEALTH VERSUS...

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE: BUT WE WORK SO HARD, THOUGH, FOR THE INTEGRATED
- 7 SERVICES. THAT'S ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT HAS BEEN RAISED BY
- 8 SOME OF THE COMMUNITY PROVIDERS FOR HEALTHCARE, THAT WE WORKED
- 9 VERY HARD SO WE DON'T HAVE SEPARATE FACILITIES. PEOPLE HAVE A
- 10 TENDENCY TO GO TO THAT FACILITY BECAUSE THEY KNOW THEY CAN GET
- 11 PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES OR PERSONAL HEALTH SERVICES. THAT
- 12 QUESTION, IT'S REALLY NEVER BEEN ANSWERED ON THE INTEGRATED
- 13 SERVICES THAT WE PROVIDE THROUGH OUR COMMUNITY-BASED
- 14 PROVIDERS, HOW THAT'S GOING TO CHANGE BECAUSE IT WOULD BE, AS
- 15 TO WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA SAID, IT WOULD BE HORRIBLE TO GO
- 16 BACK TO WHERE ALL OF A SUDDEN YOU SEPARATE TOTALLY OUT PUBLIC
- 17 HEALTH AND PUT IT IN A DIFFERENT BUILDING REQUIRING PEOPLE,
- 18 THOSE THAT NEED IT, TO GO TO THIS FACILITY OR MAYBE ANOTHER
- 19 PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITY TWO MILES AWAY. SO THE INTENT, ZEV, I
- 20 GUESS, IS THAT MY MOTION, I FELT, GAVE IT A GOOD OPPORTUNITY
- 21 TO MOVE FORWARD TO A COMPLETE-- BUT WE DEAL WITH THE ISSUES OF
- 22 INTEGRATED SERVICES SO WE SEE HOW THIS THING PROGRESSES, TO
- 23 SEE WHETHER OR NOT IT'S FEASIBLE TO GO TO A TOTAL SEPARATION,
- 24 BECAUSE WE'VE WORKED SO HARD TO PUT THIS SYSTEM TOGETHER.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: I'M JUST GOING TO SAY ONE FINAL THING. WHAT I WAS
- 2 REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT IS, OVER AND OVER AGAIN, I KEPT HEARING
- 3 THAT MONEYS THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN GOING TO PUBLIC HEALTH WERE
- 4 BEING EATEN UP BY THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. NOW, OF COURSE,
- 5 THAT'S A REAL PROBLEM AND AN ISSUE THAT HAS TO BE SOLVED BUT,
- 6 AT THE SAME TIME, WE ALSO HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT THE HEALTH
- 7 DEPARTMENT HAS ADEQUATE RESOURCES TO BE ABLE TO CARRY ON THEIR
- 8 FUNCTIONS SO THEY DON'T DIP INTO THOSE FUNDS THAT SHOULD HAVE
- 9 BEEN AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC HEALTH. NOW, THE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 10 ISSUES THAT CAN BE RESOLVED THERE IS CERTAINLY, IF THOSE
- 11 GRANTS OR IF THE MONEYS THAT CAN COME INTO PUBLIC HEALTH CAN
- 12 REMAIN IN PUBLIC HEALTH, RATHER THAN OUR HEALTH DEPARTMENT
- 13 EATING IT UP AND GOBBLING UP THOSE FUNDS, THEN I BELIEVE THAT
- 14 WILL BE A VERY IMPORTANT STEP AND IT WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE.
- 15 AND WE CERTAINLY DON'T WANT TO DISCOURAGE PUBLIC HEALTH FROM
- 16 MOVING FORWARD TO APPLY FOR GRANTS. AT THE SAME TIME, WE ALSO
- 17 DON'T WANT SOME OF THESE OTHER THINGS WE'VE SEEN OF WHERE
- 18 THEIR OUTSIDE PEOPLE WHO ARE APPLYING FOR THEM THROUGH
- 19 FOUNDATIONS AND OTHER ISSUES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN THAT POSITIVE.

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN.

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WE HAVE SOME PEOPLE.

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ONE LAST THING.

24

20



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR. UNDER MR. KNABE'S
- 5 MOTION, YOU UNDERSTAND, I JUST WANT TO ASK ALL OF YOU THAT HE
- 6 WILL BE-- THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH WILL BE ACCOUNTABLE
- 7 DIRECTLY TO THE -- HE WILL REPORT DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD. HE
- 8 WILL NOT REPORT TO THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES, OKAY?
- 9 THAT'S-- IS THAT-- AM I CORRECTLY STATING THE INTENT OF YOUR
- 10 MOTION?

11

12 SUP. BURKE: THAT DOESN'T BOTHER ME AT ALL.

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, IF I CAN,
- 15 JUST IN THE WAY OF-- AS YOU GET INTO THE PUBLIC HEARING, IS
- 16 PERHAPS, WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT HIS MOTION DOES,
- 17 THAT, RATHER THAN-- PERHAPS WE CAN TAKE TWO WEEKS FOR THE TWO
- 18 OF YOU TO MODIFY, WITH THE HELP OF THE C.A.O., MR. JANSSEN,
- 19 THE M.O.U., MODIFY IT SO THAT IT REFLECTS HOW THIS IS GOING TO
- 20 FUNCTION AND GET IT BACK TO US SO THAT WE HAVE A CLEAR
- 21 UNDERSTANDING-- A CLEAR FRAMEWORK IN WRITING. I HONESTLY--
- 22 MAYBE THAT'S A SILLY IDEA. I HONESTLY DON'T UNDERSTAND THE
- 23 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT MR. KNABE-- HOW MR. KNABE DESCRIBES
- 24 HIS MOTION AND WHAT HIS MOTION SAYS, IN THAT ONE PART HE READ,
- 25 FROM A SEPARATION, OTHER THAN FROM THE ATMOSPHERICS OF THE



- 1 ADDRESS, IF YOU WILL. AND, YOU KNOW, UNDER-- YOU'RE NOT ON THE
- 2 SAME LINE HORIZONTALLY, YOU'RE ONE ABOVE THE OTHER. THAT
- 3 DOESN'T BOTHER ME AS MUCH.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK ALL OF US WANT TO SEE A BUDGET
- 6 ALLOCATION THAT REFLECTS WHAT WOULD HAVE-- ON THOSE THINGS
- 7 THAT CAN BE DONE SEPARATELY AND THOSE THINGS THAT CANNOT BE
- 8 DONE SEPARATELY. I THINK THAT THAT'S WHAT WE'RE REALLY GETTING
- 9 DOWN TO. THERE'S SOME THINGS THAT, FROM A BUDGETARY
- 10 STANDPOINT, APPARENTLY, WE ARE-- GETTING THEM IN THE SITUATION
- 11 WHERE THERE'S A BILLING BACK AND FORTH. TO ME, THAT IS ONE OF
- 12 THE THINGS THAT'S BOTHERING ME.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. JANSSEN?

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK IT MIGHT BE-- IT CERTAINLY WOULD BE
- 17 EASIER FOR US IF ITEM NUMBER ONE IN SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION
- 18 SIMPLY REJECTED THE IDEA OF SEPARATING THE TWO. LET'S MAKE IT
- 19 CLEAR THAT THAT'S THE DIRECTION BECAUSE, OTHERWISE, THERE'S
- 20 GOING TO BE ORGANIZATIONAL CONFUSION INDEFINITELY. AND THEN
- 21 COME BACK, IN WHATEVER PERIOD OF TIME, WITH PROPOSALS RELATED
- 22 TO ITEM NUMBER THREE, TWO AND THREE. I DON'T SEE HOW YOU CAN
- 23 HAVE A UNIT OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT REPORTING DIRECTLY TO THE
- 24 BOARD. THAT JUST DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE TO ME. THERE MAY BE
- 25 ISSUES RELATED TO PUBLIC HEALTH THAT SOMEHOW THERE HAS TO BE A



- 1 DIRECT RELATIONSHIP BUT NOT ORGANIZATIONALLY. IT JUST GETS
- 2 VERY CONFUSING. SO I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT YOU MAKE A DECISION
- 3 TODAY, EITHER YOU DO IT OR YOU DON'T DO IT. EITHER WAY. AND,
- 4 IF YOU DON'T DO IT, THEN YOU DIRECT US TO COME BACK AND DEAL
- 5 WITH THE ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED BY ALL OF YOU, THERE ARE
- 6 CONCERNS ORGANIZATIONALLY, IN HOW WE BETTER SEPARATE OUT THE
- 7 FUNCTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN THE COUNTY AND LEAVE IT AT THAT.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: AND YOU'RE NOT PREPARED TO DO THAT OVER A PERIOD
- 10 OF SIX MONTHS?

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. I MEAN, WE CAN DO THAT BUT I WOULD LIKE
- 13 YOU TO SAY THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO SEPARATE THE DEPARTMENTS,
- 14 NOT GOING TO DO IT.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT, IN OTHER WORDS, JUST ELIMINATE -- IN MY
- 17 MOTION, JUST ELIMINATE ONE.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND THEN TO COME BACK WITH RECOMMENDATIONS ON
- 20 IMPLEMENTING TWO AND THREE.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT DOES ELIMINATING ONE MEAN? THAT
- 23 YOU'RE NOT SEPARATING THE DEPARTMENTS?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. YOU MAKE AN ACTUAL DECISION TODAY,
- 2 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO WAIT SIX MONTHS OR A YEAR BECAUSE I THINK
- 3 YOUR ARGUMENTS, SUPERVISOR, ARE VERY GOOD, THERE'S NOT GOING
- 4 TO BE A GOOD TIME TO MAKE THAT DECISION, YOU MIGHT AS WELL
- 5 MAKE IT NOW. THEN THE ORGANIZATION ISN'T GOING TO HAVE TO
- 6 WONDER, ALL THE PEOPLE IN PUBLIC HEALTH, A LOT OF TIME AND
- 7 EFFORT HAS BEEN PUT INTO THIS AND PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW WHERE
- 8 THEY'RE GOING TO BE.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: AND THE REASON IS BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME REAL
- 11 PROBLEMS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED AND THAT'S THE REASON WHY ALL OF
- 12 THIS TIME HAS BEEN PUT INTO IT BUT, AT THIS POINT, I DON'T
- 13 KNOW THAT THEY'VE ALL-- THERE'S A SOLUTION THAT'S BEING
- 14 PROPOSED TOTALLY.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: CAN I AS A CLARIFICATION? JUST FOR-- JUST FROM
- 17 DAVID. YOU'RE ASKING THAT IT BE CLEARLY-- WE ENUMERATE CLEARLY
- 18 THAT THERE NOT BE A SEPARATION BUT THAT THOSE OTHER TWO ITEMS
- 19 YOU WOULD TAKE BACK AND PROPOSE A PROCESS AND BRING IT BACK TO
- 20 US, IS THAT CORRECT?

21

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, THAT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION FOR HIS
- 23 MOTION. I RECOMMEND, HOWEVER, THAT YOU SEPARATE THE
- 24 DEPARTMENTS BUT...



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I UNDERSTAND THAT BUT, UNDER HIS MOTION, IN
- 2 ORDER TO MAKE IT CLEAR FOR YOU AND THE DEPARTMENTS.

3

4 C.A.O. DAVE JANSSEN: IT SHOULD BE CLEAR ORGANIZATIONALLY.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. I STILL THINK THE -- HAVING
- 7 SEPARATE DEPARTMENTS WOULD MAKE BETTER SENSE IN ACCOUNTABILITY
- 8 FOR BOTH HEALTH AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND I KNOW SHEILA SHEENA
- 9 FROM THE C.A.O. DID A SUPERB JOB IN PUTTING TOGETHER THE
- 10 RESEARCH AND THE REPORT THAT WE HAVE BEFORE US BUT LET ME CALL
- 11 THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY. AND WE'LL CALL FOUR UP AT A TIME, AND,
- 12 AFTER YOU SPEAK, IF YOU TAKE YOUR CHAIR AND WE'LL CALL UP THE
- 13 NEXT GROUP. DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, GRACE LUBWANIA, DR. LESTER
- 14 BRESLOW AND ROBERT DOWIN, AND THEY'LL BE FOLLOWED BY STEVE
- 15 GALLEGOS, SAM GARRISON, AND DR. RICHARD BROWN. TWO MINUTES
- 16 EACH. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO SPEAK ON
- 17 THIS.

- 19 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD MORNING. DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL.
- 20 I AM OPPOSED TO THE SEPARATION OF BOTH UNITS. FROM WHAT I'M
- 21 HEARING THIS MORNING, NOTHING IS CLEAR HOW IT'S GOING TO
- 22 FUNCTION. I THINK, RIGHT NOW, MOST PEOPLE IN THE PUBLIC, AS
- 23 YOU HAVE MENTIONED, DO NOT KNOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HEALTH
- 24 AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND, IN THE CASE OF AN EMERGENCY, IT SEEMS
- 25 LIKE, FROM WHAT YOU HAVE BROUGHT OUT TODAY, NOBODY KNOWS HOW

12

14



- 1 IT'S GOING TO FUNCTION. ALSO, I THINK YOU ARE CREATING A
- 2 MONSTER WITH TWO HEADS IF YOU SEPARATE THE TWO ORGANIZATIONS.
- 3 IN THE BASIS OF MANAGEMENT, ACCORDING TO HENRI
- 4 , IS NEVER TO CREATE A MONSTER WITH TWO HEADS
- 5 AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT YOU ARE CREATING IN THAT ISSUE. THE
- 6 MAIN ISSUE HERE IS THAT THE PUBLIC GET THE CARE THAT THEY NEED
- 7 AND DESERVE AND THAT WILL NOT HAPPEN. I THINK, FOR THE TIME
- 8 BEING, YOU DO NOT SEPARATE THE TWO AND YOU TRULY ALSO LOOK AT
- 9 THE HEALTH AUTHORITY, AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GRAND JURY. I
- 10 THINK THAT SHOULD BE YOUR FIRST THING YOU LOOK AT BEFORE YOU
- 11 MAKE ANY OTHER DECISIONS VIS-A-VIS THE HEALTHCARE. THANK YOU.
- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, MA'AM.
- 15 SPEAKER: I THINK THE TWO DEPARTMENTS SHOULD BE SPLIT. PUBLIC
- 16 HEALTH HAS GONE TOO FAR. I'M NOT OLD ENOUGH BUT I REMEMBER
- 17 UNDERSTANDING WHAT PUBLIC HEALTH IS WAS A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE
- 18 TO ME AND I THINK WE HAVE A LONG WAY FOR THE PUBLIC TO
- 19 UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PUBLIC HEALTH AND PERSONAL
- 20 HEALTH. AND, RIGHT NOW, THE PUBLIC DOESN'T UNDERSTAND BECAUSE
- 21 THEY HAVE NOT BEEN EDUCATED ABOUT THE DIFFERENCE OF THE TWO.
- 22 WE ARE CREATING COMMUNITIES THAT ALWAYS THINK THAT, WHEN
- 23 YOU'RE SICK, THAT'S WHEN YOU'RE NOT HEALTHY BUT WE NEED TO
- 24 CREATE GENERATIONS THAT UNDERSTANDS THE IMPORTANCE OF
- 25 WELLNESS, IMPORTANCE OF HEALTH IN FAMILIES AND IMPORTANCE OF



- 1 HEALTH IN COMMUNITIES AND THIS IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN WHEN THE
- 2 TWO DEPARTMENTS ARE TOGETHER. ONCE PUBLIC HEALTH IS SPLIT LIKE
- 3 IN OTHER AREAS-- I'VE LIVED IN BOSTON FOR 10 YEARS, WHERE
- 4 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IS ON ITS OWN AND, ACTUALLY, EVERY
- 5 CITY HAS ITS OWN PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT. AND THIS HAS HELPED
- 6 PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MAKING SURE THAT
- 7 YOU STAY HEALTHY AND PARTICIPATE IN THOSE THINGS THAT CAN MAKE
- 8 YOU HEALTHY SO THAT YOU DON'T RUN TO USE THE EMERGENCY ROOMS.
- 9 SO THAT'S WHY I SUPPORT THE SPLITTING OF THE TWO DEPARTMENTS.

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. STEVEN GALLEGOS, SAM

12 GARRISON. DR. BRESLOW.

10

13

16

18

14 DR. LESTER BRESLOW: MY NAME IS LESTER BRESLOW. I SPEAK TODAY

15 AS AN INDIVIDUAL, NOT REPRESENTING ANY ORGANIZATION OR AGENCY.

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AS A STATESMAN.

19 DR. LESTER BRESLOW: HARDLY. PUBLIC HEALTH IS CURRENTLY

- 20 IMBEDDED IN A DEPARTMENT WITH A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT PRIMARY
- 21 MISSION. THAT IS, THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES WHOSE
- 22 DOMINANT AIM IS TO PROVIDE GENERAL HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL
- 23 SERVICES TO LOW INCOME PEOPLE. PUBLIC HEALTH, ON THE OTHER
- 24 HAND, CARRIES A DIFFERENT COUNTY RESPONSIBILITY AND THAT IS TO
- 25 PROTECT THE TOTAL POPULATION, HEALTH. FOR AN EXAMPLE, TO



- 1 PREVENT INFLUENZA, TUBERCULOSIS, OBESITY, DIABETES AND OTHER
- 2 PROBLEMS. THESE TWO DISTINCT MISSIONS ENTAIL NOT ONLY
- 3 DIFFERENT SETS OF ACTIVITIES BUT ALSO DIFFERENT SETS OF
- 4 PERSONNEL TO ACHIEVE THEIR SEPARATE PURPOSES. HOSPITALS AND
- 5 CLINICS REQUIRE PHYSICIANS, NURSES, OTHERS EXPERT IN THE
- 6 DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF DISEASE. PUBLIC HEALTH WORK DEMANDS
- 7 EPIDEMIOLOGISTS, HEALTH EDUCATORS, SANITARIANS, OTHER EXPERTS
- 8 TO PROVIDE PREVENTIVE SERVICES FOR THE ENTIRE POPULATION. WHEN
- 9 PUBLIC HEALTH EFFORTS MUST STRAIN THROUGH AN ADMINISTRATIVE
- 10 APPARATUS GEARED MAINLY TO SUPPORTING HOSPITALS AND RELATED
- 11 SERVICES, PUBLIC HEALTH SUFFERS. RESPONDING EFFECTIVELY TO THE
- 12 NEW IMPORTANT HEALTH DANGERS SUCH AS BIOTERRORISM, INFLUENZA,
- 13 OBESITY, SO ON, ENTAILED ACTIVITY OF A PUBLIC HEALTH
- 14 ORGANIZATION AND ITS PERSONNEL THAT SHOULD BE DIRECTLY
- 15 RESPONSIBLE AND ACCOUNTABLE TO THE BOARD. DELAYING ACTION ON
- 16 THIS IMPORTANT HEALTH ISSUE PERPETUATES NOT ONLY INEFFICIENCY
- 17 OF A COUNTY OPERATION BUT, IN EFFECT, A SIGNIFICANT HAZARD. I
- 18 WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT IN REFERENCE TO SOME OF THE EARLIER
- 19 DISCUSSION, MAYOR, THAT THE DISCUSSION DID NOT MENTION THE
- 20 REALLY IMPORTANT ISSUE, I'M SORRY, AND THAT IS THE ISSUE OF
- 21 THE INFRASTRUCTURE, THE ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE OF THE TWO
- 22 DEPARTMENTS. NOW, THAT INFRASTRUCTURE IS OVERWHELMINGLY
- 23 DEVOTED, AND MUST BE, TO THE IMPORTANT ISSUES OF THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. THAT IS PERSONAL HEALTHCARE.
- 25 THERE IS NOT ANY TIME AVAILABLE TO DEAL ADEQUATELY WITH THE



- 1 ISSUES THAT PUBLIC HEALTH IS CONFRONTED WITH. THAT IS THE
- 2 REASON FOR SEPARATION, SO FAR AS BUREAUCRACY IS CONCERNED.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, DR. BRESLOW. DR. RICHARD
- 5 BROWN.

- 7 DR. RICHARD BROWN: THANK YOU, MAYOR. GOOD MORNING AND MY NAME
- 8 IS DR. RICHARD BROWN. I'M PROFESSOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH AT
- 9 U.C.L.A. AND I AM ALSO DIRECTOR OF THE U.C.L.A. CENTER FOR
- 10 HEALTH POLICY RESEARCH. I'VE SPENT MORE THAN TWO DECADES
- 11 STUDYING, TEACHING AND CONDUCTING RESEARCH ON PUBLIC HEALTH
- 12 POLICY AND I'M ALSO THE PAST PRESIDENT OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC
- 13 HEALTH ASSOCIATION. AND I WANT TO SUPPORT THE COMMENTS OF DR.
- 14 BRESLOW BUT I'D LIKE TO FOCUS ON A PARTICULAR ISSUE AND THAT
- 15 IS THE IMBEDDEDNESS OF THIS PUBLIC HEALTH UNIT WITHIN AN
- 16 AGENCY WHOSE MISSION IS QUITE DIFFERENT FROM PUBLIC HEALTH IN
- 17 THE WAYS THAT DR. BRESLOW SPOKE ABOUT. BUT I'D LIKE TO CALL
- 18 OUR ATTENTION TO A VERY RECENT ORGANIZATIONAL EXAMPLE THAT WE
- 19 ARE ALL VERY FAMILIAR WITH AND THAT I THINK WE WISH TO AVOID
- 20 IN LOS ANGELES. YOU ALL RECALL, OF COURSE, THAT, AFTER
- 21 F.E.M.A., THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, WAS
- 22 IMBEDDED IN A MUCH LARGER ORGANIZATION OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
- 23 ITS MISSION AND LEADERSHIP WERE SUBORDINATED TO MULTIPLE
- 24 LAYERS OF MANAGEMENT AND MULTIPLE CONFLICTING MISSIONS. THIS
- 25 ORGANIZATIONAL SUFFOCATION IS IN CONTRAST TO F.E.M.A.'S



- 1 PREVIOUS STATUS AS AN INDEPENDENT AGENCY. AT THAT TIME, IT HAD
- 2 A CLEAR MISSION AND DIRECT LINES OF AUTHORITY AND IT HAD
- 3 VISIBILITY AND ENGAGEMENT WITH ITS CONSTITUENCIES. F.E.M.A.'S
- 4 INDEPENDENT STATUS WAS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR IN MAKING IT ONE OF
- 5 THE MOST EFFECTIVE FEDERAL AGENCIES. F.E.M.A.'S DECLINE, WHICH
- 6 WE SAW ON DISPLAY LAST FALL, IS A LESSON ON HOW STRUCTURE
- 7 SHAPES ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS. SEPARATION WILL ENSURE
- 8 PUBLIC HEALTH HAS A CLEAR MISSION AND ORGANIZATIONAL FOCUS. WE
- 9 ARE BLESSED WITH STRONG PUBLIC HEALTH LEADERS AND STAFF BUT WE
- 10 NEED TO GIVE THEM THE STRUCTURAL INDEPENDENCE THAT CAN ENABLE
- 11 THEM TO DO THEIR JOB EVEN MORE EFFECTIVELY. I DO NOT BELIEVE
- 12 THAT SEPARATING THESE TWO UNITS WILL CREATE FRAGMENTATION. WE
- 13 HAVE MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS IN THE COUNTY NOW THAT NEED TO WORK
- 14 TOGETHER: THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THE MENTAL HEALTH
- 15 DEPARTMENT, HEALTH SERVICES AND OTHERS, AND NO ONE IS
- 16 SUGGESTING THAT WE BRING THEM ALL INTO SOME SUPER AGENCY, SUCH
- 17 AS HOMELAND SECURITY. AND WE DON'T DO THAT BECAUSE THEY DO
- 18 HAVE DIFFERENT MISSIONS, DIFFERENT LEADERSHIP NEEDS AND
- 19 DIFFERENT PROFESSIONAL CULTURES AND ORIENTATIONS.

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

20

- 23 DR. RICHARD BROWN: I THINK THAT A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT OF
- 24 PUBLIC HEALTH WILL BE MORE EFFECTIVE IN PLANNING FOR AND
- 25 RESPONDING TO NATURAL DISASTERS AND TERRORIST ACTS, AS WELL AS



- 1 IN PREVENTING CHRONIC AND INFECTIOUS DISEASE. AND WE OWE IT TO
- 2 THE RESIDENTS AND THE BUSINESSES OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO
- 3 PROVIDE THEM WITH THE MOST EFFECTIVE PUBLIC HEALTH WE CAN AND
- 4 I URGE YOUR SUPPORT.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I ASK DR. BRESLOW A
- 9 QUESTION WHILE HE'S STILL HERE? IT GIVES ME GREAT PLEASURE TO
- 10 ASK A PROFESSOR A QUESTION. WOULD YOU ADDRESS BRIEFLY THE
- 11 ISSUE OF WHAT'S IN A SEPARATION FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC, WHAT'S
- 12 THE BENEFIT TO THE PUBLIC? IS THIS JUST FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE
- 13 BUREAUCRATS AND FOR THEIR CONVENIENCE, AS HAS BEEN ASSERTED,
- 14 OR IS THERE SOME TANGIBLE BENEFIT THAT THE PUBLIC WOULD GET
- 15 OUT OF THE SEPARATION?

- 17 DR. LESTER BRESLOW: WELL, THE PUBLIC WOULD GET GREATER
- 18 AUTONOMY IN FULFILLING THE PUBLIC HEALTH FUNCTIONS FOR THE
- 19 ENTIRE POPULATION AND WE'VE INDICATED SOME OF THE ISSUES, THE
- 20 HEALTH ISSUES THAT ARE DEPENDENT UPON PUBLIC HEALTH ACTION. AT
- 21 THE PRESENT TIME, THE PUBLIC IS NOT RECEIVING ADEQUATELY THE
- 22 FULL FUNCTIONS OF PUBLIC HEALTH BECAUSE THAT DEPARTMENT IS
- 23 IMBEDDED IN A BUREAUCRACY THAT IS NOT DEVOTED TO PUBLIC
- 24 HEALTH. I'VE BEEN A BUREAUCRAT IN LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENTS AND
- 25 STATE HEALTH WORK, IN THE MILITARY AND NOW IN ACADEMIA AND I



- 1 CAN TELL YOU THAT A BUREAUCRACY IS WHERE THE WORK GETS DONE.
- 2 SO THE NOTION OF HAVING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH APPEAR
- 3 BEFORE YOUR BOARD IS REALLY NOT THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE. THE
- 4 MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE IS WHO'S CONTROLLING THAT BUREAUCRACY?
- 5 AND NOW THE BUREAUCRACY IS INHIBITING WHAT PUBLIC HEALTH IS
- 6 TRYING TO DO AND, AS A MATTER OF FACT, HAS INDICATED ALREADY,
- 7 TAKING MONEY AWAY FROM IT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO DO YOU BELIEVE THAT SEPARATION WILL
- 10 IMPROVE PUBLIC HEALTH IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY...

11

12 DR. LESTER BRESLOW: OH, YES.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...MAKE NO DIFFERENCE OR WHAT?

15

- 16 DR. LESTER BRESLOW: NO, IT WILL IMPROVE PUBLIC HEALTH AND, IN
- 17 THE LONG RUN, AS WELL AS IMMEDIATELY BUT IN BOTH DIRECTIONS. I
- 18 REALLY WORRY ABOUT THE...

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: COULD YOU EXPLAIN HOW, DOCTOR? THE
- 21 OUESTION WAS HOW HEALTH WOULD BE IMPROVED FROM THIS
- 22 SEPARATION?

23

24 DR. LESTER BRESLOW: I'M SORRY?



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HOW WOULD HEALTH BE IMPROVED?

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW WOULD IT BE IMPROVED?

4

- 5 DR. LESTER BRESLOW: HOW WOULD IT BE IMPROVED? IT WOULD NOT BE
- 6 IMPROVED IN THE SENSE OF TREATMENT OF DISEASE. IT WOULD BE
- 7 IMPROVED IN THE SENSE OF HAZARDS BEING BETTER PROTECTED
- 8 AGAINST. THAT IS WHAT PUBLIC HEALTH DOES. IT DOESN'T TREAT THE
- 9 PATIENTS, EXCEPT FOR THOSE FEW THAT DR. FIELDING INDICATED,
- 10 BUT WHAT WE DO IS PROTECT PEOPLE FROM HAVING TO GO INTO THE
- 11 HOSPITALS AND IT WOULD BE THAT FUNCTION THAT WOULD BE-- IS
- 12 BEING LOST AND THAT WOULD BE LIBERATED IF THIS WERE SEPARATED.

13

- 14 DR. RICHARD BROWN: IF I MAY RESPOND, MAYOR, TO THE QUESTION AS
- 15 WELL. I THINK THAT SEPARATING PUBLIC HEALTH WOULD, BY
- 16 SEPARATING H.R., CONTRACTING AND THE BUDGETING PROCESS WOULD
- 17 GIVE PUBLIC HEALTH THE TIMELY ABILITY TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS
- 18 THAT IT NEEDS IN A MORE TIMELY MANNER, THE ABILITY TO BRING
- 19 FORTH BUDGET PROPOSALS DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD AND WITHOUT
- 20 HAVING TO GO THROUGH MULTIPLE LAYERS, WHICH MAY BE VERY
- 21 SUPPORTIVE OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND I KNOW, I KNOW THAT DR.
- 22 CHERNOF IS BUT I THINK THAT, NEVERTHELESS, THOSE MULTIPLE
- 23 LAYERS CREATE BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP AND I THINK WE
- 24 ALL RECOGNIZE THAT.



SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, SIR.

6

- 7 SAM GARRISON: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS SAM GARRISON, I'M WITH
- 8 THE LOS ANGELES AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BERNARD WEINTRAUB AND NANCY WATSON.
- 11 TAKE THE OTHER SEAT. OKAY. YOU'RE ON.

- 13 SAM GARRISON: I'M HERE TODAY TO EXPRESS OUR VERY STRONG
- 14 SUPPORT FOR THIS SEPARATION. OF YOU KNOW, A NUMBER OF VERY
- 15 OUALIFIED ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS HAVE WEIGHED IN ON
- 16 THIS ISSUE AND THE MAJORITY SUPPORT AND URGE ESTABLISHING A
- 17 SEPARATE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT. WE BELIEVE THAT OUR
- 18 POSITION, AS THE REGION'S LARGEST BUSINESS ADVOCACY
- 19 ORGANIZATION, BRINGS A UNIQUE VIEW TO THIS ISSUE. FOR ALMOST A
- 20 YEAR NOW, OUR MEMBERS, ESPECIALLY THE CHAMBERS HEALTHCARE
- 21 COMMITTEE, HAS CAREFULLY WEIGHED THE ADVANTAGES AND
- 22 DISADVANTAGES OF THE PROPOSED SEPARATION. WE'VE ATTENDED THESE
- 23 HEARINGS, WE'VE EXAMINED THE M.O.U. AND HAVE TALKED TO
- 24 HEALTHCARE EXPERTS AND MOST OF YOU ABOUT THIS ISSUE. OUR
- 25 PRIMARY CONCERN IS THE SAME AS YOURS, THAT THE COUNTY'S PUBLIC



- 1 HEALTH PROGRAMS ARE OPERATED AS EFFICIENTLY AND EFFECTIVELY AS
- 2 POSSIBLE. WITH THE LOOMING THREAT OF A FLU PANDEMIC, POTENTIAL
- 3 TERRORIST ATTACK OR MAJOR EARTHQUAKE, THE PUBLIC HEALTH NEEDS
- 4 MUST BE ADDRESSED DIRECTLY AND NO LONGER BE LUMPED TOGETHER
- 5 WITH THE VERY DIFFERENT RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONAL HEALTH
- 6 SERVICES AND THIS SHOULD ALSO BE AS MUCH OF AN ECONOMIC AND
- 7 BUSINESS DECISION AS IT IS A POLITICAL ONE. WE KNOW THAT THERE
- 8 IS AN INITIAL COST TO ESTABLISH SEPARATE DEPARTMENTS. WE KNOW
- 9 THAT IT WON'T OPERATE PERFECTLY FROM THE START AND THAT
- 10 ADJUSTMENTS WILL BE NEEDED ALONG THE WAY. THAT'S TO BE
- 11 EXPECTED. HOWEVER, NINE OUT OF 10 C.E.O.S WOULD TELL YOU THAT
- 12 THE LONG-TERM SAVINGS OF A SMALLER BUREAUCRACY STREAMLINE
- 13 DECISION-MAKING PROCESS AND NARROWER FOCUS OF PRIORITIES FAR
- 14 OUTWEIGHS THE UP-FRONT COSTS. AFTER CAREFUL REVIEW, THE
- 15 CHAMBERS HEALTHCARE COMMITTEE VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO SUPPORT THE
- 16 SEPARATION. A FEW WEEKS LATER, OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS, A VERY
- 17 DIVERSE GROUP OF BUSINESS LEADERS, ALSO VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO
- 18 SUPPORT THE SEPARATION. CHANGE IS RARELY EASY AND THERE ARE
- 19 OFTEN LEGITIMATE REASONS TO HOLD OFF AND WAIT FOR SOME BETTER
- 20 TIME OR CIRCUMSTANCE. THE CHAMBER DOES NOT SUGGEST WAITING
- 21 AROUND FOR ANOTHER SIX MONTHS. THERE WILL NEVER BE A PERFECT
- 22 TIME BUT THERE IS A RIGHT TIME AND THAT IS NOW. FINALLY, WE
- 23 WANT TO EXTEND OUR THANKS TO ALL OF YOU AND ESPECIALLY TO THE
- 24 THOUSANDS OF EMPLOYEES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
- 25 WHO WORK EVERY DAY TO PROTECT OUR PUBLIC HEALTH AND WE HOPE



1 THAT YOU WILL NOT WAIT ANY LONGER. ACT TODAY AND IMPLEMENT THE

2 SEPARATION. THANK YOU.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES.

- 6 RODERICK DOWIN: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR KNABE
- 7 AND FELLOW SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS RODERICK DOWIN, I'M THE
- 8 PRESIDENT OF TRIPACK HEALTH AND WELLNESS ADVOCACY. IT IS A
- 9 POINT OF PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL PRIVILEGE TO COME BEFORE
- 10 YOU TODAY TO TESTIFY IN SUPPORT OF THE CREATION OF THE A
- 11 SEPARATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE PROPOSED
- 12 ORDINANCES WHICH I ASK FOR YOUR PASSAGE AND ADOPTION. THE
- 13 SEPARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT WOULD ALLOW PUBLIC HEALTH TO
- 14 BETTER PROTECT AND PROMOTE THE HEALTH AND WELLBEING OF THE
- 15 POPULATION AND PLACE LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN DISTINGUISHED
- 16 COMPANY, ALONG WITH OTHER MAJOR METROPOLITAN AREAS WITH
- 17 SEPARATE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENTS. SEPARATING THE DEPARTMENTS
- 18 WILL ALLOW THE ACTING DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 19 SERVICES TO GIVE HIS ATTENTION TO ISSUES RELATED TO MANAGING A
- 20 LARGE HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM. NEGLECTED PUBLIC HEALTH
- 21 PRIORITIES PLACES ALL RESIDENTS' HEALTH AT RISK. THE BRESLOW
- 22 REPORT FROM 1997 DELINEATED THE PUBLIC HEALTH DECLINING
- 23 ABILITY TO CARRY OUT THE CORE MISSION, RESULTING FROM LACK OF
- 24 ATTENTION AND COMPETENCY IN THE D.H.S. LEADERSHIP. PUBLIC
- 25 HEALTH LEADERSHIP REPORTING TO AND ACCOUNTABLE TO THE BOARD OF



- 1 SUPERVISORS IS A KEY STRATEGY THAT KEY PUBLIC HEALTH
- 2 PRIORITIES RECEIVE THE NECESSARY ATTENTION. PREVENTION,
- 3 CHRONIC DISEASE CONTROL AND A FOCUS ON ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE
- 4 FOR EVERYONE WILL RESULT IN IMPROVED HEALTH STATUS FOR THE
- 5 ENTIRE POPULATION. CREATION OF A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 6 HEALTH WILL PROVIDE PROTECTION TO ITS FINANCIAL BASE, MAKING
- 7 IT LESS VULNERABLE TO CUTS FROM HOSPITAL DEFICITS. THERE HAS
- 8 BEEN STRONG PRECEDENT IN L.A. COUNTY AND AROUND THE COUNTY FOR
- 9 CREATING SEPARATE DEPARTMENTS. EXAMPLES OF SEPARATIONS WITHIN
- 10 THE COUNTY INCLUDE THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
- 11 SERVICES FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES.
- 12 CONCERN OF SEPARATION OF DEPARTMENTS WOULD LEAD TO GAPS IN
- 13 PATIENT CARE, DECREASE COLLABORATION, DUPLICATION OF EFFORT,
- 14 OR INCREASE BUREAUCRACY. I URGE YOU, MR. MAYOR AND THE BOARD,
- 15 TO PROCEED AND MOVE FORWARD TOWARDS THE JUNE IMPLEMENTATION
- 16 DATE FOR THE NEW DEPARTMENT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR REVIEW AND
- 17 CONSIDERATION AND THE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE
- 18 DISCUSSION AND THE DEBATE.

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. DR. ANTOINETTE YANCEY AND

21 KATHY OCHOA. YES, SIR.

19

- 23 STEVEN GALLEGOS: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS STEVEN GALLEGOS. I'M
- 24 WITH PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY ADVOCATES. TODAY, WE ARE PRESENTED
- 25 WITH A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO ENSURE THE DELIVERY OF SUBSTANTIAL



- 1 CHRONIC DISEASE MANAGEMENT AND PUBLIC HEALTH BREAKTHROUGHS TO
- 2 THE CITIZENS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THROUGH THE YEARS, WE HAVE
- 3 MADE A SUBSTANTIAL INVESTMENT IN DEVELOPING PROGRESSIVE AND
- 4 OUALITY PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMS THAT HAVE DELIVERED OUTSTANDING
- 5 RESEARCH AND OUTCOMES WHENEVER FACED WITH PROLIFERATING A NEW
- 6 CHRONIC DISEASE CHALLENGES THROUGH EDUCATION, PREVENTION, AND
- 7 INTERVENTION. THIS SEPARATION ALLOWS US TO GET BEYOND THE
- 8 CULTURE OF BLAME THAT HAS PERVADED PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS
- 9 THROUGH THE YEARS AROUND THE FAILURES AND SHORTCOMINGS OF THE
- 10 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. IN THINKING THROUGH THIS
- 11 COMPLEX ISSUE AND LOOKING BEYOND ANY QUICK FIXES, LET US FOCUS
- 12 ON MAINTAINING AND CREATING A REAL SUSTAINABLE CHANGE THAT WE
- 13 CAN BENCHMARK AGAINST OTHER COMMUNITIES ACROSS THIS COUNTRY,
- 14 HELPING US IDENTIFY RELATIVE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES. THROUGH
- 15 THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SEPARATION PROCESS, I BELIEVE IT IS
- 16 CRITICAL NOT TO PASS JUDGMENT TOO QUICKLY, AT LEAST NOT BEFORE
- 17 THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE DIVISIONS WITHIN A PUBLIC
- 18 HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO DEMONSTRATE THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND
- 19 COLLECTIVE VALUE AND WORTH AS THEY PROTECT US FROM FALLOUT OF
- 20 BIOTERRORIST ACTS, REDUCE EXPOSURE TO TOXIC MATERIALS, WEST
- 21 NILE VIRUS AND A.I.D.S., PREPARE US FOR BIRD FLU AND DELIVER
- 22 IMMUNIZATIONS FOR CHILDREN AND SENIORS. A LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 23 PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS AN INSPIRING VISION THAT MUST BE
- 24 COMBINED WITH ACHIEVABLE FIRST STEPS REMAINING OPPORTUNISTIC
- 25 AND OPEN TO NEW PARADIGMS OF CREATIVE COMBINATIONS OF IDEAS,



- 1 PEOPLE AND ORGANIZATIONS CAN BE ONE OF THE HALLMARKS OF
- 2 SUCCESS FOR THIS DEPARTMENT AND ITS PROGRAMS. MOST
- 3 IMPORTANTLY, BUILDING BOARD AND COMMUNITY CONFIDENCE WILL
- 4 REQUIRE PUBLICLY SETTING AND MEETING, IF NOT EXCEEDING, REAL
- 5 MEANINGFUL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES THAT DELIVER WHAT IS
- 6 PROMISED. FOR, BY DEMONSTRATING STRONG ACCOUNTABILITY AND
- 7 EXTREME EFFECTIVENESS, A NEW LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
- 8 PUBLIC HEALTH WILL SURELY BE THE MAGNET THAT ATTRACTS NEW
- 9 IDEAS, NEW PEOPLE AND NEW PUBLIC AND PRIVATE FUNDING. I
- 10 ENTREAT EACH MEMBER OF THIS BOARD TO GRANT THEIR PERMISSION
- 11 FOR US TO BREAK OLD PARADIGMS, TO DISCOVER THAT THE IMPOSSIBLE
- 12 IS POSSIBLE WITH COLLABORATION AND TO USE THE PROFESSIONAL
- 13 TALENTS AND LEADERSHIP THAT WE'VE DEVELOPED FOR THIS VISION OF
- 14 A PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND MAKING IT
- 15 SECOND TO NONE IN THE WORLD. THANK YOU.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, SIR.

- 19 BERNARD WEINTRAUB: I'M BERNARD WEINTRAUB. I AM PRESIDENT OF
- 20 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING. WE REPRESENT YOU
- 21 GENTLEMEN, YOU LADIES AND ARE VERY PLEASED. THE COMMISSION
- 22 CONSISTS OF 40 MEMBERS AND, AT OUR MEETING A WEEK AGO
- 23 WEDNESDAY, THEY DIRECTED ME TO APPEAR BEFORE YOU TO GIVE
- 24 TESTIMONY TO INDICATE TO YOU OUR VERY STRONG CONCERNS ABOUT
- 25 THIS ISSUE AND OUR SUPPORT FOR THE SEPARATION OF THE



- 1 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH-- PUBLIC HEALTH FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 2 HEALTH SERVICES. THE COMMISSION IS CONCERNED BECAUSE WE HAVE
- 3 NOT HAD A DEPARTMENT THAT HAS BEEN RESPONSIVE THAT WE BELIEVE
- 4 TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH NEEDS OF THE SENIOR CITIZENS OF THIS
- 5 COMMUNITY. WE'RE CONCERNED THAT THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT IN
- 6 HEALTH THAT WE CAN TURN TO WHEN WE HAVE PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES
- 7 THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ADDRESSED. AND THIS HAS BEEN OUR
- 8 MAJOR CONCERN. ONE OF OUR MAIN CONCERNS IS THAT, WHEN PUBLIC
- 9 HEALTH COMPETES FOR THE PUBLIC HEALTH DOLLAR, PUBLIC HEALTH
- 10 LOSES. PUBLIC HEALTH, AS IT IS TAUGHT, DOES NOT INCLUDE
- 11 PROVIDING PUBLIC -- PRIMARY HEALTHCARE TO THE PUBLIC. PUBLIC
- 12 HEALTH IS CONCERNED WITH THE GENERAL WELLBEING OF THE
- 13 COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE AND WHETHER IT IS PROTECTING THE FOOD AND
- 14 WATER SUPPLY, IMMUNIZING CHILDREN AND SENIORS OR MAINTAINING A
- 15 TRACKING SYSTEM OF DISEASE, IT IS AN ACTIVITY THAT MERITS
- 16 STANDING INDEPENDENT OF PERSONAL HEALTHCARE. THE HEALTH OF THE
- 17 COUNTY NEEDS TO BE MONITORED CONTINUALLY AND YOUR BOARD
- 18 ADVISED OF THE FINDINGS. A RETURN TO PUBLIC HEALTH WILL RETURN
- 19 PROGRAMS THAT BENEFIT TO THE COUNTY'S 1.2-MILLION-DOLLAR-- NOT
- 20 DOLLARS, 1.2 MILLION SENIORS. WE ENVISION THE UNIT OF SENIOR
- 21 CITIZENS AFFAIRS WITHIN THIS NEW DEPARTMENT, DRAWING ON THE
- 22 RESOURCES OF THE COMMUNITY AND THE SCHOOLS OF GERONTOLOGY,
- 23 WITH RESPONSIBILITY FOR PROGRAMS SUCH AS IMMUNIZATION,
- 24 NUTRITION COUNSELING AND VISITS TO SENIOR CENTERS BY PUBLIC
- 25 HEALTH NURSES, ACTIVITIES THAT ARE LONG OVERDUE. THE



- 1 COMMISSION ON AGING RECOGNIZES ITS RESPONSIBILITY OF ADVISING
- 2 YOUR BOARD OF THE PERCEIVED NEEDS OF THE SENIOR RESIDENTS OF
- 3 LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE RESPECTFULLY RECOMMEND THAT STRONG
- 4 CONSIDERATION BE GIVEN TO THE REESTABLISHMENT OF AN
- 5 INDEPENDENT AND AUTONOMOUS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH UNDER
- 6 THE DIRECTION OF A COMPETENT PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTITIONER.
- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, SIR. DR. ALPHONSO PLOUGH.
- 10 NANCY WATSON: HI. MY NAME IS NANCY WATSON WITH COMMUNITY
- 11 HEALTH COUNCILS AND I WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT THIS LETTER AS A
- 12 PART OF MY TESTIMONY.

7

9

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY.
- 16 NANCY WATSON: COMMUNITY HEALTH COUNCILS HAS SPOKEN TO
- 17 STAKEHOLDERS LOCALLY, INVOLVED IN PUBLIC HEALTH, PUBLIC HEALTH
- 18 DIVISION AS WELL AS ATTENDED MEETINGS ABOUT THE MEMORANDUM OF
- 19 UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN PUBLIC HEALTH AND PERSONAL HEALTH. SOME
- 20 OF OUR CONCERNS ABOUT THE SEPARATION INCLUDE, YOU KNOW, NOT
- 21 HAVING HAD AN OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC TO REALLY DEBATE THE PROS
- 22 AND CONS OF PUBLIC-- OF THE SEPARATION, THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF
- 23 INTEGRATING PUBLIC HEALTH AND PERSONAL HEALTH TO BETTER SERVE
- 24 RESIDENTS, ANALYSIS OF THE BENEFIT TO THE HEALTH STATUS FOR
- 25 THE COMMUNITY AND/OR THE RISK ASSOCIATED WITH SPLITTING THE



- 1 TWO, AS WELL AS THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CREATING A NEW
- 2 PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT. SHOULD THE BOARD DECIDE TO SEPARATE
- 3 THE TWO ENTITIES, WE ASK THAT THIS DECISION BE TIED TO
- 4 GOVERNANCE. 60% OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC
- 5 HOSPITALS AND HEALTH SYSTEMS USE INDEPENDENT OR SEPARATE
- 6 GOVERNANCE AT THIS TIME. WE THINK THAT THAT ISSUE NEEDS TO BE
- 7 ADDRESSED ALONGSIDE THIS ONE. PUBLIC STAKEHOLDER PLANNING
- 8 PROCESS TO REDEFINE THE COMMUNITY AND PUBLIC RELATIONSHIP IN
- 9 ADDRESSING PUBLIC HEALTH PRIORITIES. THIRDLY, ALL PRIMARY AND
- 10 TREATMENT CARE REMAIN UNDER PERSONAL HEALTH. THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 11 COMMISSION BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERSIGHT AS WELL AS EVALUATION
- 12 OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION AND, AGAIN, CONCRETE METRICS TO
- 13 ASSESS AND DETERMINE, YOU KNOW, HOW THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 14 DEPARTMENT IS DOING IN TERMS OF ADDRESSING HEALTH OUTCOMES AND
- 15 DISPARITY REDUCTION. AND, IN CONCLUSION, WE ASK THAT THE BOARD
- 16 DELAY THE DECISION TO SEPARATE THE TWO UNTIL THE OUTSTANDING
- 17 ISSUE OF GOVERNANCE HAS BEEN ADDRESSED. THANK YOU.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, MA'AM.

- 21 DR. ANTRONETTE YANCEY: I'M DR. ANTRONETTE YANCEY, CO-DIRECTOR
- 22 OF THE CENTER TO ELIMINATE HEALTH DISPARITIES AT THE U.C.L.A.
- 23 SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND A PROFESSOR OF HEALTH SERVICES IN
- 24 THAT SCHOOL. I WAS FORMERLY YOUR DIRECTOR OF CHRONIC DISEASE
- 25 PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION HERE IN THE COUNTY AND I WAS



- 1 ALSO THE CITY HEALTH DIRECTOR IN RICHMOND, VIRGINIA. MUCH OF
- 2 THE DISCUSSION OF THE BOARD TO DATE HAS FOCUSED ON THE MEDICAL
- 3 ENCOUNTER AND, IN FACT, MOST OF PUBLIC HEALTH OPERATES OUTSIDE
- 4 OF THE MEDICAL ENCOUNTER. ONLY ABOUT 10% OF HEALTH IMPROVEMENT
- 5 MAY BE ADDRESSED WITHIN THE MEDICAL ENCOUNTER AND DISPARITIES
- 6 IN HEALTH STATUS AND DISEASE BURDEN REPRESENT A SERIOUS PUBLIC
- 7 HEALTH PROBLEM IN THIS COUNTY THAT IS THE MOST DIVERSE AND THE
- 8 LARGEST IN THE COUNTRY. WHILE OVERALL WE'VE MADE SIGNIFICANT
- 9 ADVANCES IN THE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF CERTAIN HEALTH
- 10 CONDITIONS, THERE ARE MAJOR POTENTIALLY PREVENTABLE
- 11 DISPARITIES THAT PERSIST IN SUBSUMING PUBLIC HEALTH UNDER THE
- 12 OVERALL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. THE PUSH IS TOWARD
- 13 SHORT-TERM COST CONTAINMENT TO ADDRESS THE INCREASES IN DEMAND
- 14 FOR CHRONIC DISEASE CARE AND THE DISABILITIES ASSOCIATED WITH
- 15 THAT CARE AND COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT CARE. THAT'S NOT
- 16 GOING TO WORK. IN FACT, IN THE LONG-TERM, BY SEPARATING PUBLIC
- 17 HEALTH, THE DISPARITIES ASSOCIATED WITH MANY OF THE PROBLEMS,
- 18 PARTICULARLY CHRONIC DISEASE AND PARTICULARLY OBESITY, WHICH
- 19 IS A MAJOR DRIVER OF THE EPIDEMIC OF CHRONIC DISEASES, CAN
- 20 ONLY BE ADDRESSED BY MORE PROGRAMMING, MORE POLICY WORK
- 21 OUTSIDE OF THIS CENTRAL MEDICAL ENCOUNTER. SO I WOULD ARGUE
- 22 VERY STRONGLY THAT, AS A FORMER CITY HEALTH DIRECTOR, HAVING
- 23 THAT ABILITY TO GO DIRECTLY TO MY CITY COUNCIL AND FORM THOSE
- 24 RELATIONSHIPS AND ARGUE FOR THE IMPORTANT WORK THAT'S BEING
- 25 DONE WITHIN THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY AND WORKPLACES, IN SCHOOLS,



- 1 IN COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS IS REALLY THE MEAT OF PUBLIC
- 2 HEALTH AND I CAN ALSO SAY, AS THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR OF A
- 3 RECENT GRANT THAT WE GOT IN COOPERATION WITH PUBLIC HEALTH OF
- 4 \$2 MILLION FROM THE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH, THAT WE
- 5 CAN'T EVEN BRING A PORTION OF THAT MONEY THROUGH PUBLIC HEALTH
- 6 BECAUSE IT'S ONLY A THREE-YEAR GRANT. WE HAVE TO FIND A
- 7 COMMUNITY-BASED INTERMEDIARY SO THAT WE CAN GET THE WORK DONE.
- 8 THIS IS REALLY A PRESSING PROBLEM AND I REALLY HOPE THAT YOU
- 9 WILL SUPPORT THE SEPARATION.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: YOU KNOW AND I THINK THAT THIS IS ONE OF THE
- 12 CONCERNS I HAVE, IS THAT, WHEN THOSE PUBLIC HEALTH DOLLARS ARE
- 13 AVAILABLE, YOU SHOULD NOT HAVE TO GO TO A NONPROFIT OUTSIDE IN
- 14 ORDER TO GET THAT DONE AND I DON'T KNOW-- MR...

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MR. JANSSEN?

- 18 SUP. BURKE: THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT REALLY HAS TO BE ADDRESSED
- 19 AND TO CHANGE A WHOLE DEPARTMENT TO DO IT, I'M NOT SURE AND
- 20 THAT'S THE WHOLE ISSUE OF WHERE YOU HAVE A GRANT AND, AS WE
- 21 HAVE SEEN, MOST OFTEN, THEY PUT IT THROUGH A FOUNDATION OR A
- 22 NONPROFIT SO THAT THEY CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH IT AND THIS IS
- 23 ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT I THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT AND THAT'S
- 24 ONE OF THE REASONS I WANTED TO SEE SIX MONTHS, WAS TO LOOK AT
- 25 SOME OF THESE ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE SOLVED. THEY'RE REAL. AND



- 1 SHE'S JUST SAYING THAT THEY HAD \$2 MILLION, THEY GOT THE
- 2 GRANT, THEY COULDN'T TAKE IT THROUGH THE COUNTY BECAUSE IT
- 3 WOULD TAKE TOO LONG TO GET IT OUT WORKING. NOW, I'M NOT SURE
- 4 IF WE HAD A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS-- OF PUBLIC
- 5 HEALTH THAT WOULD ALL BE TAKEN CARE OF RIGHT AWAY, I'M NOT
- 6 GOING TO GUARANTEE THAT BECAUSE WE'VE JUST SEEN SOME THINGS
- 7 BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT NEEDS TO BE SOLVED IS THE ISSUE YOU
- 8 ADDRESS. AND I WANT TO COMMEND YOU FOR ALL THE WORK THAT
- 9 YOU'RE DOING OUT IN THE COMMUNITY AND LETTING PEOPLE BE MORE
- 10 AWARE OF OBESITY AND SOME OF THOSE ISSUES AND THOSE ARE REAL
- 11 AND WE NEED THAT KIND OF PRIORITY AND, AS FAR AS I'M
- 12 CONCERNED, IT HAS TO BE THERE AND THERE HAS TO BE THAT KIND OF
- 13 EMPHASIS. AND I DO THINK THAT THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE
- 14 HAVE TO ADDRESS.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: EXCUSE ME. NEELAM GUPTA AND SAM
- 17 GARRISON.

- 19 DR. ALONZO PLOUGH: I'M DR. ALONZO PLOUGH, I AM CURRENTLY VICE
- 20 PRESIDENT OF THE CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT BUT THE CALIFORNIA
- 21 ENDOWMENT IS NOT TAKING A PARTICULAR POSITION ON THIS. I'M
- 22 REALLY COMING TO YOU TODAY TO SHARE SOME LESSONS FROM THE 10
- 23 YEARS I SPENT AS COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN THE CITY OF
- 24 BOSTON IN MANAGING A TRANSITION FROM THE COMBINED BOSTON
- 25 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS TO TWO RELATED, BUT



- 1 FUNCTIONALLY SEPARATE, DEPARTMENT OF HOSPITALS AND DEPARTMENT
- 2 OF HEALTH. I ALSO COME TO SHARE SOME LESSONS FROM MY RECENT
- 3 10-YEAR TENURE AS DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN SEATTLE, IN
- 4 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, WHERE I ALSO MANAGED A SYSTEM THAT
- 5 FUNCTIONED WITH A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN A
- 6 HOSPITAL SYSTEM. SO SOME LESSONS LEARNED, SOME ANSWERS TO SOME
- 7 SPECIFIC FUNCTIONAL QUESTIONS AND TO REALLY SAY, FROM MY
- 8 BEFORE-AND-AFTER EXPERIENCES, YOU CAN GET THERE FROM HERE, YOU
- 9 CAN GET THERE FROM HERE AND IT IS VERY CRITICAL, PARTICULARLY
- 10 GIVEN THE DISTINCT, THE SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AND VERY
- 11 IMPORTANT CHALLENGES FACING BOTH SIDES OF THESE COMBINED
- 12 SYSTEMS. THERE ARE DISTINCT AND IMPORTANT PROBLEMS ON THE
- 13 HOSPITAL SIDE AND THERE ARE EVER-GROWING AND VERY DISTINCT
- 14 PROBLEMS ON THE PUBLIC HEALTH SIDE. AND, FROM MY 25 YEARS OF
- 15 PRACTICE, THE LESSONS, THE MAJOR LESSONS THAT I HAVE LEARNED
- 16 IN THE REORGANIZATIONS WE HAVE DONE SPEAK TO THE IMPORTANCE OF
- 17 A BODY LIKE YOURS BEING ABLE TO FOCUS DISCREETLY ON THOSE TWO
- 18 SEPARATE ISSUES, BUT ALSO UNDERSTANDING, AS YOUR MEMORANDUM OF
- 19 AGREEMENT IS DEVELOPING, WHAT THE CONNECTION NEEDS TO BE. IN
- 20 BOSTON, JUST VERY BRIEFLY, BEFORE WAS A SYSTEM OF THREE
- 21 HOSPITALS COMBINED WITH THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT IMBEDDED
- 22 WITHIN IT. THROUGH A PROCESS VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT YOU ARE
- 23 GOING THROUGH NOW, A SEPARATION OF THOSE TWO THAT STRENGTHENED
- 24 THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENTS IN VERY TANGIBLE WAYS, PROVIDING
- 25 POLITICAL VISIBILITY, COMMUNITY VISIBILITY, BETTER COMMUNITY



- 1 CONNECTION AND CLEAR INDICATORS OF IMPROVED COMMUNITY HEALTH
- 2 OUTREACH AND ACTUAL COMMUNITY HEALTH STATUS IMPROVEMENT BASED
- 3 UPON THE VISIBILITY OF THAT HEALTH DEPARTMENT. IN SEATTLE, IN
- 4 KING COUNTY, WHERE WE HAD REORGANIZED INTO THE TWO DISTINCT
- 5 DEPARTMENTS BEFORE MY TIME THERE, THE PUBLIC, THE DISTINCT
- 6 PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND THE DISTINCT HOSPITAL WERE ABLE
- 7 TO MEET THE NEW CHALLENGES, PARTICULARLY THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 8 CHALLENGES OF PREPAREDNESS, BIOTERRORISM, IN A WAY THAT WOULD
- 9 NOT HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE IN THE COMBINED APPROACH. FOR EXAMPLE,
- 10 PARTICULARLY IN SEATTLE AND KING COUNTY, THE ABILITY OF THE
- 11 SEPARATE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO ENGAGE WITH THE PORT
- 12 AUTHORITY, WITH THE MULTIPLE HOSPITAL SYSTEMS IN THE REGION
- 13 AROUND BIOTERRORISM AND PREPAREDNESS WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS
- 14 NOT ABLE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED BY HEALTH DEPARTMENTS AROUND THE
- 15 COUNTRY THAT DID NOT HAVE THAT DISTINCTNESS. FINALLY, IN
- 16 RECENTLY COMING TO THE CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT, I WANT TO SPEAK
- 17 TO A FIVE-YEAR, 35-MILLION-DOLLAR EFFORT THAT WE FUNDED
- 18 LOOKING AT THE PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTICE IN THE STATE OF
- 19 CALIFORNIA AND MANY OF THE ISSUES YOU'RE GRAPPLING WITH,
- 20 PARTICULARLY THE IMPORTANCE OF HAVING A PUBLIC HEALTH
- 21 DEPARTMENT IN DIRECT INTERFACE WITH AN ELECTED BODY LIKE YOURS
- 22 AND THE ABILITY TO, IN REAL-TIME, ENGAGE WITH THESE FAST
- 23 MOVING ISSUES OF PUBLIC HEALTH WHICH, AS DR. YANCEY MENTIONED,
- 24 MORE AND MORE INVOLVED, BEING OUT THERE IN ACTIVE PARTNERSHIPS
- 25 COMMUNITIES IN THE FIELD PREVENTING DISEASE BEFORE IT BECOMES



- 1 SOMETHING INSTITUTIONALIZED IS ABSOLUTELY KEY. AND THAT STUDY,
- 2 WHICH THE L.A. COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT WAS ONE OF THE SITES,
- 3 SHOWED THAT A DISTINCT PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT LINKED TO THE
- 4 PRINCIPALLY ELECTED OFFICIALS ABLE TO, IN REAL-TIME, PROVIDE
- 5 THE JOINT LEADERSHIP TO ADDRESS THESE FAST MOVING PROBLEMS IS
- 6 HOW YOU DEAL WITH PREPAREDNESS, HOW YOU DEAL WITH THE
- 7 POSSIBILITY OF PANDEMIC INFLUENZA AND, MORE IMPORTANTLY, HOW
- 8 YOU ENGAGE COMMUNITIES AT RISK IN THE PROBLEM-SOLVING TO
- 9 PROTECT AND PROMOTE HEALTH IN OUR COMMUNITIES. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. SAM GARRISON, DAVID PRUITT,
- 12 AND MICHAEL CUSINIAN. YES, MA'AM. HI, KATHY.

- 14 KATHY OCHOA: HI. GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS KATHY
- 15 OCHOA AND I AM HERE REPRESENTING S.E.I.U. LOCAL 660. WE AGREE
- 16 WITH SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND THE C.A.O., DAVID JANSSEN,
- 17 THAT WE COULD REALLY USE A CLEAR DECISION TODAY. WE NEED
- 18 WISHY-WASHY LEADERSHIP LIKE WE NEED A HOLE IN THE HEAD.
- 19 S.E.I.U. LOCAL 660 HEALTH POLICY COMMITTEE HAS TAKEN A
- 20 POSITION TO OPPOSE THE SEPARATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH FROM THE
- 21 COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. WE ESTABLISHED A SET OF
- 22 PRINCIPLES TO GUIDE OUR DECISION MAKING ON THIS ISSUE THAT
- 23 ADDRESSED, FOR EXAMPLE, DOES THE PROPOSAL IMPROVE PATIENT
- 24 ACCESS AND THE QUALITY OF CARE? DOES IT SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE
- 25 SYSTEM PLANNING WITH CLEAR GOALS, ACCOUNTABILITY AND



- 1 CONFIGURATION OF SERVICES? WHAT IS THE IMPACT ON CURRENT AND
- 2 FUTURE FUNDING? DOES IT FIX BADLY BROKEN ADMINISTRATIVE
- 3 SERVICES WITHIN THE D.H.S. AND THE COUNTY? HOW WOULD THE
- 4 PROPOSAL AFFECT MEDICAL EDUCATION, STAFFING, AND WORKERS'
- 5 RIGHTS? DO THE RECOMMENDATIONS MOVE US CLOSER TO DESPERATELY
- 6 NEEDED LEADERSHIP AS WE BUILD A SYSTEM FOR THE 21ST CENTURY?
- 7 WE REVIEWED THE INFORMATION AT HAND, TALKED TO COLLEAGUES WHO
- 8 WORK IN SYSTEMS SUCH AS THOSE REFERENCED BY THE PREVIOUS
- 9 SPEAKER, WE REPRESENT MEMBERS IN THOSE SYSTEMS AS WELL, LOOKED
- 10 AT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF YOUR OWN EXPERTS AND ENGAGED IN VERY
- 11 DEEP INTERNAL DISCUSSION. THIS IS A DECISION WE DID NOT COME
- 12 TO EASILY. I BELIEVE, THOUGH, THAT DR. FIELDING WOULD AGREE
- 13 THAT THE UNION CONDUCTED DUE DILIGENCE ON THIS ISSUE AND WE
- 14 THANK HIM FOR THE TIME HE TOOK TO MEET WITH US TO SHARE HIS
- 15 VIEWPOINTS AND TO LISTEN TO OUR VARIOUS CONCERNS, BOTH PRO AND
- 16 CON ON THIS ISSUE, AND WE THANK HIM FOR HIS LEADERSHIP. DR.
- 17 FIELDING, WE RESPECT YOUR CONVICTION AND, HAVING HEARD FROM
- 18 THE NUMBER OF PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICIALS HERE TODAY, WE CONSIDER
- 19 YOU A DAMN GOOD ORGANIZER AS WELL. HOWEVER, WE URGE THAT THIS
- 20 ITEM BE SET ASIDE AT THIS TIME. SUPERVISORS, S.E.I.U. LOCAL
- 21 660 IS NOT FOR THE STATUS QUO BUT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
- 22 BOLDNESS AND BRAVURA. THIS IS NOT ABOUT SOUND BITES AND
- 23 RHETORIC. THIS IS ABOUT HOW WE MEET THE FULL SCOPE OF HEALTH
- 24 NEEDS OF EVERY RESIDENT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. OUR
- 25 RESPONSIBILITY, THE COMMUNITY-- TO THE COMMUNITY FOR



- 1 PREVENTION, DETECTION AND TREATMENT. RESPONSE TO ANTHRAX,
- 2 AIRPLANES FROM ANKARA OR AVIAN FLU REQUIRE AN INTEGRATED
- 3 APPROACH. THERE IS ALREADY A COUNTY BODY, A REGIONAL BODY TO
- 4 ADDRESS HOMELAND SECURITY ISSUES. IT INCLUDES NOT JUST
- 5 REPRESENTATIVES FROM PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT
- 6 AND THE PRIVATE HOSPITAL SECTOR, IT INCLUDES POLICE, FIRE,
- 7 OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT SO WE CAN'T PRETEND, BY CREATING A
- 8 SEPARATE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, THAT WE WILL HAVE A DISTINCT
- 9 ADVANTAGE OVER ANY TYPE OF THREAT BECAUSE WE DO DESERVE AND WE
- 10 HAVE IN PLACE A SYSTEM FOR AN INTEGRATED RESPONSE TO SUCH
- 11 THREATS. WE BELIEVE THAT THE COUNTY MUST, NUMBER ONE,
- 12 IMMEDIATELY ACT ON THE BOARD LETTER RECOMMENDATIONS TO FIX
- 13 BOTH THE HUMAN RESOURCE AND CONTRACTS AND GRANTS FUNCTIONS,
- 14 EACH OF WHICH WERE DEBILITATED IN THE APM REENGINEERING
- 15 PROJECT. IT'S A CRIME THAT OUR TAX DOLLARS ARE SENT BACK
- 16 BECAUSE WE'RE UNABLE TO HIRE IN A TIMELY WAY. WE CONCUR WITH
- 17 BOTH DRS. CHERNOF AND FIELDING THAT THESE SERVICES ARE BROKEN.
- 18 WE NEED ADEOUATE STAFFING AND UPGRADED TECHNOLOGY SO THAT THE
- 19 FULL NEEDS OF D.H.S. ARE ADDRESSED. FURTHER, WE THINK THAT THE
- 20 C.A.O. IS IN A UNIQUE POSITION TO ELIMINATE OBSTACLES IN
- 21 CENTRAL H.R. SERVICES AND SHOULD ACT NOW TO DO SO. TWO,
- 22 DEVELOP A POLICY DOCUMENT THAT BETTER DELINEATES THE
- 23 COORDINATION OF PERSONAL, PUBLIC HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH
- 24 OPERATIONS WITHIN AND OUTSIDE OF THE COUNTY SYSTEM WITH
- 25 CLEARLY ARTICULATED SETS OF OBJECTIVES THAT MUST BE FOLLOWED.



- 1 THIS WOULD HELP CLARIFY THE OVERLAP BETWEEN THE THREE AND HELP
- 2 EACH TO REACH THEIR RESPECTIVE GOALS. ABSENT SUCH A DIRECTION,
- 3 THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND THIS BOARDS ARE YANKED INTO A
- 4 REACTIONARY STANCE AND CONFUSING THIS FOR BOLD ACTION,
- 5 ADDRESSING ONE CRISIS AFTER ANOTHER. THREE, THE REAL CRISIS IS
- 6 THAT WE DON'T KNOW WHERE WE'RE GOING OR WHO WILL LEAD US
- 7 THERE. LET'S GET PROACTIVE. WHETHER WE ARE FOR OR AGAINST THE
- 8 SEPARATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, WE ARE
- 9 ALL FOR IMPROVING THE FISCAL STABILITY AND SERVICE
- 10 CONFIGURATION OF EACH AND RESPECTING AND PROMOTING THE
- 11 MISSIONS OF EACH. WE BELIEVE THAT A CRITICAL STEP TOWARD
- 12 ACHIEVING QUALITY, ACCESS, FISCAL STABILITY AND SAFE CARE AND
- 13 WORKING ENVIRONMENTS BEGINS WITH PLANNING. WHAT DO WE WANT OUR
- 14 HEALTHCARE SYSTEM TO LOOK LIKE? IN THE LONG RUN, PLANNING FOR
- 15 OUR SYSTEM'S FUTURE, WHEREIN THE TALENT AND RESOURCES,
- 16 INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL TO THE COUNTY, ARE COMBINED WITH THE
- 17 TALENT AND RESOURCES OF ADVOCATES AND THE S.E.I.U. IS A
- 18 CRITICAL FIRST STEP. WE URGE YOU TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE KNABE
- 19 MOTION WITH THE SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS MADE BY THE C.A.O. AND
- 20 LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU TO ACHIEVE OUR SHARED GOALS.
- 21 THANK YOU.

- 23 NEELAM GUPTA: HELLO. I'M TESTIFYING TODAY ON BEHALF OF L.A.
- 24 HEALTH ACTION, A PROGRAM OFFICE OF THE CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT
- 25 DEDICATED TO PRESERVING AND PROMOTING HEALTHCARE COVERAGE FOR



- 1 LOS ANGELES COUNTY RESIDENTS. THE STAKEHOLDER PROCESS CONFIRMS
- 2 THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE M.O.U.'S PROGRAMMATIC IMPROVEMENTS DO
- 3 NOT NEED A SEPARATION IN ORDER TO OCCUR. SEPARATION ALONE WILL
- 4 NOT RESULT IN IMPROVED PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTICE. THE CALIFORNIA
- 5 ENDOWMENT, THROUGH ITS FIVE-YEAR, 37-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 6 PARTNERSHIP FOR THE PUBLIC'S HEALTH INITIATIVE RECENTLY
- 7 RELEASED A REPORT ON HOW TO BEST IMPROVE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 8 PRACTICE. THIS REPORT CONFIRMS THAT THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR
- 9 TO IMPROVING OUR COUNTY'S PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTICE IS FOSTERING
- 10 EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP THAT IS COMMITTED TO CREATING A DYNAMIC,
- 11 BROAD BASED AND COMMUNITY ORIENTED STRATEGIC APPROACH TO
- 12 PUBLIC HEALTH. THIS M.O.U. DOES NOT YET PROVIDE A BLUEPRINT TO
- 13 ENSURE THAT WILL HAPPEN. AT A MINIMUM, THE DRAFT M.O.U.
- 14 BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE MODIFIED TO INCLUDE THE
- 15 FOLLOWING POINTS TO MOVE TOWARDS MAXIMIZING SERVICE DELIVERY
- 16 AND INTEGRATION AND IMPROVING THE HEALTH STATUS OF COMMUNITY
- 17 RESIDENTS. FIRST, STRENGTHEN THE ROLE OF STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN
- 18 THE JOINT WORKING GROUP. THE JOINT WORKING GROUP MUST SPECIFY
- 19 THAT IT SHALL INCLUDE COMMUNITY MEMBER EXPERTS. THE DRAFT
- 20 M.O.U. CURRENTLY ONLY STATES THE WORKING GROUP SHOULD SEEK
- 21 INPUT FROM STAKEHOLDERS AS APPROPRIATE. INSTEAD, THESE
- 22 STAKEHOLDERS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE DECISION MAKING
- 23 STRUCTURE OF THE WORKING GROUP IN EACH RESPECTIVE PROGRAM AREA
- 24 TO ENSURE THAT SERVICE INTEGRATION REMAINS A PRIORITY. THE
- 25 M.O.U. MUST DEMONSTRATE A STRONG COMMITMENT TO COMMUNITY



- 1 INCLUSIVE PLANNING. SECOND, METRICS FOR WHEN THE M.O.U. IS
- 2 WORKING AND NOT WORKING SHOULD BE DEVELOPED. THE M.O.U.
- 3 CURRENTLY DOES NOT INCLUDE INFORMATION WHICH WOULD BETTER
- 4 ALLOW THE COUNTY TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE SEPARATION IS
- 5 RESULTING IN BETTER PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTICE FOR ITS RESIDENTS.
- 6 FOR EXAMPLE, IT DOES NOT INCLUDE BASELINE VOLUMES OF SERVICES
- 7 PROVIDED IN AREAS SUCH AS S.T.D.S AND H.I.V. BENCHMARKS SHOULD
- 8 BE ESTABLISHED IN ORDER TO DETERMINE IF PRACTICE TARGETS ARE
- 9 BEING MET. IN ADDITION, PROCESSES SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED AND
- 10 TRIGGERED TO ADDRESS PROBLEM ISSUES. THIRD, A DATA SYSTEM
- 11 INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE DEVELOPED THAT FACILITATES A MOVE
- 12 TOWARDS AN ELECTRONIC AND COORDINATED EXCHANGE OF PATIENT
- 13 MEDICAL RECORDS. THE M.O.U. OFFERS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE
- 14 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
- 15 TO MAKE THEIR DATA SYSTEMS MORE UNIFORM, CREATE MUTUAL SYSTEMS
- 16 TO BETTER TRACK PERFORMANCE OF THE HEALTH DELIVERY SYSTEM AND
- 17 TO ENSURE AN EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE OF PATIENT MEDICAL INFORMATION
- 18 BETWEEN THE COUNTY'S DEPARTMENTS, HOSPITALS AND CLINICS AND
- 19 THE PRIVATE SECTOR. AND, LASTLY, AN OUTREACH IMPLEMENTATION
- 20 AND TRAINING PLAN FOR D.H.S. AND DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
- 21 STAFF ON THE SPECIFIC AREAS OF THE M.O.U. SHOULD BE DEVELOPED.
- 22 THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE OUR COMMENTS. IF
- 23 THESE CHANGES ARE REFLECTED IN THE M.O.U., THEN L.A. HEALTH
- 24 ACTION WILL SUPPORT THE PROPOSED SEPARATION. L.A. HEALTH
- 25 ACTION, ALONG WITH THE L.A. HEALTH COLLABORATIVE, LOOKS



- 1 FORWARD TO CONTINUING TO WORK WITH THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ON
- 2 THIS ISSUE.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, SIR.

5

- 6 DAVID PRUITT: HI, DAVID PRUITT, L.A. COUNTY MEDICAL
- 7 ASSOCIATION. I'M JUST GOING TO KEEP IT SHORT. WE SUPPORT THE
- 8 SEPARATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH. I THINK THAT DR. BRESLOW, DR.
- 9 YANCEY, DR. BROWN, STEVE GALLEGOS, ROBERT DOWIN AND SAM
- 10 GARRISON HAVE MADE THE POINT THAT IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE DO
- 11 SUPPORT THE EFFORTS TO SEPARATE PUBLIC HEALTH AND I THANK YOU
- 12 FOR YOUR TIME.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND YOU SUPPORT THE DEPARTMENT
- 15 SEPARATION?

16

17 **DAVID PRUITT:** YES.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, SIR.

- 21 MICHAEL CUSANOV: MY NAME IS MICHAEL CUSANOV FROM U.S.C. SCHOOL
- 22 OF MEDICINE. I ALSO SUPPORT THE SEPARATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH
- 23 FROM PERSONAL HEALTH SERVICES. THERE'S A FEW THINGS THAT I
- 24 WOULD LIKE TO BRING UP AS MAYBE HASN'T BEEN ADDRESSED AS MUCH.
- 25 ONE IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE PRIVATE



- 1 SECTOR. I KNOW THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION AND THE M.O.U.
- 2 ADDRESSES THE QUESTION OF COORDINATION OF SERVICES WITHIN THE
- 3 PUBLIC SECTOR BUT WE HAVE MANY PRIVATE PHYSICIANS, CLINICS,
- 4 PLANNED PARENTHOOD CLINICS, HOSPITALS, ALL OF WHICH PROVIDE
- 5 MANY IMPORTANT PUBLIC HEALTH CLINICAL PREVENTIVE SERVICES; IN
- 6 FACT, MANY OF THOSE SERVICES SUCH AS IMMUNIZATION PERHAPS
- 7 OCCUR MORE IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR THAN THE PUBLIC SECTOR. SO I
- 8 THINK IT'S A MISTAKE TO JUST SAY, YOU KNOW, THAT IF THERE ARE
- 9 ANY SHORTCOMINGS IN THE M.O.U., IT DOESN'T ADDRESS THE PRIVATE
- 10 SECTOR AS WELL. I THINK THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE THE
- 11 DISCUSSION IN TERMS OF THE SEPARATION ABOUT HOW WE COORDINATE
- 12 ALL SERVICES IN THE COUNTY, WHICH IS WHY I THINK IT'S
- 13 IMPORTANT TO SEPARATE IT OUT FROM THE PERSONAL HEALTH SERVICES
- 14 SO THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT SEES ITS ROLE VIS-A-VIS THE
- 15 ENTIRE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, THE ENTIRE 10 MILLION PEOPLE IN THE
- 16 COUNTY, NOT JUST THE PEOPLE SERVED BY OUR COUNTY HOSPITALS AND
- 17 HEALTH CLINICS. SECOND OF ALL, WITH RESPECT TO ACCOUNTABILITY
- 18 AND PREPARATION, I AM CONCERNED THAT AT LEAST ONE CRITIC OF
- 19 THE PROPOSAL TO SEPARATE IT SAID, WELL, IF WE SEPARATE OUT
- 20 PUBLIC HEALTH, THEN IT WILL BE HARDER FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO
- 21 BRING PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES TOWARDS THE BOARD AND I AGREE WITH
- 22 THAT. I THINK THAT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE ISSUES BROUGHT
- 23 TOWARDS-- IN FRONT OF THE BOARD THAT OTHERWISE WOULD NOT BE,
- 24 THINGS LIKE DISASTER PREPAREDNESS. YOU KNOW, JUST LOOK AT WHAT
- 25 HAPPENED IN NEW ORLEANS WITH THE, YOU KNOW, BEARING OF



- 1 F.E.M.A. WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITIES AND, YOU
- 2 KNOW, NOBODY KNEW WHO-- WHAT WAS GOING ON AND I THINK THOSE
- 3 ARE THINGS THAT NEED TO BE BROUGHT DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD OF
- 4 SUPERVISORS. SO THOSE ARE MY TWO MAJOR AREAS OF CONCERN. I
- 5 WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE SEPARATION GO FORWARD. I THINK IT'LL BE
- 6 AN IMPORTANT STEP FORWARD AND AN IMPORTANT MESSAGE FOR THE
- 7 COMMUNITY ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF PUBLIC HEALTH. THANK YOU.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT CONCLUDES
- 10 THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY. WE HAD TWO MOTIONS THAT WERE BROUGHT
- 11 FORTH: ONE BY SUPERVISOR KNABE AND ONE BY MYSELF. SUPERVISOR
- 12 KNABE'S MOTION WAS MODIFIED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. SO WHAT IS
- 13 THE PLEASURE OF THE BOARD?

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK THAT WES, DIDN'T HE ALSO MODIFIED TO
- 16 TAKE OUT NUMBER 1 OR IS HE DOING THAT?

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK DON AGREED.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT. I -- THE C.A.O. RECOMMENDED TO TAKE OUT ITEM
- 21 NUMBER 1.

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR, MY RECOMMENDATION WAS
- 24 THAT, UNDER NUMBER ONE, YOU MAKE A DECISION EITHER TO SEPARATE
- 25 OR NOT TO SEPARATE, SO YOU HAVE A CLEAR POLICY DECISION. AND



- 1 THEN, UNDER ITEMS TWO, THREE, AND FOUR, THAT YOU REFER THOSE
- 2 BACK TO US, THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE
- 3 C.A.O.'S OFFICE TO COME BACK TO YOUR BOARD WITH SPECIFIC
- 4 RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW TO IMPROVE THE -- I DON'T WANT TO SAY
- 5 INDEPENDENCE BUT THE OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC HEALTH AS PART OF
- 6 THE DEPARTMENT, IF THAT'S WHERE YOU DECIDE TO GO, INCLUDING
- 7 THE ISSUE THAT YOU TALKED ABOUT IN TERMS OF THE GRANTS.

9 SUP. KNABE: I MOVE THAT.

8

15

17

19

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. THERE'S A MOTION BY KNABE.
- 12 SECONDED BY MOLINA. SO THE QUESTION OF HAVING A SEPARATE
- 13 DEPARTMENT WOULD NOT BE DELAYED FOR SIX MONTHS, IT WOULD BE
- 14 NOT CONSIDERED AFTER SIX MONTHS IS WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WOULD BE BASICALLY KILLING IT.

18 SUP. BURKE: IT WOULD KILL IT. I THINK THAT'S TOO BAD.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I THINK, WHEN YOU HEAR FROM THE
- 21 EXPERTS FROM THE EXPERTS IN PUBLIC HEALTH RELATIVE TO THE
- 22 IMPORTANCE OF HAVING A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT, AND WHEN YOU
- 23 RECOGNIZE THAT THE ISSUE -- WHEN YOU RECOGNIZE THE ISSUE WHICH
- 24 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH IS FACING TODAY, THEIR PRIORITIES,
- 25 THEY DON'T CONSIDER-- OR LET'S SAY THE PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES



- 1 ARE REALLY NOT FACTORED IN. PUBLIC HEALTH COULD STAND ON ITS
- 2 OWN BECAUSE IT IS SUPPORTED, BASICALLY, FROM FEDERAL AND STATE
- 3 GRANTS. IT WOULD NOT BE A CONFLICT BY HAVING A SEPARATE
- 4 DEPARTMENT AND THAT GREATER EFFICIENCY WOULD ENHANCE THE
- 5 ABILITY OF THE COUNTY TO RESPOND EFFECTIVELY AND
- 6 PROFESSIONALLY IN DEALING WITH ANY FUTURE AND CURRENT PUBLIC
- 7 HEALTH NEEDS. SO I WOULD NOT SUPPORT THAT TYPE OF AN ACTION.
- 8 ANY OTHER COMMENTS? SUPERVISOR KNABE?

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, MR. MAYOR, MY ORIGINAL MOTION, IT'S BEEN
- 11 CHANGED. I MEAN, IF THE C.A.O. AND EVERYONE ELSE WANTS IT UP
- 12 OR DOWN, THEN SO BE IT. MY ORIGINAL MOTION WAS AN ATTEMPT NOT
- 13 TO KILL THIS IMMEDIATELY TODAY AND CLEARLY THAT WAS MY INTENT.
- 14 NOW, IF Y'ALL WANT TO DO IT UP OR DOWN, SO BE IT, I'LL JUST
- 15 HAVE TO CAST MY VOTE ACCORDINGLY.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK YOU'VE HEARD TODAY
- 22 FROM SOME OF THE MOST NOTABLE AND ACCOMPLISHED PEOPLE IN THE
- 23 FIELD OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HEALTHCARE DELIVERY THAT THERE ARE
- 24 IN THIS COUNTY. I WON'T NAME THEM, OTHER THAN THOSE WHO ARE
- OVER 90 YEARS OLD, FROM DR. BRESLOW ON DOWN. YOU'VE HEARD FROM



- 1 PEOPLE WHO HAVE CONSIDERED THIS, NOT JUST IN THE LAST FEW
- 2 WEEKS OR MONTHS, BUT HAVE CONSIDERED THIS OVER A PERIOD OF
- 3 YEARS AND, MOST OF THE PEOPLE, MOST OF THE AGENCIES AND
- 4 ENTITIES AND MINDS WHO TOIL IN THE FIELD OF PUBLIC HEALTH ALL
- 5 SAY THAT WE OUGHT TO SEPARATE IT. NOW, IF, TODAY, THE WILL OF
- 6 THE BOARD IS TO KILL THE SEPARATION OR TO -- NOT TO SEPARATE,
- 7 THEN I THINK IT'S UNFORTUNATE, BECAUSE ALL THESE PEOPLE CAN'T
- 8 BE WRONG. THEY MAY BE BUT IT'S DOUBTFUL THAT ALL OF THEM ARE
- 9 WRONG. AND I THINK, EVEN AMONG US, AS EVIDENCED BY THE
- 10 ORIGINAL MOTION BY SUPERVISOR KNABE A FEW MONTHS AGO, THERE
- 11 WAS A DESIRE TO DO SOMETHING, THAT WE WEREN'T SATISFIED WITH
- 12 THE STATUS OUO. THIS IS A OUESTION OF REFORM VERSUS THE STATUS
- 13 QUO, MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT AND, IF YOU BELIEVE IN THE
- 14 STATUS QUO, YOU OUGHT TO BE PROUD TO SAY SO AND DEFEND IT,
- 15 BECAUSE NOT SEPARATING THE DEPARTMENT MEANS KEEPING IT THE WAY
- 16 IT IS. AND YOU CAN TINKER AROUND THE EDGES AND YOU CAN CALL
- 17 FOR MORE AUTONOMY AND MORE INDEPENDENCE AND ALL THAT STUFF. I
- 18 DON'T KNOW HOW IT PANS OUT. I THINK THAT'S THE FRUSTRATION
- 19 THAT MR. JANSSEN IS RAISING, AS I DID EARLIER. IF IT WALKS
- 20 LIKE AND TALKS LIKE A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT, THEN MAKE IT A
- 21 SEPARATE DEPARTMENT. IF YOU DON'T MAKE IT A SEPARATE
- 22 DEPARTMENT, DON'T TALK LIKE IT'S A SEPARATE DEPARTMENT, DON'T
- 23 TALK AUTONOMY. IF YOU NEED MORE TIME TO THINK ABOUT IT, YOU
- 24 KNOW, IF-- AND THERE DON'T APPEAR TO BE THREE VOTES TODAY TO
- 25 SEPARATE IT, IF YOU NEED MORE TIME, BY ALL MEANS, TAKE MORE



- 1 TIME AND LET'S GET AT WHATEVER OTHER REMAINING ISSUES THERE
- 2 ARE. BUT I THINK THIS IS AN IDEA WHOSE TIME HAS LONG SINCE
- 3 PASSED AND I WASN'T HERE WHEN THE TWO WERE MERGED. THIS GOES
- 4 BEFORE MY TIME AND I'M NOT EVEN GOING TO BE CRITICAL OF THOSE
- 5 WHO DID IT. AT THE TIME, IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN THE RIGHT THING TO
- 6 DO BASED ON THE EVIDENCE THAT WAS PRESENTED AT THE TIME AND
- 7 THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT PREVAILED AT THE TIME. BUT I DO BELIEVE
- 8 IT'S PRETTY CLEAR TO ME THAT, IF WE CAN CREATE A SEPARATION
- 9 WHERE PUBLIC HEALTH HAS ITS OWN PORTFOLIO AND DOESN'T HAVE TO
- 10 COMPETE FOR THE HUMAN AND INTELLECTUAL RESOURCES OF THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES TO PERFORM ITS FUNCTION, THAT
- 12 EVERY MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD IN THIS COUNTY IS THE BENEFICIARY
- 13 OF THAT. IT'S PRETTY TRANSPARENT TO ME. THAT'S THE WAY WE MAKE
- 14 DECISIONS HERE. IF WE CAN PREPARE TO DELIVER SERVICES BETTER
- 15 THAN THE RECIPIENT OF THE SERVICE, IT STANDS TO REASON WE'LL
- 16 BE THE BENEFICIARY OF IT. AND SO I WANT TO SEE US PROCEED. IF
- 17 THERE AREN'T THREE VOTES TODAY TO PROCEED, THEN LET'S-- AND IF
- 18 THERE AREN'T THREE VOTES TO KILL IT TODAY, THEN MAYBE WE NEED
- 19 TO TAKE ANOTHER 30 DAYS OR 30 TO 60 DAYS AND IDENTIFY THE
- 20 ISSUES THAT ARE STILL OUTSTANDING AND TRY TO WORK THOSE OUT,
- 21 WHICH WOULD BE SHORT OF SEPARATION. THAT WOULD BE MY
- 22 SUGGESTION AND MY PREFERENCE.

24 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. I MEAN...

25



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I'LL LET YOU MAKE THAT MOTION.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE: ...YOU KNOW, I INDICATED IN MY-- THE INTENT OF MY
- 4 MOTION WAS NOT TO KILL IT.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. I WILL DEFER TO YOU ON THAT.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: BUT I STILL THINK THERE'S SOME VERY SERIOUS ISSUES
- 9 OUT THERE THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED BEFORE WE HAVE THIS-- I'M
- 10 THE ONE THAT BROUGHT THE ORIGINAL MOTION IN, AND I WAS
- 11 SOMEWHAT CONFUSED BY THE REPORT BACK, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS
- 12 EXCELLENT, BUT I THOUGHT IT WAS A REPORT BACK, NOT TO
- 13 IMPLEMENT. AND SO THERE WERE STILL SOME ISSUES BEING RAISED
- 14 OUT THERE. SO, I MEAN, IF YOU JUST WANT TO PUT IT ON THE TABLE
- 15 FOR 30 DAYS, I DON'T HAVE A...

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I WOULD SUGGEST SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 30
- 18 AND 60 DAYS BUT NOT SIX MONTHS. I THINK THAT'S WAY TOO LONG.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 22 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. ONE OF-- I CAN UNDERSTAND THE POSITION
- 23 OF PEOPLE IN PUBLIC HEALTH. I THINK EVERYONE WHO HAS A
- 24 SPECIALTY WANTS TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO HAVE AUTONOMY IN THEIR
- 25 SPECIALTY. HOWEVER, EVEN THOUGH PUBLIC HEALTH MAY HAVE ONE



- 1 MISSION, THE PEOPLE WHO SUFFER FROM THOSE DISEASES THAT ARE
- 2 PUBLIC HEALTH DISEASES NEED ANOTHER MISSION IN ORDER FOR THEIR
- 3 ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED AND I HAVE TO SAY THIS AND I HAVE TO
- 4 TELL YOU ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I AM TAKING THE POSITION I AM
- 5 TODAY. LAST WEEK, WE DISCUSSED H.I.V./A.I.D.S. AND
- 6 H.I.V./A.I.D.S. IS SOMETHING THAT IS A PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUE.
- 7 IT'S PART OF THE MISSION FOR PUBLIC HEALTH BUT THE TREATMENT
- 8 OF PEOPLE WITH H.I.V./A.I.D.S. IS OVER IN THE HEALTH
- 9 REQUIREMENTS OF PROVIDING CARE AND, UNFORTUNATELY, TODAY THERE
- 10 ARE SO MANY OTHER ISSUES IN ADDITION TO H.I.V./A.I.D.S. THAT
- 11 MOVE OVER AND MAKE IT NECESSARY FOR THE ULTIMATE MISSION OF
- 12 PROVIDING FOR THE GREATER GOOD AND THE ISSUE OF PROVIDING FOR
- 13 THE CARE OF INDIVIDUALS COME TOGETHER. AND I BELIEVE THAT WE
- 14 CAN COME UP WITH A STRUCTURE AND ADMINISTRATION THAT CAN GIVE
- 15 PUBLIC HEALTH THE KIND OF AUTONOMY THAT THEY NEED IN ORDER TO
- 16 REACH OUT, TO GET THE KIND OF RESEARCH DOLLARS, TO GET THE
- 17 KIND OF OUTREACH DOLLARS TO SOLVE THE MISSION OF PUBLIC
- 18 HEALTH. BUT WE ALSO NEED TO HAVE THE KIND OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE
- 19 APPROACH THAT IS GEARED TO THE WAY THAT PUBLIC LIVES AND
- 20 REACTS. THE PUBLIC TODAY, WHO HAS-- WELL, WHETHER IT'S GOING
- 21 TO BE THE-- SOME OF THE THINGS WE'VE HAD RECENTLY OR WHETHER
- 22 THE THINGS THAT WE TALK ABOUT IN TERMS OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
- 23 WHETHER IT'S-- WHETHER OR NOT THE ASIA FLU OR WHETHER OR NOT
- 24 IT'S GOING TO BE A MATTER OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE'VE
- 25 EXPERIENCED HAVE NOT ALWAYS BEEN ABLE TO FIT INTO THAT LITTLE



- 1 POCKET BECAUSE, AFTER WE DO ALL OF THE RESEARCH, THE OUTREACH,
- 2 THE EDUCATION, ALL OF THOSE THINGS THAT ARE SO IMPORTANT,
- 3 ULTIMATELY, WE HAVE TO GO OVER AND DEAL WITH THE INDIVIDUAL
- 4 AND THAT'S WHERE THE PROBLEM IS. AND I THINK THAT WE CAN SOLVE
- 5 THAT PROBLEM IN A STRUCTURE AND AN ADMINISTRATION. WE HAVE
- 6 SOME REAL PROBLEMS. WE SAW THEM LAST WEEK IN TERMS OF
- 7 H.I.V./A.I.D.S. WE NEED TO ADDRESS THOSE PROBLEMS AND HOW WE
- 8 CAN EFFECTIVELY MOVE FORWARD TO ADDRESS THEM. AND I DON'T
- 9 BELIEVE THAT WE ARE AT A POINT TODAY WHERE I WOULD VOTE TO
- 10 SEPARATE PUBLIC HEALTH, SEEING WHAT I HAVE SEEN. I DON'T SAY
- 11 THAT, IN THE FUTURE, WE CAN'T SOLVE SOME OF THESE PROBLEMS AND
- 12 MOVE FORWARD WITH A STRUCTURE THAT PLACES THEM IN A POSITION
- 13 WHERE THAT THEY CAN BE RECEPTIVE AND REACTIVE TO WHAT IS THE
- 14 REALITY OF 2006, AND THE REALITY IS 2006 IS NOT JUST OBESITY,
- 15 IT'S NOT JUST SOME OF THOSE ISSUES THAT ARE SO IMPORTANT FOR
- 16 PUBLIC HEALTH, IT'S ALSO HOW YOU MOVE OVER TO WHAT HAPPENS TO
- 17 THAT PERSON WHEN THEY ARE THE VICTIM OF THOSE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 18 CRISES. I WOULD SAY FIVE MONTHS OR GO OVER TO THE BUDGET. I
- 19 WOULD BE MORE THAN WILLING TO SAY PUT IT OVER TO THE BUDGET
- 20 AND, AT THAT TIME, MR. JANSSEN WOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 21 ADDRESS SOME OF THOSE ISSUES IN A BUDGETARY CONTEXT BECAUSE IT
- 22 IS NOT READY TODAY.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. THEN THE MOTION, THEN, WOULD BE

25 MODIFIED TO ALSO INCLUDE THAT IT BE-- THAT DECISION OF



- 1 SEPARATION WOULD BE DETERMINED, DECIDED IN FIVE MONTHS DURING
- 2 BUDGET DELIBERATIONS. FIVE MONTHS? FOUR MONTHS?

3

4 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN IS THAT?

7

8 SUP. BURKE: JUNE 30TH, IF WE DO IT IN JUNE.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THEN THE
- 11 ISSUE WILL COME BEFORE US DURING BUDGET DELIBERATIONS, WHICH
- 12 IS APPROXIMATELY FOUR MONTHS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS ISN'T GOING TO GET DEALT WITH DURING
- 15 BUDGET DELIBERATIONS. YOU KNOW IT AND I KNOW IT.

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'LL REPORT BACK WITH INTERIM REPORTS BEFORE
- 18 THE END OF JUNE ON WHAT WE'RE DOING AND WHAT OPTIONS AND
- 19 ALTERNATIVES THERE ARE.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I MAKE AN ALTERNATIVE SUGGESTION FOR A
- 22 DATE? WHY DON'T WE DO IT ON THE TUESDAY, THE SECOND TUESDAY--
- 23 OUR WASHINGTON MEETINGS ARE AT THE END OF APRIL, CORRECT?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, THIS YEAR, THEY'RE THE END OF-- OH, YES,
- 2 END OF APRIL, RIGHT.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T WE PUT THIS OVER UNTIL THE SECOND
- 5 WEEK IN MAY SO WE HAVE THE FIRST MEETING WHEN WE COME BACK
- 6 WOULD NOT HAVE IT. WE CAN TAKE CARE OF THE OTHER BUSINESS. SO
- 7 WHATEVER THAT DATE IS. YOU KNOW WHAT THE-- SO WE'LL BE BACK IN
- 8 SESSION...

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: WHAT ABOUT JUNE? PUT IT OVER IN JUNE, THE FIRST
- 11 WEEK IN JUNE. LET'S DO IT RIGHT. YOU KNOW? I KNOW EVERYONE HAS
- 12 MADE-- YOU KNOW, THEY HAVE GREAT ASPIRATIONS AND LOOKING AT
- 13 THIS BUT LET'S DO IT RIGHT. WE'VE GOT SOME REAL PROBLEMS HERE,
- 14 WHETHER YOU WANT TO FACE THEM OR NOT, AND I THINK WE NEED TO
- 15 DO THEM CORRECTLY. WE NEED TO ASK THE C.A.O. TO HAVE TIME TO
- 16 DO IT CORRECTLY. WE HAVE TO ASK THESE DEPARTMENTS TO DO IT IN
- 17 A CORRECT WAY AND THE BUDGET PROPOSALS ARE NOT GOING TO BE PUT
- 18 TOGETHER BY THAT TIME.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: BY THE MIDDLE OF MAY, THEY WOULD. I MEAN, YOU
- 21 KNOW, IT WOULD GIVE YOU THE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS IT AND THEN
- 22 BE PART OF THE BUDGET. THE BUDGET COMES TO US IN JUNE.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW ABOUT MAY 16TH?



- 1 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I'M JUST SAYING, I MEAN, THAT MAY MEETING,
- 2 THE BUDGET PROPOSALS WILL BE DONE BY THEN, RIGHT? IS THAT
- 3 CORRECT, DAVID?

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: YEAH.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WITH THE CAVEAT THAT THE ISSUE
- 10 WOULD COME BACK ON MAY 16TH.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: BUT TO DO WHAT? TO, WHAT, JUST MOVE IT FORWARD AS
- 13 IS? WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE INTERIM? I WANT TO
- 14 UNDERSTAND.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MAY 16TH WOULD BE THE TIME THAT THE
- 17 REPORT WOULD COME BACK FROM...

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT REPORT? YOUR REPORT, DAVID? AND YOUR REPORT
- 20 IS GOING TO BE ON WHAT, NOW?

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MY REPORT, AS I UNDERSTAND THE DIRECTION NOW,
- 23 IS YOU ARE DEFERRING THE DECISION ON SEPARATION UNTIL MAY THE
- 24 16TH OR BUDGET, WHICHEVER, AND ASKING US TO COME BACK WITH
- 25 ALTERNATIVES, SUGGESTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DEALING WITH



- 1 SECTIONS TWO AND THREE, I WOULD SAY, OF SUPERVISOR KNABE'S
- 2 MOTION: IS THERE A WAY TO MAKE PUBLIC HEALTH MORE INDEPENDENT
- 3 WITHIN THE EXISTING STRUCTURE AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO SEPARATION?
- 4 I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT. I'LL BE HONEST. THERE MAY BE.
- 5 THERE MAY NOT BE. BUT THAT'S WHAT I UNDERSTAND THE DIRECTION
- 6 IS.

7

8

9

11

14

17

20

22

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, IT WOULD TAKE SOME CHANGES.

SUP. BURKE: IT'S GOING TO TAKE SOME CHANGES.

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING, IS
- 13 THERE ANY OPPOSITION? IF NOT, SO ORDERED.
- 15 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: MAY I HAVE THE MAKER OF THE MOTION AND A
- 16 SECOND, PLEASE?
- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES. MOTION BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
- 19 YAROSLAVSKY, AS AMENDED.
- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CAN WE DO IT THE THIRD WEEK OF MAY?
- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MAKE IT THE THIRD WEEK OF MAY WITHOUT
- 24 OBJECTION.



- 1 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: THIRD WEEK OF MAY IS ACTUALLY MAY 16TH.
- 2 YOU WANT THE 23RD? THAT WOULD BE THE FOURTH TUESDAY...

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY, THEN THAT-- THE MOTION WOULD
- 5 THEN READ THAT THE 23RD OF APRIL.

6

7 **SUP. BURKE:** OF MAY.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MAY, EXCUSE ME, OF MAY. LET ME JUST,
- 10 TO BE INTRODUCED, AND WE UNDERSTAND BRENDA WILSON AND HER
- 11 ASSOCIATES THAT SHE HAS BROUGHT DOWN, THAT INFORMATION IS
- 12 BEING DONE, WORKED RIGHT NOW WITH SUPERVISOR BURKE'S OFFICE TO
- 13 RESOLVE THE ISSUE THAT BROUGHT YOU DOWN HERE. WE THANK YOU FOR
- 14 COMING DOWN AND WE APPRECIATE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO LISTEN TO
- 15 PART OF THE BOARD MEETING ON SOME OF THE VARIOUS ISSUES
- 16 AFFECTING OUR COUNTY AND THANK YOU, BRENDA WILSON. THANK YOU
- 17 VERY MUCH. SAM JONES IS HERE. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SAM JONES WILL MAKE A REPORT. WHILE
- 20 HE'S COMING UP, ALSO MOVE IN MEMORY OF TAMMY ESTRADA AND FRANZ
- 21 JOSEPH DIETRICH OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY WHO HAVE PASSED AWAY.
- 22 SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. I
- 23 UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WERE ALTERCATIONS LAST NIGHT AND TODAY.
- 24 COULD YOU GIVE US AN UPDATE? WHAT HAPPENED, WHAT'S THE ISSUES
- 25 AT HAND?



1

- 2 SAM L. JONES: YES, SIR. SAM JONES, CHIEF CUSTODY DIVISION.
- 3 LAST NIGHT ABOUT 10:00, THERE WAS A NEW ARRIVAL OF INMATES TO
- 4 THE PITCHESS DETENTION CENTER, NORTH COUNTY CORRECTIONAL
- 5 FACILITY.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HOLD ON ONE SECOND, SAM. OKAY. MR.
- 8 JONES.

9

- 10 SAM L. JONES: ABOUT 10:00, NEW ARRIVALS, WHAT WE USUALLY CALL
- 11 A FISH LINE, ARRIVED AT N.C.C.F. THEY WERE IN WHAT WE CALL A
- 12 DAY ROOM PRIOR TO GETTING THEIR BUNK ASSIGNMENTS. ONE INMATE
- 13 APPROACHED ANOTHER, ASKING WHERE HE WAS FROM.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THESE ARE NEW ARRIVALS?

16

- 17 SAM L. JONES: THESE ARE NEW ARRIVALS. ASKING WHERE HE WAS
- 18 FROM. HE TOLD HIM WHERE HE WAS FROM. AND THE INMATE, AFTER
- 19 HEARING WHERE THE OTHER INMATE WAS FROM, HIT HIM IN THE FACE.
- 20 THAT INMATE SUSTAINED A BROKEN NOSE, WAS TAKEN TO LCMC AND
- 21 THAT WAS THE ONLY INJURY SUSTAINED DURING THAT...

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHICH GANG WERE THEY FROM?



- 1 SAM L. JONES: THE INMATE HITTING THE OTHER INMATE WAS A
- 2 SOUTHSIDER. THE INMATE SUSTAINING THE INJURY WAS A PEASTONE.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THIS MORNING THERE WAS ANOTHER
- 5 ALTERCATION?

6

- 7 SAM L. JONES: I BELIEVE IT WAS ABOUT 10:00 THIS MORNING. THERE
- 8 WERE SOME INMATES, I BELIEVE THAT NUMBER IS ABOUT 40, 41, IN A
- 9 PILL CALL ROOM. THE DORM ADJACENT THAT PILL CALL ROOM WENT
- 10 OFF. I BELIEVE THAT NUMBER IS CLOSE TO 50, 53. DIVIDED ALONG
- 11 RACIAL LINES. BEGAN FIGHTING. DEPUTIES RESPONDED WITH AN
- 12 E.R.T.T., EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM, INTRODUCED ORDINANCE, WHICH
- 13 IS PEPPER BALLS AND STING BALL GRENADES. RESTORED ORDER IN
- 14 THAT DORM. AGAIN, THEY WERE DIVIDED ALONG RACIAL LINES. THE
- 15 ONLY INJURIES SUSTAINED, THAT WAS REPORTED, WAS AN INMATE WITH
- 16 A HEAD INJURY AND INJURY TO HIS RIBS AND HE HAS SINCE BEEN
- 17 RETURNED BACK TO THE FACILITY.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE THE-- YOU PUT PEOPLE OF DIFFERENT
- 20 GANGS IN THE SAME DORMITORY?

21

22 SAM L. JONES: YES, YES, WE DO.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IS THERE A POLICY OF SEPARATING GANG
- 2 MEMBERS FROM NONGANG MEMBERS AND RIVAL GANG MEMBERS? IT'S NOT
- 3 BEING IMPLEMENTED?

4

- 5 SAM L. JONES: NOT CURRENTLY. WHAT WE TRY TO DO OR WHAT WE'RE
- 6 TRYING TO DO, WE TRY TO IDENTIFY ALL OF THE SOUTHSIDERS OR AS
- 7 MANY AS WE CAN. USUALLY PRIOR TO COMING UP THERE, DURING THE
- 8 BOOKING PROCESS AT I.R.C., THAT'S NOT DONE. WHEN THEY ARRIVE
- 9 UP THERE, WE HAVE A TEAM OF INDIVIDUALS WHO TALK TO THEM AND
- 10 TRY TO IDENTIFY THEM AND WE'VE IDENTIFIED A NUMBER OF
- 11 SOUTHSIDERS AND WE DO HAVE THEM IN A SEPARATE HOUSING AREA.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THE CLASSIFICATION, WERE THEY ALL
- 14 OF THE SAME CLASSIFICATION OR WERE THEY DIFFERENT
- 15 CLASSIFICATIONS OF THESE INMATES?

- 17 COMMANDER DON RODRIGUEZ: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
- 18 COMMANDER DON RODRIGUEZ FROM PITCHESS DETENTION CENTER. ON THE
- 19 DISTURBANCE LAST NIGHT, THEY WERE DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATIONS.
- 20 THERE WERE NO 8'S OR 9'S, THEY WERE LEVEL 5 THROUGH 7'S. THIS
- 21 MORNING'S INCIDENT, THERE WERE SOME LEVEL 8'S INVOLVED. THAT
- 22 WAS PARTIALLY BECAUSE WE HAD PULLED INMATES OUT OF FOUR DORMS
- 23 FOR PILL CALL AND PLACED THEM IN ONE CENTRAL LOCATION AND WE
- 24 DO CURRENTLY HAVE LEVEL 8'S HOUSED WITH LEVEL 5, 6 AND 7'S AT
- 25 N.C.C.F.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHAT DOES IT TAKE TO HAVE INMATES
- 3 SEPARATED FROM GANG AND RIVAL GANG AND NON-GANG MEMBERS?

4

5 SAM L. JONES: ADDITIONAL SPACE, ADDITIONAL HOUSING SPACE.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND DIFFERENT POLICY?

8

9 SAM L. JONES: EXACTLY.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND HOW CAN-- YOU KNOW, AS ONE
- 12 SUPERVISOR, I BELIEVE THAT POLICY OUGHT TO BE THE POLICY
- 13 BECAUSE OF THE-- HERE YOU HAVE A BROKEN NOSE. WE'VE HAD, YOU
- 14 KNOW, A COUPLE FATALITIES OR MORE AND THAT INJURIES, POTENTIAL
- 15 INJURIES TO SHERIFF PERSONNEL AND CIVILIANS ALONG WITH FELLOW
- 16 INMATES. THAT'S WHERE I COME FROM ON THAT ISSUE BUT ANY
- 17 COMMENTS FROM ANY OF THE MEMBERS? WE APPRECIATE YOU BRIEFING
- 18 US ON THAT.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK, IN THE PERFECT WORLD, NO ONE WOULD
- 21 FIGHT BETWEEN GANGS. I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU DO IT. I MEAN, HOW
- 22 MANY GANGS DO WE HAVE IN LOS ANGELES?

- 24 SAM L. JONES: HUNDREDS. I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT NUMBER. WE HAVE
- 25 HUNDREDS OF THEM.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT SOME OF THEM HAVE ALLIANCES WITH
- 3 EACH OTHER, SO...

4

5 SUP. BURKE: BUT STILL HAVE FIGHTS BETWEEN THEM.

6

- 7 SAM L. JONES: YES, THEY DO. I BELIEVE BACK, PROBABLY IN THE
- 8 '80S, WE WERE SEGREGATING CRIPS FROM BLOODS AND THAT WERE
- 9 WARRING GANGS BUT, AT THE CURRENT DAY AND AGE, I THINK, JUST
- 10 OUT OF SURVIVAL, THEY'VE FORMED AN ALLIANCE JUST BECAUSE OF
- 11 THE NUMBERS SITUATION. BUT, JUST FOR YOUR INFORMATION, THE
- 12 REMOVAL OF A CERTAIN ELEMENT, A CERTAIN PARTICULAR GANG HAS
- 13 MORE SO BALANCED THE DEMOGRAPHICS UP AT THE PITCHESS DETENTION
- 14 CENTER CLOSE TO BEING 50/50. I BELIEVE LAST NIGHT'S FIGHT,
- 15 THERE WAS-- I THINK THOSE DEMOGRAPHICS WERE 50/50. CORRECT ME
- 16 IF I AM WRONG, COMMANDER.

17

- 18 COMMANDER DON RODRIGUEZ: THERE WAS ACTUALLY 25 BLACKS AND 22
- 19 HISPANICS, I BELIEVE, SO IT WAS ALMOST 50/50.

- 21 SAM L. JONES: BUT THE ELEMENT, THE ELEMENT THAT I WAS
- 22 REFERRING TO THAT WE HAVE KIND OF ISOLATED UNTIL WE CREATE
- 23 SOME ADDITIONAL SPACE OF HARD CELLS DOWN AT THE TWIN TOWERS,
- 24 WHERE WE'RE CURRENTLY HOUSING THEM AT N.C.C.F., WITH
- 25 ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL FOR SAFETY PURPOSES.



2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? THANK YOU.

3

1

4 SAM L. JONES: THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ITEM NUMBER 23-C, WE HAD A PERSON THAT
- 7 SIGNED UP FOR THAT. JANELLE WILLIAMS AND STEVE LECHEMINA, V-E-
- 8 C-H-E-M-N-A. JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD BEFORE YOU
- 9 SPEAK.

- 11 JANELLE WILLIAMS: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISORS AND STAFF.
- 12 MY NAME IS JANELLE WILLIAMS, WILLIAMS LAND USE SERVICES. I
- 13 REPRESENT THE LECHEMINA FAMILY RESIDING AT 2225 MIRA VISTA
- 14 AVENUE IN MONTROSE. WE'VE BEEN WORKING TOGETHER FOR THE LAST
- 15 SIX TO SEVEN MONTHS WITH AN ARCHITECTURAL FIRM TO DEVELOP A
- 16 SENSITIVE MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. WE
- 17 SUBMITTED OUR PROJECT FOR SITE PLAN REVIEW AND APPROVAL ON
- 18 2/22. WE HIRED A SOILS ENGINEER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER AND A
- 19 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT TO FINALIZE OUR CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. THE
- 20 URGENCY ORDINANCE BEFORE YOU WILL CAUSE MUCH ADDED EXPENSE AND
- 21 THE BURDEN OF AT LEAST AN ADDITIONAL SIX TO NINE MONTH DELAY
- 22 ON OUR PROJECT. SINCE OUR PROJECT IS IN THE PIPELINE, WE FEEL
- 23 IT WOULD BE FAIR TO EXEMPT IT FROM ANY ADDED REQUIREMENTS. ALL
- 24 OF THE PEOPLE ON OUR PROJECT TEAM HAVE BEEN DOING OUR DUE
- 25 DILIGENCE, WORKING ABOVEBOARD AND IN GOOD FAITH FOR A WELL

13

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 DESIGNED, ATTRACTIVE PROJECT. MONTROSE IS A VITAL COMMUNITY.
- 2 NEW DEVELOPMENT OCCURS IN SUCCESSFUL AND VITAL NEIGHBORHOODS.
- 3 OTHERWISE, THE AREA MAY BECOME STAGNANT AND BLIGHTED. THE NEED
- 4 FOR NEW HOUSING WE ALL KNOW IS GREAT. THE CURRENT STANDARDS
- 5 FOR PARKING, INCLUDING GUEST PARKING ON THE SITE, NOT IN THE
- 6 STREET, AND IMPROVED TRAFFIC CIRCULATION ACTUALLY WILL IMPROVE
- 7 THE NEIGHBORHOOD. NONE OF THE OLDER PROPERTIES OFFER ANY OFF-
- 8 STREET GUEST PARKING LIKE OUR PROJECT DOES. OUR LOT IS WITHIN
- 9 EASY WALKING DISTANCE TO HONOLULU AVENUE, THE SHOPPING, OCEAN
- 10 VIEW BOULEVARD AND FOOTHILL TO THE NORTH. WE ASK YOU TO EXPAND
- 11 THE PROPOSED EXEMPTION TO INCLUDE PROPERTIES SUCH AS OURS
- 12 WHICH ARE IN THE SITE PLAN REVIEW STAGE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

14 STEVE LECHEMINA: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS STEVE LECHEMINA. I'VE

- 15 BEEN A RESIDENT IN MONTROSE FOR 31 YEARS. WHEN MY WIFE AND I
- 16 BOUGHT OUR R-3 PROPERTY, WE KNEW EXACTLY WHAT WE WERE BUYING
- 17 AND WE KNEW THE FUTURE PURPOSE OF OUR PROPERTY. WE KNEW OUR
- 18 NEIGHBORHOOD CONSISTED OF APARTMENTS AND, OVER THE YEARS,
- 19 WE'VE SEEN A POSITIVE CHANGE IN MONTROSE. THE MONTROSE
- 20 SHOPPING AREA IS ALIVE AT NIGHT AND, ACTUALLY, IT'S VERY FUN
- 21 TO VISIT. IT'S ONLY A WALK AWAY. WE HAVE MET MANY WONDERFUL
- 22 PEOPLE IN OUR RENTAL NEIGHBORHOOD, FROM MOVIE STARS, YES,
- 23 MOVIE STARS, TO A FAMOUS ARTIST WHO LIVED NEXT DOOR AND GOOD,
- 24 SOLID, HARD WORKING PEOPLE PEOPLE. AS WAS MENTIONED, WE
- 25 SUBMITTED PLANS ON FEBRUARY 2ND FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR



- 1 PROPERTY AND I'M SHOCKED THAT A C.U.P. WOULD BE REQUIRED. FOR
- 2 YOUR INFORMATION, JUST TO THE EAST OF US IS A LARGE APARTMENT
- 3 BUILDING BUILT ALMOST 50 YEARS AGO AND, TO THE NORTHWEST OF US
- 4 ON MONTROSE AVENUE, THERE WILL BE A NEW APARTMENT COMPLEX WITH
- 5 GROUND BREAKING IN WEEKS. ACROSS THE STREET FROM US TO THE
- 6 SOUTH ARE ALL APARTMENT BUILDINGS, BUILT AT LEAST 40, 50 YEARS
- 7 AGO. FOR THE LAST 27 AND A HALF YEARS, I HAVE WORKED AS A
- 8 CALIFORNIA REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALIST AND I
- 9 SUPERVISE A MULTI-DWELLING HEALTH INSPECTION TEAM IN LONG
- 10 BEACH. AND, AS AN EXPERT IN MULTI-DWELLING HOUSING FROM THE
- 11 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSPECTIVE, I SEE NOTHING IN MY
- 12 IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT WOULD WARRANT A TEMPORARY C.U.P.
- 13 ORDINANCE BEING ENACTED. AS A LONG TIME MONTROSE RESIDENT, I'M
- 14 OPPOSED TO THIS TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AND I WANT TO THANK YOU
- 15 FOR GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO VOICE MY OPINION. THANK YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LET ME ASK THE
- 18 DEPARTMENT: HOW MANY PENDING APPLICATIONS ARE THERE FOR MULTI-
- 19 FAMILY DEVELOPMENTS ON THE R-3 ZONE PROPERTIES IN MONTROSE?

20

21 SPEAKER: THERE ARE FOUR APPLICATIONS, MR. MAYOR.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: FOUR?

24

25 **SPEAKER:** YES.



2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND DO YOU...

3

1

4 SPEAKER: THE ONE-- THE GENTLEMAN'S WILL MAKE IT FIVE.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S FIVE. DO YOU KNOW IF THESE
- 7 PROJECTS PRESENT THE SAME ISSUES ADDRESSED IN THE MOTION?

8

- 9 SPEAKER: NO, NO, WE DON'T KNOW, MR. MAYOR. WE KNOW THEIR
- 10 STATUS IN THE PROCESSING PIPE BUT WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY THEIR
- 11 RELATIVE POSITION IN THE COMMUNITY AND THAT'S ONE THING THAT
- 12 WE NEED TO DETERMINE.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: COULD WE HAVE STAFF REVIEW THOSE
- 15 PROJECTS OVER THE NEXT FEW DAYS AND THEN REPORT BACK WITH THE
- 16 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEXT WEEK'S BOARD MEETING?

17

18 **SPEAKER:** CERTAINLY.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO DO THAT. THEN I'LL MOVE THAT WE
- 21 CONTINUE THIS ITEM FOR ONE WEEK TO SEE ABOUT THE ISSUES THAT
- 22 HAVE BEEN RAISED TODAY AND THEN REPORT BACK FOR THAT HEARING
- 23 NEXT WEEK. SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 24 ITEM NUMBER 25-- EXCUSE ME, 23-D. AND WE HAVE DR. GARY
- 25 MANGIOFICO.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GO AHEAD.

3

- 4 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: ALL RIGHT. MY NAME IS DR. GARY MANGIOFICO
- 5 AND I'M THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF LOS ANGELES UNIVERSAL
- 6 PRESCHOOL. LET ME SAY THAT, WITH REGARD TO 23-D, WE'RE VERY
- 7 PLEASED WITH THE INTEREST AND THE WORK WE'RE DOING AT L.A. UP.
- 8 WHEN IT CAME TO OUR ATTENTION THAT THIS ITEM WAS ON YOUR
- 9 AGENDA, WE THOUGHT THAT IT WAS APPROPRIATE THAT WE MAKE
- 10 OURSELVES AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS OR
- 11 CONCERNS THAT YOU MAY HAVE ABOUT OUR FUNDING METHODOLOGY
- 12 BEFORE TAKING A DECISION ON THIS MOTION. RATHER THAN A
- 13 PREPARED STATEMENT, HOWEVER, I WANTED TO BE-- SAY THAT I'M
- 14 HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD MIGHT HAVE WITH
- 15 REGARDS TO THIS METHODOLOGY AND REALLY OPEN IT TO THE BOARD TO
- 16 ASK THOSE QUESTIONS THAT THEY HAVE.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES. WE SHOULD TAKE A TWO-MINUTE
- 19 RECESS. WE HAVE A PARAMEDIC. WE HAVE A PERSON WHO IS NOT
- 20 RESPONSIVE RIGHT NOW.

21

22 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: PARDON? [ AUDIO OFF THEN ON ]

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DOCTOR, THIS IS THE REASON I PLACED
- 25 THE ISSUE ON THE AGENDA TODAY. WHEN THE VOTERS HAD PASSED



- 1 PROPOSITION 10 IN 1998, THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE PROVIDING EARLY
- 2 CHILDHOOD EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS UNIVERSALLY AND NOT
- 3 JUST IN A HANDFUL OF ZIP CODES IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
- 4 AND THERE ARE LESS THAN 9%, 10% OF THE ZIP CODES THROUGHOUT
- 5 THE ENTIRE COUNTY THAT ARE ONLY ELIGIBLE FOR THIS-- THESE
- 6 FUNDS. AND, WHEN YOU LOOKED AT THE AREAS THAT ARE BEING
- 7 EXCLUDED, HAWAIIAN GARDENS IS NOT IN THE AUTHORIZED ZIP CODE
- 8 AREA. THE ANTELOPE ACRES, LITTLE ROCK, PACOIMA, ARLETA ARE NOT
- 9 IN THE ZIP CODE. PEARBLOSSOM IS NOT IN THE ZIP CODE. SO FROM--
- 10 AND I REPRESENTED PACOIMA, ARLETA FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS, I
- 11 KNOW THE NEEDS. THEY HAVE PERSONS AGED 0 TO 4 IS 10,363. THE
- 12 POVERTY LEVEL IS AT 30.3%, PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD IS AT 4.30%.
- 13 PANORAMA CITY, THE PERCENTAGE BELOW POVERTY IS 20.4%. PERSONS
- 14 PER HOUSEHOLD, 3.18%. BUT THE CURRENT CODES THAT YOU PERMIT
- 15 THOSE PRESCHOOLS TO APPLY OR BE APPLICABLE FOR DOES NOT
- 16 INCLUDE THESE AREAS AND IT SEEMS THAT WE NEED TO HAVE THE
- 17 OPPORTUNITY FOR THESE OTHER AREAS. ALTADENA IS NOT INCLUDED,
- 18 THEY'RE NOT ELIGIBLE AND WE HAVE POCKETS OF POVERTY IN THAT
- 19 COMMUNITY AS WELL THAT-- PARENTS WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT THE
- 20 PROPOSITION THEY VOTED FOR WAS GOING TO BENEFIT THEIR CHILDREN
- 21 AND NOT BE EXCLUDED BY AN ARBITRARY NUMBER OF CODES. I BELIEVE
- 22 YOU HAVE-- THERE ARE ONLY 34 ELIGIBLE ZIP CODES IN THE COUNTY
- 23 THAT ARE ELIGIBLE FOR THESE FUNDS AND YET OUR COUNTY HAS
- 24 HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS OF ZIP CODES. SO THAT'S THE
- 25 QUESTION, IS HOW DO WE RESOLVE THAT?



- 2 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: WELL, I APPRECIATE YOUR CONCERN, MAYOR.
- 3 LET ME SAY THAT PROBABLY FIRST AND FOREMOST FOR US IS THE GOAL
- 4 OF HOW TO LAUNCH THIS INITIATIVE, IF YOU WILL, AND TO PUT IT
- 5 INTO PRACTICE. WHILE THE 34 ZIP CODES ONLY REPRESENT LESS THAN
- 6 9% OF THE TOTAL COUNTY, THEY DO REPRESENT OVER A THIRD OF THE
- 7 FOUR-YEAR-OLDS WHO WOULD BE SERVICED THROUGH THE ROLLOUT OF
- 8 L.A. UP AND WE HAD TO PICK A STARTING POINT SOMEWHERE. THERE
- 9 ARE IN EXCESS OF PROBABLY OVER A HUNDRED THOUSAND FOUR-YEAR-
- 10 OLDS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY THAT, AT SOME POINT, WE WILL
- 11 HOPEFULLY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE SERVICES FOR. AND SO THE NEED IN
- 12 VARIOUS POCKETS AND IN VARIOUS AREAS AND JUST IN GENERAL IS
- 13 ACROSS THE ENTIRE COUNTY. SO WHERE DO WE START? IN THE INITIAL
- 14 ROUND OF LOTTERY FUNDING THAT WE DID OUT OF LOS ANGELES
- 15 UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL, WE WERE ABLE TO SELECT A HUNDRED
- 16 PROVIDERS ACROSS THE COUNTY REPRESENTING IN EACH OF THE
- 17 DIFFERENT SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS. THIS YIELDED ALONE MORE
- 18 THAN 3,700 OPPORTUNITIES FOR KIDS TO ATTEND PRESCHOOL. SOME OF
- 19 THOSE SPACES WERE ENHANCED FUNDING IN EXISTING PRESCHOOLS.
- 20 ABOUT A THIRD OF THE SPACES WERE ACTUALLY NEWLY CREATED
- 21 SPACES. AFTER EXAMINING THE DATA, HOWEVER, AND THE METHODOLOGY
- 22 OF DOING THE FUNDING BY LOTTERY, WHAT BECAME APPARENT IS THAT,
- 23 OVER TIME, USING A COUNTYWIDE LOTTERY SYSTEM WOULD RESULT IN
- 24 THOSE AREAS THAT ARE ALREADY HAVE THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE
- 25 TO BE ABLE TO BID THE BEST OR MORE MONEY FOR THEIR PARTICULAR



- 1 AREA. WHEN WE TAKE A LOOK AT THE BREADTH OF THE COUNTY, WHAT
- 2 WE SEE IS THAT THESE AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS THE
- 3 AREAS OF GREATEST NEED, WHICH WE HAVE TIERED INTO TIER ONE,
- 4 TIER TWO, THREE AND FOUR, REPRESENT THE LARGEST AMOUNT OF RAW
- 5 NEED IN TERMS OF FOUR-YEAR-OLDS WHO ARE CURRENTLY UNDERSERVED
- 6 OR NOT BEING SERVED AT ALL IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. SO, IN
- 7 TRYING TO CREATE A STARTING POINT, WE LOOKED AT THE ISSUE OF
- 8 UNIVERSALITY BUT ALSO, WITHIN UNIVERSALITY, HOW DO WE
- 9 UNIVERSALLY GET EVERYONE TO AN EQUITABLE SERVICE RATE OF
- 10 PRESCHOOL PROVISION. AND SO IT WAS THE POLICY DECISION OF THE
- 11 BOARD TO START WITH THOSE AREAS OF GREATEST NEED, WHICH WE
- 12 DIFFERENTIATED AS BEING AREAS THAT ARE-- HAVE OVER TIER ONE OR
- 13 AREAS THAT HAVE OVER A THOUSAND CHILDREN, A THOUSAND FOR-YEAR-
- 14 OLDS WHO ARE NOT IN PRESCHOOL AND A SERVICE RATE BELOW 50%.
- 15 TIER TWO IS WHERE THE RAW NEED IS BETWEEN 500 AND A THOUSAND
- 16 CHILDREN UNMET NEED AND THE SERVICE RATE IS BELOW 50%. TIER 3
- 17 IS ALSO 500 TO A THOUSAND BUT WHERE THE SERVICE RATE EXCEEDS
- 18 50% AND THEN THE BALANCE OF THE COUNTY. SO WHILE 34 ZIP CODES
- 19 DO REPRESENT, IN NUMBER, A SMALLER PORTION OF THE OVERALL
- 20 COUNTY, WE BELIEVE IT REFLECTS AN EFFORT TO TRY TO RAISE
- 21 UNIVERSALITY BY RAISING EQUITY IN A DISTRIBUTED FASHION. ONCE
- 22 WE HIT THAT PERCENTAGE POINT, WE BELIEVE THEN THAT THE COUNTY
- 23 WILL, ON A BROADER BASIS, AS WARRANTED, THE AREAS THAT YOU
- 24 SPEAK ABOUT, THE AREAS OF GREATEST NEED, HAVE AN OVERALL
- 25 SERVICE RATE THAT'S 17% LOWER, THOSE 34 ZIP CODES HAVE A

7

9

11

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SERVICE RATE THAT'S 17% LOWER THAN THE WHOLE REST OF THE
- 2 COUNTY COMBINED AND THAT WAS THE FACTOR THAT CAME OUT AND
- 3 SHOWED MOST PROMINENTLY TO US THAT, OF THE VARIETY OF
- 4 METHODOLOGIES THAT COULD BE USED TO LAUNCH AND TO HAVE A
- 5 STARTING POINT FOR THE ROLLOUT OF L.A. UP, THIS ONE SEEMED TO
- 6 MAKE THE MOST SENSE TO US AND OUR BOARD.
- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK A QUESTION?
- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.
- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FIRST OF ALL, THE COMPARISON TO THE REST OF
- 13 THE COUNTY COMBINED, IS NOT, AS FAR AS I CAN TELL, IS NOT
- 14 RELEVANT. IT'S REALLY COMPARISON TO OTHER POCKETS OF
- 15 ECONOMICALLY TIERED POPULATIONS THAT ARE THE MOST VULNERABLE
- 16 AND I THINK THE ARGUMENT, I'VE HAD THIS ISSUE WITH THE FIRST
- 17 FIVE COMMISSION WHEN I SERVED AS CHAIR, IT'S THE SAME OLD
- 18 STORY. THE OUTLYING AREAS WHERE THERE IS POVERTY, DEEP
- 19 POVERTY, INCLUDING NOT JUST PANORAMA CITY, AND PACOIMA BUT
- 20 ALSO LANCASTER AND THE ANTELOPE VALLEY WHERE THE MORTALITY
- 21 RATE AMONG AFRICAN-AMERICAN BABIES IS FOUR TIMES WHAT IT IS IN
- 22 SOUTH L.A. SO IT'S-- BUT, YOU KNOW, TO TALK TO SOME OF THE
- 23 PEOPLE IN YOUR BUSINESS, YOU WOULDN'T KNOW IT. NOW MY QUESTION
- 24 IS, HOW MUCH OF THE MONEY THAT HAS BEEN ALLOCATED TO YOU BY
- 25 FIRST FIVE, WAS IT A HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR?



2 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: MM HM.

3

1

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR FIVE YEARS OR SIX YEARS?

5

6 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: FIVE YEARS.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH OF THE 100 MILLION ARE YOU GOING TO
- 9 SPEND ON THESE 34 ZIP CODES IN THE FIRST YEAR? WELL, LET ME
- 10 PUT IT THAT WAY, BEFORE YOU GET TO THE NEXT SET OF ZIP CODES?

11

- 12 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: WELL, ACTUALLY, WE JUST DID OUR SECONDS
- 13 ROUND...

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUST DO ME A FAVOR AND JUST ANSWER THE
- 16 QUESTION DIRECTLY. HOW MUCH OF THE HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS
- 17 WILL YOU HAVE SPENT BEFORE YOU GET TO THE NEXT SET OF ZIP
- 18 CODES IN A GIVEN YEAR?

19

- 20 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: IN TERMS OF CAPACITY, APPROXIMATELY 37
- 21 MILLION.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU'RE GOING TO SPEND 37 MILLION, WHICH
- 24 MEANS 63 MILLION IS NOT GOING TO BE SPENT?



- 1 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: NO. IT'S ALREADY BEING SPENT ON THE OVER
- 2 5,000 CHILDREN WHO ARE ALREADY IN EXISTING SERVICES THAT WE'VE
- 3 CREATED OVER THE LAST 18 MONTHS SO WE HAVE AN ADDITIONAL 37
- 4 MILLION THAT'S BEING COMMITTED...

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO ONCE YOU GET TO THESE TIERS, AND I DIDN'T
- 7 UNDERSTAND IT BUT TAKE IT AT FACE VALUE, ONCE YOU GET TO ALL
- 8 THESE TIERS AND YOU'RE PREPARED TO THEN GO TO THE SUBSEQUENT
- 9 ZIP CODES, WHAT MONEY ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE TO DO THAT?

10

- 11 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: WELL, WE'LL HAVE THE NEXT YEAR'S ROUND OF
- 12 FUNDING. THIS IS JUST OUT OF THE FIRST 18 MONTHS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU'RE GOING TO SHUT DOWN SERVICES YOU'RE
- 15 PROVIDING IN THE FIRST 18 MONTHS?

16

17 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: NO, WE WILL EXPAND OUT...

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IF YOU'RE SPENDING A HUNDRED PERCENT--
- 20 YOU'RE SPENDING A HUNDRED PERCENT OF YOUR MONEY, IS THAT
- 21 CORRECT?

- 23 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: NO. A PORTION OF THE FIRST YEAR'S BUDGET
- 24 IS FOR OPERATIONAL FUNDING. ANOTHER PORTION OF IT IS FOR
- 25 CAPITAL FUNDING. ONCE THE CAPITAL FUNDING HAS BEEN SPENT, IT



- 1 WON'T BE REPEATED AGAIN, SO THOSE SIMILAR DOLLARS IN THE
- 2 SUBSEQUENT YEARS' BUDGET CAN NOW BE ALLOCATED OVER TO
- 3 OPERATIONAL FUNDING FOR ADDITIONAL PRESCHOOLS.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU HAVE A BUDGET, A FIVE-YEAR TIMELINE THAT
- 6 SUGGESTS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE CONVERTING FROM CAPITAL TO
- 7 OPERATIONAL USE OF THE FUNDS YOU HAVE?

8

- 9 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: WELL, WE DON'T HAVE IT COMPLETELY WORKED
- 10 OUT BUT I WILL POINT OUT THAT THE MASTER PLAN GIVES US UNTIL
- 11 2014, NOT FIVE YEARS. WE'RE JUST CURRENTLY FUNDED FOR FIVE
- 12 YEARS. IT IS CERTAINLY OUR INTENT TO SECURE ADDITIONAL FUNDING
- 13 AND MORE FUNDING FURTHER OUT. THE CURRENT AMOUNT OF FUNDING
- 14 THROUGH FIRST FIVE IN OUR, I'M SORRY TO SAY, WOULD NOT RESOLVE
- 15 THE ENTIRE UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL PROBLEM...

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, WE KNOW THAT AND PLEASE, YOU KNOW,
- 18 DON'T GET CUTE HERE.

19

- 20 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: I'M NOT, I'M JUST TRYING TO FOLLOW YOUR
- 21 QUESTIONS.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS IS A LEGITIMATE ISSUE AND ALL I'M
- 24 TRYING TO FIND OUT IS HOW MUCH MONEY DO YOU HAVE-- I KNOW HOW
- 25 MUCH MONEY YOU HAVE. YOU'RE GOING TO SPEND IT ALL ON A VARIETY



- 1 OF THINGS. HOW CAN YOU SIT HERE AND PROMISE THAT YOU'RE GOING
- 2 TO GO TO OTHER ZIP CODES, IF YOU WILL, TO EXPAND THE SERVICE
- 3 WHEN YOU HAVE SPENT ALL OF THE MONEY? HAS YOUR BOARD APPROVED
- 4 A-- I'M NOT CLEAR ON-- YOU'RE SAYING THAT, FOR THE FIRST FIVE
- 5 YEARS, THE \$600 MILLION IS ALL GOING TO BE CONCENTRATED IN THE
- 6 34 ZIP CODES?

7

8 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: NO, SIR.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES?

11

12 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: NO.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I GUESS MAYBE THE QUESTION IS WHEN DO YOU
- 15 EXPECT THAT SOME OF THE AREAS OUTSIDE THE 34 ZIP CODES ARE
- 16 GOING TO SEE ANY OF THE MONEY? WHEN WILL BE THE FIRST-- WHEN--
- 17 WHAT'S THE PLAN FOR THE FIRST DOLLARS?

- 19 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: WELL, SOME ALREADY HAVE BUT WE WOULD
- 20 ANTICIPATE THAT, INTO THE NEXT YEAR, BASED ON OUR SECOND ROUND
- 21 OF FUNDING, WHICH I WAS JUST BEGINNING TO ADDRESS, THAT WE
- 22 WOULD HAVE SATURATED SOME AREAS AND HAVE RAISED THEM TO THAT
- 23 BAR OF EQUAL SERVICE RATE, WHICH WOULD ALLOW US TO MOVE
- 24 FURTHER. THE GOAL HERE IS NOT TO EXCLUDE ANY PARTICULAR AREA.
- 25 THE GOAL HERE IS TO HAVE SOME SORT OF SYSTEMIC FASHION BY



- 1 WHICH WE ROLL IT OUT. IF THERE ARE ADDITIONAL IDEAS HOW WE
- 2 COULD DO THAT IN A SYSTEMATIC FASHION, WE'RE MORE THAN WILLING
- 3 TO HEAR THOSE AND TO HAVE INPUT ON THOSE BUT WE'RE TRYING TO
- 4 FIND SOME UNIT OF MEASUREMENT THAT ALLOWS US TO HAVE A
- 5 SYSTEMATIC METHODOLOGY. WHAT WAS INTRODUCED THROUGH THE MASTER
- 6 PLANNING PROCESS WAS THE USE OF ZIP CODES AS THAT METHODOLOGY.
- 7 IF THERE'S OTHER, YOU KNOW, SLICES AND DICES OF THE COUNTY BY
- 8 CENSUS TRACT OR BY OTHER WAYS IN WHICH PEOPLE BELIEVE THAT WE
- 9 WOULD BETTER REPRESENT THE OVERALL NEED OF THE COUNTY, WE'RE
- 10 MORE THAN WILLING TO HEAR THAT AND TAKE THAT INTO PLANNING.

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU'RE ACTUALLY IN A STRONGER
- 13 POSITION THAN ANY OF THE OTHER OF US AS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE
- 14 FIRST FIVE COMMISSION AND, SINCE MOST OF THE FUNDING, IF NOT
- 15 ALL OF THE FUNDING THAT THE L.A. UP ORGANIZATION GETS COMES
- 16 FROM THE FIRST FIVE COMMISSION, THERE'S A CONTRACT, I KNOW
- 17 IT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU'D HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY-- I MEAN, FOR
- 18 US, THIS IS JUST A CONVERSATION RIGHT NOW. YOU'RE IN A
- 19 POSITION TO ACTUALLY, IN A STATUTORY WAY, RAISE THAT ISSUE.
- 20 BUT I THINK THE ISSUE, AND WE'RE NOT GOING TO RESOLVE IT HERE,
- 21 CERTAINLY NOT AT THIS LEVEL, BUT THIS IS AN ONGOING ISSUE THAT
- 22 HAS BEEN RAISED BY THOSE OF US WHO REPRESENT SOME OF THE AREAS
- 23 OUTSIDE THE CENTER OF THE COUNTY AND PART OF IT IS, YOU KNOW,
- 24 WHEN IT CAME TO THE FIRST FIVE SERVICES, IT WAS A MATTER OF A
- 25 HUMAN SERVICE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT DIDN'T EXIST IN SOME OF



- 1 THESE OUTLYING AREAS BECAUSE POVERTY HAD IMPACTED THOSE
- 2 COMMUNITIES MUCH MORE RECENTLY THAN THE MORE CENTRAL PART OF
- 3 LOS ANGELES SO A CERTAIN INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPED. NATURALLY,
- 4 A FIRST FIVE COMMISSION OR ANYBODY ELSE WOULD GO TO WHERE THE
- 5 INFRASTRUCTURE IS TO INVEST THEIR MONEY. IT'S EASIER TO DO
- 6 THAT AND YOU TRY TO GET THEIR MONEY OUT THE DOOR AS FAST AS
- 7 YOU CAN TO GET THE SERVICES PROVIDED. HOWEVER, THERE'S A
- 8 CHALLENGE BECAUSE POVERTY IS NOT JUST AND THE NEED IS NOT JUST
- 9 IN CENTRAL, SOUTH AND EAST LOS ANGELES. IT'S ALSO IN THE EAST
- 10 SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AND THE CENTRAL SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, IT'S
- 11 ALSO IN PARTS OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, IT'S ALSO PARTS OF THE
- 12 SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. IT'S ALL OVER THE COUNTY, NOT AS INTENSELY
- 13 AS IT IS IN THE CORE OF THE COUNTY BUT IT IS STILL-- THERE ARE
- 14 STILL SUFFICIENTLY DENSE POCKETS OF NEED ON ALL HUMAN SERVICE
- 15 FRONTS, MEDICAL, PRESCHOOL, THE WHOLE NINE YARDS AND SOME
- 16 EFFORT, AS DIFFICULT AS IT IS AND AS INCONVENIENT AS IT IS,
- 17 NEEDS TO BE MADE TO REACH OUT TO SOME OF THOSE OTHER AREAS.
- 18 THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE HEARING FROM MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION AND
- 19 FROM HIM AND THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE HEARING FROM ME AND I THINK AS
- 20 YOU COME-- WELL, I'M NOT GOING TO SAY ANY MORE. I THINK THE
- 21 PLACE TO DEAL WITH THIS, IF YOU REALLY WANT TO DEAL WITH IT,
- 22 IS AT YOUR FIRST FIVE COMMISSION.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT. THE REASON THIS CAME TO MY

25 ATTENTION IS I HAD INFORMATION AND HAD SOME MEETINGS WITH SOME



- 1 OF THE VARIOUS PROVIDERS WHO WERE NOT ALLOWED-- WHO WERE
- 2 DISQUALIFIED FROM POVERTY AREAS. I MEAN, FROM POVERTY AREAS.
- 3 AND YOU TALK, AGAIN, PACOIMA, ARLETA, ANTELOPE VALLEY, PARTS
- 4 OF ALTADENA, HAWAIIAN GARDENS. THERE'S A GREAT NEED. AND WHEN
- 5 THE PEOPLE VOTED FOR THIS BALLOT PROPOSITION, THEY WEREN'T
- 6 VOTING FOR 34 ZIP CODES. THEY WERE LOOKING AT THE COUNTY AS A
- 7 WHOLE, THE STATE AS A WHOLE AND THEN, TO FIND THAT THAT'S NOT
- 8 THE CASE, I DON'T BELIEVE IT WOULD HAVE PASSED HAD IT BEEN
- 9 TOLD TO THE VOTERS THAT 9% OF THE ZIP CODES WILL QUALIFY AND
- 10 THE OTHER 91% WE'LL SUBSIDIZE. AND THAT'S WHAT, YOU KNOW, WHAT
- 11 WE'RE DOING. THE STUDY THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HAD DONE
- 12 ON AFRICAN-AMERICAN FATALITIES IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, THE
- 13 CHILDREN THERE AND NOT HAVING THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THIS
- 14 EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY FOR THOSE FAMILIES IS UNCONSCIONABLE.
- 15 SO THAT'S WHERE THIS ISSUE CAME ABOUT. I DON'T UNDERSTAND, YOU
- 16 KNOW, I UNDERSTAND THERE WAS A LOT OF TIME AND EFFORT TO
- 17 FINALIZE YOUR 34 ZIP CODES BUT SOMEHOW THE PEOPLE HAVE
- 18 FORGOTTEN THE GREAT NEED AND THE 4,000-PLUS SQUARE MILES OF
- 19 THIS COUNTY WHO ARE PROVIDING THE RESOURCES FOR THESE 34 ZIP
- 20 CODES.

- 22 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: WELL, I APPRECIATE YOUR CONCERN, I DO
- 23 TRULY, BOTH YOURS AND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S. AGAIN, I WOULD
- 24 ITERATE THAT IT IS A PHASED-IN SYSTEM INTENDED TO GO IN OVER
- 25 NINE YEARS AND, IN LOOKING FOR A SYSTEMATIC METHODOLOGY IN



- 1 WHICH TO IMPLEMENT THAT, THE ZIP CODE, YOU KNOW, PARAMETERS
- 2 WERE WHAT WERE ESTABLISHED. AGAIN, I WOULD OFFER THAT WE
- 3 REMAIN OPEN TO OTHER INPUT OR OTHER SYSTEMATIC PARAMETERS THAT
- 4 PEOPLE WANT TO OFFER IN THE WAY OF FEEDBACK FOR US TO
- 5 CONSIDER.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT AT A RATE OF EVERY NINE YEARS
- 8 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE ANOTHER 34%?

9

10 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: PARDON ME?

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AT THE RATE YOU'RE GOING, EVERY NINE,
- 13 10 YEARS, YOU'RE GOING TO THEN INCREASE THE NUMBER OF ZIP
- 14 CODES BY 34?

15

- 16 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: NO. WE HOPE TO HAVE THE ENTIRE COUNTY
- 17 SERVICED IN THAT TIME. THE PLAN IS TO HAVE PRESCHOOL ACROSS
- 18 THE ENTIRE COUNTY BY 2014.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BY 2014. SO WHAT ABOUT BETWEEN 2006
- 21 AND 2014?

22

23 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: INCREMENTALLY IMPLEMENTING.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND HOW MANY MORE ZIP CODES ARE BEING
- 2 ADDED NEXT YEAR?

3

- 4 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: WE'RE CURRENTLY EVALUATING THE RESPONSE
- 5 TO OUR SECOND ROUND OF FUNDING, WHICH JUST ENDED FEBRUARY
- 6 12TH. WE HAD IN EXCESS OF 300 APPLICATIONS WHICH WE BELIEVE
- 7 CONSERVATIVELY REFLECT ADDING AN ADDITIONAL 10 TO 12,000 NEW
- 8 SPACES FOR FOUR YEARS OLDS IN THE AREAS OF GREATEST NEED BUT,
- 9 TO BE QUITE HONEST, IT'S JUST BEEN TOO SHORT A TIME PERIOD FOR
- 10 US TO GO BACK AND EVALUATE WHAT CHANGES NOW AS A RESULT OF
- 11 ADDING THOSE 10 TO 12,000 NEW SPACES.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS IS, I GUESS, A FRUSTRATION. THERE
- 14 IS A NEED, PEOPLE VOTED TO FILL THAT NEED AND THEN THERE'S AN
- 15 ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN TELLING US ABOUT WHY WE NEED TO FILL THE
- 16 NEED. THE MONEY THAT'S BEING USED FOR THE ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN
- 17 COULD BE USED TO FILL THE NEED AND EXPAND THOSE NUMBER OF
- 18 CHILDREN BEING SERVED.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN?

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT. YES?

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: THERE'S A CONFUSION HERE. THERE'S A LOT OF ISSUES
- 25 BEING CONFUSED HERE. OKAY. THE ISSUES THAT ARE BEING RAISED



- 1 ABOUT ARE ABOUT STATEWIDE FIRST FIVE, NOT L.A. UP. THE SECOND
- 2 SET OF ISSUES IS THAT FIRST FIVE L.A. HAS A CONTRACT WITH L.A.
- 3 UP. IT GAVE IT OVER HALF A BILLION? HALF A BILLION DOLLARS TO
- 4 BE ALLOCATED. THIS IS, AGAIN, TAXPAYER MONEY. LET'S UNDERSTAND
- 5 THEY HAVE A PROCESS. THERE HAS BEEN A PLAN IN PLACE NOW, THIS
- 6 MUST BE YEAR FOUR OF THE TASK FORCE GETTING TOGETHER AND
- 7 DETERMINING THIS. NOW, I HAVE BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT IT FOR A
- 8 LONG TIME BUT EVENTUALLY THESE ISSUES ARE GOING TO HAPPEN.
- 9 NOW, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ROUND
- 10 OF FUNDING, WHAT L.A. UP WHO, BY THE WAY, IS AN INDEPENDENT
- 11 BOARD, WE HAVE SOME APPOINTEES ON THERE, THIS WAS A UNANIMOUS
- 12 DECISION THAT THEY MADE AND MADE A DETERMINATION ON AND HOW TO
- 13 PROVE IT AND THIS IS ONLY BUT A PORTION OF THE MONEY. IT IS A
- 14 CONCERN AS TO-- CHILDCARE IS NEEDED EVERYWHERE AND POVERTY
- 15 POCKETS ARE ALL OVER THE COUNTY. YOU CAN'T ISOLATE THEM
- 16 EXACTLY BUT IT IS VERY CLEAR, THERE ARE CERTAIN SETTINGS THAT
- 17 HAVE MORE FOUR-YEAR-OLDS THAN OTHER SETTINGS AND THAT IS WHAT
- 18 THEIR OWN DATA SHOWED. THAT ALSO WAS VERY CLEAR THAT, IN
- 19 CERTAIN SETTINGS AND CERTAIN ENVIRONMENTS IN CERTAIN ZIP
- 20 CODES, THERE ISN'T AN INFRASTRUCTURE TO GET THIS MONEY. IT
- 21 JUST ISN'T THERE. I KNOW BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO
- 22 IMPLEMENT CHILDCARE IN MANY OF MY DISTRICTS. THERE ISN'T THE
- 23 INFRASTRUCTURE. THERE AREN'T-- THEY'RE NON-PROFITS, THERE
- 24 AREN'T-- EVEN THE MOM AND POPS, THERE'S SOME INFORMAL GROUPS
- 25 FROM HERE AND THERE BUT THE REALITY IS, NO MATTER HOW YOU DO



- 1 IT, THERE IS NOT GOING TO BE A MECHANISM IN PLACE. SO WHAT
- 2 THIS MONEY WILL DO EVENTUALLY, IF YOU DO NOT ADDRESS THE
- 3 INEQUITY, AT SOME LEVEL, IS THAT YOU WILL CREATE A LARGER
- 4 INEQUITY ALL THE WAY THROUGH. SO, AS I UNDERSTAND, THIS L.A.
- 5 UP BOARD HAS MADE THE DETERMINATION, BASED ON THEIR OWN
- 6 ANALYSIS AND THEIR FIRST ROUND OF FUNDING. THIS IS ONLY BUT A
- 7 SECOND ROUND, I THINK.

8

9 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: THIS IS ONLY OUR SECOND ROUND.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: THERE WILL BE ADDITIONAL ROUNDS. THAT, IN THIS
- 12 ROUND OF FUNDING, THEY WANTED TO TARGET THE AREAS THAT DO NOT
- 13 HAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND THEY FOUND IT THROUGH DOING AN
- 14 ANALYSIS, WHAT THEY CALLED THE HOT ZONES, WHICH WAS EVEN, I
- 15 THINK, ADDRESSED IN THE INITIAL REPORT.

16

17 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: YES, IT WAS.

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: THAT WAS THERE, RECOGNIZING AND UNDERSTANDING
- 20 THAT THERE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE A PROBLEM. NOW, IT SPEAKS
- 21 MIGHTILY SO IT HAS TO BE UNDERSTOOD WITHIN THIS CONTEXT OF
- 22 WHERE WE'RE AT. I'M SURE MR. MANGIOFICO AND ALL OF THE OTHER
- 23 BOARD MEMBERS CAN SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES. I DON'T WANT TO PUT
- 24 WORDS IN THEIR MOUTH. WE HAVE TO COME UP WITH THIS ISSUE
- 25 BECAUSE, IF YOU DON'T, THAT DOESN'T PRECLUDE THEM-- THIS IS



- 1 LIKE ANYTHING ELSE. WHEN YOU HAVE A SET ASIDE OF FUNDS THAT
- 2 ARE GOING TO BE DRIVEN TO APPROACH OR ADDRESS A PARTICULAR
- 3 PROBLEM, THEY ARE FOCUSED FOR THAT. THERE ARE R.F.P.S,
- 4 R.F.O.S, THERE'S KIND OF MECHANISMS TO SORT OUT THAT WE NEED
- 5 SOMEBODY WHO IS GOING TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES. MY STAFF AND I
- 6 HAVE BEEN ATTENDING SOME OF THE MEETINGS IN WHICH THEY ARE
- 7 TRYING TO BRING IN, I GUESS AT THEIR OUTREACH MEETINGS, THAT
- 8 ARE DONE NOT COMMUNITY, TO BRING IN PROVIDERS. THE PROVIDERS
- 9 ARE COMPLAINING TO ME-- COMPLAINING. THEY'RE ADDRESSING ISSUES
- 10 THAT THEY STILL WON'T BE ABLE TO APPLY FOR THE MONEY, FOR MANY
- 11 REASONS AND ONE OF THEM IS THAT THEY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO
- 12 DO THE UP-FRONT INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT THAT THEY NEED.
- 13 THEY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO HIRE COLLEGE EDUCATED TEACHERS.
- 14 THEY DON'T HAVE ANY OF THESE THINGS AND THEY HAVE TO HAVE IT
- 15 BEFORE THEY CAN GET FUNDED, IN A SENSE. AND SO THEY'RE ALREADY
- 16 HAVING COMPLICATIONS FROM EVEN THE ONES THAT ARE PHYSICALLY
- 17 THERE. SP THE ISSUE IS, IS THAT THIS WAS MONEY THAT IS
- 18 SUPPOSED TO GO TO CREATE A PROCESS, A MECHANISM TO PROVIDE
- 19 HOPEFULLY UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL FOR ALL CHILDREN, NOT JUST
- 20 CHILDREN OF MEANS. IN THE FIRST ROUND, THERE WERE MANY, MANY
- 21 FACILITIES THAT WERE FUNDED WHERE THERE ARE NO NEEDY CHILDREN.
- 22 NONE. NOT ONE. THEY WERE ALL PARENTS WERE PAYING FULL PRICE
- 23 FOR THE PRESCHOOL AND IT WAS FUNDED BECAUSE IT'S TO BE
- 24 UNIVERSAL AND SO THEY WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY COULD
- 25 CREATE ENHANCEMENTS IN THOSE PROGRAMS, BUT THERE WAS NOT ONE



- 1 NEEDY CHILD. NOW, WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT, IN OUR ENTIRE
- 2 SYSTEM, WE'RE GOING TO FACE THIS IN THE MENTAL HEALTH ISSUE AS
- 3 WELL WHEN WE START LOOKING AT OUR PROP 63 MONEY. WHEN YOU LOOK
- 4 AT JUST A PROCESS OF SAYING, "PLEASE WRITE US A PROPOSAL AND
- 5 TELL US HOW YOU'RE GOING TO UTILIZE THESE FUNDS, " THERE ARE
- 6 MANY NETWORKS OR NONEXISTENT NETWORKS IN A COMMUNITY LIKE I
- 7 REPRESENT AND I PROBABLY THINK YOU REPRESENT AS WELL WHERE
- 8 THERE ISN'T THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO HIRE THE DEVELOPMENT WRITER,
- 9 TO HIRE THE PERSON TO DO THE PROCESS OF GOING THROUGH ALL OF
- 10 IT, SO, CONSEQUENTLY, THESE ARE NEIGHBORHOODS THAT GO
- 11 UNSERVED. I CAN GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE. IN EAST LOS ANGELES, WE
- 12 HAVE NOT ONE NONPROFIT IN MENTAL HEALTH. NOT ONE. BECAUSE THEY
- 13 JUST DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO SUSTAIN IT WITH-- THERE ISN'T A
- 14 NONPROFIT IN PLACE. WE'RE TRYING VERY HARD AND HAVE BEEN
- 15 TRYING. WE HAVE THE SAME PROBLEM GOING ON IN THESE AREAS. SO,
- 16 AGAIN, THIS BOARD MADE THIS DETERMINATION. I THINK OUR INPUT
- 17 IS OF VALUE TO L.A. UP AND WE SHOULD STATE IT SO BUT THERE IS
- 18 GOING TO BE A REAL CONCERN. I CAN ALSO-- WE CAN TALK ABOUT
- 19 THOSE THAT GOT FUNDED THAT NOW ARE SUBSIDIZING VERY WEALTHY
- 20 PARENTS BECAUSE THE IDEA IS TO CREATE UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL.
- 21 THAT'S THE INTENT HERE. AND SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CREATE A
- 22 BALANCE. THIS SET OF FUNDING, AS I UNDERSTAND, IS TO HOPEFULLY
- 23 CREATE AN INFRASTRUCTURE, EVEN THOUGH IT'S STILL GOING TO BE
- 24 TOUGH TO DO. SO WE HAVE TO BE CAUTIOUS AS TO HOW WE THROW
- 25 THINGS OUT THERE BECAUSE IT ISN'T-- IT'S GETTING CONVOLUTED.



- 1 THIS IS A VERY FOCUSED SET OF FUNDING AND, YES, THERE ARE
- 2 GOING TO BE PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO BE SAID, YOU KNOW, "NO,
- 3 YOU DON'T QUALIFY BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT IN THE ZIP CODE." THERE'S
- 4 AN ARGUMENT TO BE MADE, BUT WAIT A MINUTE, I SERVE CHILDREN
- 5 FROM THAT ZIP CODE, AND MAYBE THAT IS ONE OF THE ADJUSTMENTS
- 6 THAT NEED TO BE MADE. BUT WE WOULD BE MAKING A MISTAKE TO SAY
- 7 DON'T MAKE AN INVESTMENT, A FOCUSED INVESTMENT IN AN
- 8 INFRASTRUCTURE. THAT WOULD BE A MISTAKE BECAUSE THEN YOU'LL
- 9 NEVER ACHIEVE UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL, JUST LIKE I CANNOT SIT
- 10 THERE AND SAY, DON'T FUND THE WEALTHY DISTRICTS. THAT'S NOT
- 11 FAIR, EITHER. YOU HAVE TO HAVE-- IT'S JUST LIKE CREATING A
- 12 PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM, ALTHOUGH THAT'S NOT A GOOD TERM, I DON'T
- 13 WANT TO SAY THAT BECAUSE THAT'S SUCH A NEGATIVE BUT THE IDEA,
- 14 AGAIN, HOW DO YOU CREATE A UNIVERSAL APPROACH TO THIS? THERE'S
- 15 CERTAIN AREAS THAT NO MATTER HOW YOU LINE THEM UP ECONOMICALLY
- 16 JUST DON'T HAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO MEET THAT NEED AND SO
- 17 THIS IS A FOCUSED, I GUESS YOU CAN SAY A MORE FOCUSED FUNDING
- 18 APPROACH. NOW, GRANTED, I THINK, EVEN ONCE THEY DO IT, THEY'RE
- 19 GOING TO HAVE CONTINUED PROBLEMS BECAUSE THE DEPTH OF THE
- 20 INFRASTRUCTURE PROBLEM IS NOT JUST-- IT ISN'T JUST MONEY. IT'S
- 21 LEADERSHIP, IT IS HAVING A TALENT.
- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT THE MAIN PROBLEM IS ZIP CODES ARE
- 24 NOT BASED UPON ECONOMIC CONSIDERATION. THAT'S AN ARBITRARY
- 25 NUMBER.



- 2 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. THIS IS HOW THEY MADE A
- 3 DETERMINATION TO FOCUS, BASED ON NEEDS, BECAUSE ZIP CODES ALSO
- 4 TOLD THEM THE NUMBER OF FOUR-YEAR-OLDS THAT WERE GOING
- 5 UNSERVED. IT DID GIVE THEM A DATABASE. AGAIN, WE COULD POINT
- 6 OUT ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT WAYS TO DO IT. YOU COULD DO IT BY
- 7 BLOCK BUT THE ISSUE IS, THAT'S HOW THEY DETERMINED TO DO IT
- 8 AND SO, AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND THERE'S AN ISSUE OF, YOU KNOW, MY
- 9 PERSON COMPLAINED THAT THEY DIDN'T GET TO PARTICIPATE BUT WE
- 10 CAN ALSO BRING IT THE OTHER WAY AROUND, AND SO I THINK-- AND
- 11 WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS ONLY A PORTION OF THE HALF
- 12 A BILLION DOLLARS THAT FIRST FIVE COMMITTED TO L.A. UP AND
- 13 HOPEFULLY IT IS BUT A DROP IN THE BUCKET OF THE KIND OF MONEY
- 14 THAT TAXPAYERS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO APPROVE EVENTUALLY IF WE
- 15 HAVE UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL. BUT IF ALL WE EVER SEE IS ONLY FUNDS
- 16 THAT ARE THERE, TAXPAYERS AS A GROUP ARE NOT NECESSARILY GOING
- 17 TO SAY, OH, YES, WE NEED TO BRING UP THE INFRASTRUCTURE IN
- 18 THOSE AREAS THAT ARE GOING UNSERVED WHERE YOU DON'T HAVE FOUR-
- 19 YEAR-OLDS THAT ARE GETTING THAT SERVICE. AND AGAIN, KEEP IN
- 20 MIND, UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL IS A MECHANISM NOT OF CHILDCARE, NOT
- 21 OF CHILDCARE. THIS IS A CHILD DEVELOPMENT EDUCATIONAL
- 22 COMPONENT THAT IS HOPEFULLY GOING TO CREATE A MECHANISM FOR A
- 23 CHILD TO BECOME A BETTER EQUIPPED STUDENT TO GO THROUGH K
- 24 THROUGH 6, MIDDLE SCHOOL, HIGH SCHOOL AND HOPEFULLY ONTO
- 25 WHATEVER HIGHER ED THEY CHOOSE. BUT THAT IS THE ENTIRE PURPOSE



- 1 OF UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL. SO WE HAVE TO BE CAUTIOUS AS TO WHAT
- 2 WE SAY TO L.A. UP AS TO HOW TO DO IT. NOW, I KNOW I GO OVER
- 3 THERE AND SAY IT BECAUSE I AM CONCERNED ABOUT IT BUT I HAVE
- 4 NOT BROUGHT THOSE ISSUES TO THIS BOARD BECAUSE I DON'T WANT
- 5 THIS BOARD TO TELL THEM. THERE'S NO DOUBT WE CAN HAVE OPINIONS
- 6 AND WE SHOULD GO TO THE BOARD MEETINGS AND SAY THOSE THINGS.
- 7 THAT'S OUR RIGHT. WE REPRESENT THOSE CONSTITUENTS AND THOSE
- 8 INTERESTS. BUT I THINK IT'S A PROBLEM FOR THIS BOARD TO TAKE
- 9 AN ACTION ON HOW TO TELL THE BOARD TO DO IT BECAUSE THAT GOES
- 10 AGAINST HOW THIS WAS DEVELOPED AND IT GOES AGAINST TO THE
- 11 APPROACH THAT WE WANT TO DO. THEY HAVE LOOKED AT IT, THEY HAVE
- 12 STUDIED IT AND, YES, IT WAS GOING TO SAY, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO
- 13 PARTICIPATE IN THIS ROUND BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT IN THE ZIP CODE
- 14 THAT MEETS THIS PARTICULAR ROUND. BUT THEY WERE IN THE FIRST
- 15 ROUND AND THEY'RE GOING TO BE IN THE FUTURE ROUNDS.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR KNABE AND THEN SUPERVISOR
- 18 BURKE.

- 20 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, I MEAN, I THINK THE WHOLE THING HERE, I
- 21 MEAN, OBVIOUSLY, I WAS CHAIR AT THE TIME WHEN THIS WHOLE THING
- 22 WENT THROUGH AND I KNOW L.A. UP WOULD LIKE A LOT MORE
- 23 INDEPENDENCE BUT, AS I REMINDED EVERYONE, THEY HAVE ZERO
- 24 DOLLARS IN THEIR BUDGET UNLESS FIRST FIVE FUNDS THEM. SO, I
- 25 MEAN, WE DO HAVE SOME INPUT. BUT I THINK THE BIG THING HERE



- 1 WAS JUST THE SIZE OF THE LAUNCH MORE SO THAN-- I MEAN, THERE
- 2 WAS ONLY ONE WAY TO DO IT AND TRY TO CREATE THESE HOT ZONES
- 3 AND ZIP CODES BECAUSE I HAVE SOME ISSUES IN MY DISTRICT AS
- 4 WELL, TOO, BUT THERE WAS NO WAY THAT THAT ORGANIZATION, IN A
- 5 START-UP MODE, WAS CAPABLE OF DOING SOMETHING COUNTYWIDE AND
- 6 SO THEY HAD TO PICK AND CHOOSE. BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT
- 7 THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT WE CONTINUE TO WATCH THAT ACTION AS TO,
- 8 YOU KNOW, HOW THAT'S FURTHER DEVELOPED BECAUSE, AGAIN, IT IS
- 9 UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL BUT, I MEAN, THERE'S NO WAY THEY COULD
- 10 PHYSICALLY, YOU KNOW, JUMP INTO THE ENTIRE COUNTY AND DO IT
- 11 RIGHT AND THEN ALSO ORIGINALLY, AT LEAST, I ASSUME IT'S STILL
- 12 THAT DIRECTION WAS INSTEAD OF TRYING TO RECREATE THE WHEEL OR
- 13 BUILD NEW BUILDINGS, TO GO TO EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES
- 14 WHERE WE COULD ENHANCE THAT OPPORTUNITY FOR THE YOUNG PEOPLE,
- 15 WHETHER THEY BE RICH OR POOR, TO GET IT STARTED SO THAT WE
- 16 HAVE SOME TRACK RECORD. BUT IT'S AN ONGOING DEBATE. I MEAN,
- 17 IT'S DIFFICULT. I MEAN, I'M JUST SHOCKED AT A COUPLE OF AREAS
- 18 WITHIN THE CONFINES OF MY DISTRICT. AND YOU MENTIONED ONE,
- 19 MIKE, AND THAT'S HAWAIIAN GARDENS BUT YET, WHEN I LOOKED AT
- 20 THE ISSUES, SAY, IN NORTH LONG BEACH, THEY'RE A MUCH MORE
- 21 SIGNIFICANT ISSUE FROM A FOUR-YEAR-OLD'S STANDPOINT, A HIGHER
- 22 POPULATION OF FOUR-YEAR-OLDS IN NORTH LONG BEACH VERSUS
- 23 HAWAIIAN GARDENS. BUT IT'S, YOU KNOW, A VERY POOR AREA AS
- 24 WELL, TOO.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 3 SUP. BURKE: WE NEED TO REMEMBER THAT IT TOOK A YEAR TO PUT
- 4 THIS TOGETHER, THIS PROPOSAL AND KAREN HILL SCOTT, WHO WAS
- 5 RETAINED AS THE CONSULTANT BY THAT TASK FORCE, SPENT A YEAR
- 6 AND GOT INPUT FROM ALL OF US. WE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SUGGEST
- 7 OTHER ALTERNATIVES AND DIFFERENT WAYS TO DO IT BUT, AFTER A
- 8 TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION, IT WAS ADOPTED THAT ZIP CODES
- 9 WOULD BE THE APPROACH. NOW, OF COURSE, IT'S ALWAYS GREAT TO
- 10 LATER COME IN AND SAY WE DON'T LIKE THE WAY THE ZIP CODE
- 11 APPROACH WORKED BUT LET ME REMIND YOU, THIS ISN'T ONLY MONEY.
- 12 THIS IS JUST THOSE MONEYS THAT ARE IN UNIVERSAL CHILDCARE. YOU
- 13 CAN GO DIRECTLY TO FIRST FIVE, AS YOU KNOW, AND YOU CAN APPLY
- 14 AND THEY STILL HAVE AN APPROACH OF WHERE THERE ARE FUNDS THAT
- 15 THEY ARE ALLOCATING FOR CHILDCARE AND FOR ANY NUMBER OF OTHER
- 16 THINGS THROUGH FIRST FIVE. BUT THIS WAS AN APPROACH THAT WAS
- 17 ADOPTED AFTER CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION. I REMEMBER WE HAD
- 18 DISCUSSIONS FOR PROBABLY THREE MONTHS ON THE CONSTITUTION OF
- 19 THE BOARD OF UP. SO IT WASN'T AS IF THESE THINGS WERE JUST
- 20 ADOPTED OFF THE TOP OF ANYONE'S HEAD. THIS WAS A LOT OF TIME,
- 21 CONSULTANT REPORTS, REVIEW OF CONSULTANT REPORTS, REVIEW OF
- 22 BYLAWS, REVIEW OF ALL OF THOSE THINGS AND I BELIEVE, AT THIS
- 23 POINT, WE CAN GO BACK AND ASK THEM TO SET UP A DIFFERENT
- 24 MECHANISM BUT THEY SHOULD ALSO THEN BRING IN CONSULTANTS TO
- 25 COME UP WITH SOME MECHANISM TO ALLOCATE FUNDS AGAIN RATHER



- 1 THAN FOR US SAY OKAY, WE WANT TO THROW EVERYTHING OUT, WITHOUT
- 2 SAYING WHAT MECHANISM WE WOULD USE OR METHODOLOGY FOR
- 3 ALLOCATING THE FUNDS. WHETHER OR NOT WE LIKE ALL OF THE THINGS
- 4 THAT ARE HAPPENING, ONCE YOU ADOPT SOMETHING LIKE THIS, YOU
- 5 CAN-- AND I BELIEVE, IN THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS, THERE WAS
- 6 PROVISION TO HAVE SOME KIND OF UPDATE AND SOME KIND OF INPUT
- 7 TO EVALUATE. EVALUATION WAS PLACED IN THE METHODOLOGY. SO WHEN
- 8 THE EVALUATION COMES BACK, CERTAINLY THIS IS THE KIND OF INPUT
- 9 WE WOULD PUT INTO THAT EVALUATION PROCESS. ALL OF US WERE VERY
- 10 INVOLVED IN HOW THEY SELECT THE BOARD. SO, THROUGH THAT BOARD,
- 11 WE CAN APPEAR BEFORE THAT BOARD AND, IN THE EVALUATION
- 12 PROCESS, SUGGEST THAT THEY GO OUT AND DEVELOP A NEW
- 13 METHODOLOGY. MEANWHILE, THOSE AREAS THAT ARE NOT BEING
- 14 CONSIDERED WHERE THERE'S A TREMENDOUS NEED THROUGH OTHER AREAS
- 15 OF FIRST FIVE THERE'S ABILITY TO APPLY AND WE SHOULD RECOGNIZE
- 16 THIS AND SAY TO THE PEOPLE IN OUR DISTRICTS WHO ARE UNHAPPY
- 17 THAT THEY AREN'T GETTING FUNDS THAT THEY SHOULD GO THROUGH
- 18 THAT MECHANISM AND ALSO, IF THEY BELIEVE SOMETHING ELSE WOULD
- 19 BE FAIRER, THAT THEY CAN COME IN AND ADOPT A DIFFERENT
- 20 METHODOLOGY. BUT IT'S NOT BEING CUTE WHEN YOU SAY THAT THERE'S
- 21 NOT ENOUGH MONEY TO GIVE TO EVERYBODY AND YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 22 TO ADOPT SOME METHOD AND HOW YOU'RE GOING TO APPROACH IT. AND
- 23 I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE PARTICIPATE AND GO ATTEND THE UP
- 24 MEETINGS, COMMUNICATE WITH THOSE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD THAT WE



- 1 WANT TO SEE IN THE EVALUATION PROCESS THAT THERE BE A
- 2 REEVALUATION OF THE METHODOLOGY.

3

- 4 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: AND I WOULD SAY THAT WE WOULD VERY MUCH
- 5 WELCOME THAT. IT WAS THE EVALUATION OF THE FIRST ROUND THAT
- 6 LED TO THE PARAMETERS THAT WERE DECIDED FOR THE SECOND ROUND
- 7 OF FUNDING AND, AS WE COMPLETE THE SECOND ROUND OF FUNDING AND
- 8 EVALUATE ITS IMPACT, THOSE LESSONS LEARNED WILL BE FOLDED INTO
- 9 THE NEXT STEPS THAT WE TAKE, SO WE VERY MUCH WELCOME A MEETING
- 10 WITH EVERYONE IN GETTING INPUT THAT WOULD HELP INFORM OUR
- 11 PRACTICES BETTER. ABSOLUTELY.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME JUST SAY THAT-- AMEND THE
- 14 MOTION TO ASK THAT YOU CONSIDER THE COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE
- 15 TODAY AND THE DISPARITIES THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED RELATIVE TO
- 16 USING AN ARBITRARY NUMBER, THE ZIP CODE, WHICH IS-- REFLECTS
- 17 ABOUT 9% OF THE ENTIRE COUNTY AND LEAVES OUT POCKETS OF
- 18 POVERTY IN THE SAN GABRIEL, MID CITY, ANTELOPE, SANTA CLARITA
- 19 VALLEYS, SAN GABRIEL VALLEYS AS WELL. SO, WITH THAT
- 20 UNDERSTANDING, ASKING THEM TO TAKE THE INPUT.

- 22 SUP. BURKE: I DON'T THINK THIS IS THE TIME TO DO IT. I THINK
- 23 THAT, RATHER THAN US TELLING THEM TO CHANGE, WHAT WE SHOULD DO
- 24 IS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE EVALUATION OF HOW THE EFFECTIVENESS
- 25 IS OF THE PROGRAM. AND, AS IT'S WORDED NOW, I'M VERY



- 1 UNCOMFORTABLE WITH IT BUT IF YOU WANT TO REWORD IT IN SOME
- 2 OTHER WAY SO THAT, RATHER THAN SAYING TO INCLUDE OTHER AREAS
- 3 THAT WE SAY TO REEVALUATE THE METHODOLOGY.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT, TO REEVALUATE THE METHODOLOGY.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: AND TO TAKE CONSIDERATION -- TO EVALUATE THE
- 8 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PRESENT METHODOLOGY AND TO CONSIDER OTHER
- 9 ALTERNATIVES.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. THAT'S WHAT
- 12 I'M TALKING ABOUT.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: NO. WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT IS TELLING THEM THAT
- 15 THEY HAVE TO INCLUDE OTHER AREAS.

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO. WHAT I'M TELLING THEM IS THAT THE
- 18 ARBITRARY NUMBER THAT THEY HAVE USED TODAY TO DETERMINE THEIR
- 19 FUNDING, THEY HAVE LEFT OFF GREAT POCKETS OF CHILDREN WHO ARE
- 20 IN POVERTY LEVELS WHO ARE NOT BEING SERVED AND THEY'RE BEING
- 21 DISCRIMINATED AGAINST BECAUSE OF A ZIP CODE NUMBER WHICH THEY
- 22 HAD NO CONTROL OVER. AND, WHEN THIS PROPOSITION WAS PASSED, IT
- 23 WAS PASSED TO INCLUDE CHILDREN, NOT CHILDREN IN 9% OF THE ZIP
- 24 CODES, SO THEY HAVE TO EVALUATE -- REEVALUATE THEIR FORMULA



- 1 THAT THEY USE TO ENSURE THAT POOR CHILDREN ARE GOING TO BE
- 2 SERVED.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. I'LL ASK FOR A ROLL CALL ON IT, PLEASE.

5

6 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: SO THE MOTION...

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON THE AMENDMENT, YEAH.

9

10 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: I DON'T HAVE A SECOND.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

13

- 14 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: AND THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERING THE
- 15 COMMENTS THAT WERE HEARD TODAY AND THE DISPARITY OF USING THE
- 16 ZIP CODES AND TO REEVALUATE THE METHODOLOGY?

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: FOR FUTURE FUNDING.

19

20 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: FOR FUTURE FUNDING. SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

23

24 **CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO:** SUPERVISOR BURKE.



1 SUP. BURKE: NO.

2

3 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. YES.

4

5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR KNABE. NO.

6

7 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AYE.

10

11 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: THE MOTION FAILS.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I APPRECIATE IF YOU WOULD YOU TAKE
- 14 THOSE CONCERNS BACK AND YOU UNDERSTAND WHERE WE'RE COMING
- 15 FROM.

16

17 DR. GARY MANGIOFICO: ABSOLUTELY. THANK YOU FOR HAVING US.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE: WELL, AND I SHARE SOME OF THOSE CONCERNS AND I
- 20 THINK YOU, AS CHAIR OF THAT BOARD, HAVE A LOT OF INPUT TO
- 21 RAISE THE LEVEL OF THAT CONVERSATION.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: YOU CAN'T SPEND A YEAR DOING SOMETHING AND THEN
- 24 SOMEONE SAYS, OKAY, WE WANT TO CHANGE IT WITHOUT COMING UP
- 25 WITH SOME OTHER ALTERNATIVE THAT YOU BELIEVE IS GOING TO



- 1 PROVIDE A BETTER RESULT. AND I BELIEVE THAT THAT'S WHAT HAS TO
- 2 COME UP, NOT SAYING, WELL, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO USE ZIP CODES.
- 3 WELL, COME UP WITH SOMETHING ELSE BUT TELLING THEM NOT TO USE
- 4 ZIP CODES ANY MORE, I JUST DON'T THINK IS THE APPROACH.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. FIRST DISTRICT, DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 7 ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS? ANY ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS? SECOND DISTRICT,
- 8 ADJOURNING MOTIONS? FIRST, LET ME SAY THAT...

9

10 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE TWO ADJOURNMENTS.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ...AGENDA NUMBER THREE AND FOUR, WE
- 13 HAVE THE BALLOT TABULATIONS FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING.

14

15 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. DID THE FOURTH DISTRICT HAVE ADJOURNMENTS?

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO. FIRST HAD NO ADJOURNMENTS.

- 19 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: ON ITEM 3, MR. MAYOR, AFTER TABULATING
- 20 THE BALLOTS, A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT NO MAJORITY
- 21 PROTEST EXISTS AGAINST THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION AND LEVYING OF
- 22 ASSESSMENTS FOR PROJECT NUMBER L092-2004 WITHIN COUNTY
- 23 LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY LIGHTING
- 24 DISTRICT LLA-1 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED ZONE WHITTIER AREA. AS A
- 25 RESULT, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE BOARD TO ADOPT THE



- 1 RESOLUTION TO ANNEX AND LEVY ASSESSMENTS FOR PROJECT NUMBER
- 2 L092-2004 WITHIN THE COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687
- 3 AND COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED ZONE
- 4 WHITTIER AREA AND ACCEPT THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES
- 5 RESULTING FROM ANNEXATION.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 8 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: ON ITEM NUMBER 4, MR. MAYOR, AFTER
- 11 TABULATING THE BALLOTS, A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT NO
- 12 MAJORITY PROTEST EXISTS AGAINST THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION AND
- 13 LEVYING OF ASSESSMENTS FOR PETITION NUMBER 140-902 WITHIN
- 14 COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY LIGHTING
- 15 DISTRICT LLA-1 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED ZONE CITY OF INDUSTRY
- 16 AREA. AS A RESULT, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE BOARD TO
- 17 ADOPT THE RESOLUTION TO ANNEX TO ANNEX AND LEVY ASSESSMENTS
- 18 FOR PETITION NUMBER 140-902 WITHIN THE COUNTY LIGHTING
- 19 MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1
- 20 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED ZONE, CITY OF INDUSTRY AREA AND ACCEPT
- 21 THE EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES RESULTING FROM THE
- 22 ANNEXATION.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 25 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR BURKE, YOUR ADJOURNMENTS.



- 2 SUP. BURKE: I MOVE THAT, WHEN WE ADJOURN TODAY, WE ADJOURN IN
- 3 THE MEMORY OF PETE FRANKEL, A RETIRED HAWTHORNE POLICE
- 4 LIEUTENANT. HE PASSED AWAY FEBRUARY 10TH AT THE AGE OF 62 IN
- 5 SUN CITY. HE'D SUFFERED A HEART ATTACK SEVERAL MONTHS AGO. HE
- 6 SERVED AS A CITIZEN OF THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE AS A POLICE
- 7 OFFICER FROM 1968 UNTIL HIS RETIREMENT IN '88. HE WAS A MEMBER
- 8 OF THE FORCE-- WHILE HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE FORCE HE WAS SHOT-
- 9 I'M SORRY. HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE FORCE WHEN HE SHOT THREE
- 10 MANSON FAMILY MEMBERS WHO WERE ATTEMPTING A ROBBERY IN 1971.
- 11 HE RESPONDED TO A SILENT ALARM AT THE WESTERN SURPLUS STORE ON
- 12 HAWTHORNE IN 1971 AND MANSON FAMILY MEMBERS WERE HOLDING THREE
- 13 CLERKS AND TWO CUSTOMERS AT GUNPOINT AS THEY RAIDED THE STORE.
- 14 HE WILL BE MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. AND OCTAVIA
- 15 BUTLER, AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN AUTHOR WHO OPENED THE GALAXIES OF
- 16 SCIENCE FICTION WHOSE THOUGHTFUL, PROVOKING NOVELS OF SCIENCE
- 17 FICTION INSPIRED NEW READERS AND WRITERS TO EXPLORE THE
- 18 GENTRY. PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 58 ON SATURDAY, FEBRUARY
- 19 25TH, OF AN APPARENT STROKE. SHE WAS A MATRIARCH THAT WAS
- 20 KNOWN NOT ONLY TO HER FAMILY BUT TO ALL OF US WHO HAD LOOKED
- 21 AT HER WORK. SHE IS A MOTHER AND A GRANDMOTHER AND WE WILL
- 22 PROVIDE YOU WITH THE NAMES OF HER GRANDCHILDREN AND HER
- 23 CHILDREN. AND ALBERT COHEN, BELOVED FATHER OF LAWRENCE COHEN.
- 24 HE DIED ON JANUARY 19TH AT THE AGE OF 82. HE WAS A WORLD WAR I
- 25 VETERAN. HE HAD BEEN A PROMINENT BEVERLY HILLS HAIRDRESSER BUT



- 1 LATER BECAME A BUILDING CONTRACTOR AND HIS SON IS LAWRENCE
- 2 COHEN.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 5 ORDERED. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE SEVERAL. I DON'T KNOW IF ANYONE DID
- 8 OTIS CHANDLER. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE
- 9 MEMORY OF OTIS CHANDLER, FORMER CONSTITUENT -- ALL MEMBERS.
- 10 FORMER PUBLISHER OF THE "LOS ANGELES TIMES" FROM 1960 TO 1980
- 11 AND SOMEONE I GOT TO KNOW A LITTLE BIT OVER THE YEARS AND VERY
- 12 INTERESTING AND VARIED INDIVIDUAL BUT OBVIOUSLY KNOWN FOR
- 13 BRINGING THE "LOS ANGELES TIMES" FROM WHAT WAS A PROVINCIAL
- 14 NEWSPAPER LARGELY TO ONE OF THE WORLD'S GREAT NEWSPAPERS WHEN
- 15 HE LEFT IT AND HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, PATINA CHANDLER AND
- 16 SON, HARRY, MICHAEL AND HIS DAUGHTER, KATHLEEN ECKERT AND
- 17 CAROLYN CHANDLER. I'D LIKE TO JOIN MR. ANTONOVICH ON DON
- 18 KNOTTS. HE WAS A CONSTITUENT OF MINE. WONDERFUL HUMAN BEING.
- 19 ONE OF THE FUNNIEST PEOPLE YOU'D EVER WANT TO BE IN THE SAME
- 20 ROOM WITH. RICKIE LAYNE, LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF NORTHRIDGE AND
- 21 A POPULAR VENTRILOQUIST WHO PERFORMED VERY OFTEN ON THE ED
- 22 SULLIVAN SHOW, WHICH MADE HIM NATIONALLY FAMOUS WITH HIS
- 23 PUPPET, VELVEL. I ACTUALLY USED TO LOVE WATCHING VELVEL
- 24 BECAUSE VELVEL IN YIDDISH MEANS WOLF AND MY NAME IN HEBREW



- 1 MEANS WOLF, AS MY DAD WOULD FREQUENTLY REMIND ME AND SO I
- 2 LOOKED AT THAT DUMMY AND THOUGHT IT WAS ME.

3

4 SUP. KNABE: WE WILL, TOO. WE WILL REMIND YOU NOW.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, I KNOW. HE WAS A GREATER ENTERTAINER
- 7 AND RESIDENT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. HE WILL BE MISSED. DARREN
- 8 MCGAVIN, EMMY-WINNING ACTOR FOR NEARLY 50 YEARS WHO PASSED
- 9 AWAY AT THE AGE OF 83. SURVIVED BY HIS CHILDREN, BOGART
- 10 MCGAVIN, BRIDGETTE MEGHAN-YORK MCGAVIN, ALL OF BEVERLY HILLS.
- 11 EMILY MEHLMAN, WIFE OF RABBI BERNARD MEHLMAN OF BOSTON AND
- 12 RELATIVE OF LAURA BRANSON HEIMAN, PRINCIPAL OF THE TEMPLE
- 13 ISRAEL HOLLYWOOD RELIGIOUS SCHOOL PASSED AWAY. KENNETH ROSS,
- 14 FOUNDER OF THE LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS, WHO
- 15 WAS BEST KNOWN FOR HIS SIGNAL 1970S ACHIEVEMENTS IN
- 16 SPEARHEADING THE RESTORATION OF FRANK LLOYD WRIGHT'S HOLLY HOC
- 17 HOUSE AND PRESERVING SIMON RODIA'S WATTS TOWERS SCULPTURES WHO
- 18 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 95. AND ALSO LIKE TO BE-- TO JOIN ON
- 19 DENNIS WEAVER. I THINK ALL OF US PROBABLY WOULD. DENNIS WAS A
- 20 GREAT ENVIRONMENTALIST BOTH HERE AND IN COLORADO AND HAD A
- 21 TREMENDOUS NATIONAL IMPACT ON THOSE KINDS OF ISSUES OF
- 22 ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- ORDERED.

24

25

#### The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE-- THERE WERE A COUPLE 2 3 OF ITEMS THAT ARE BEING HELD. 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ITEM 22. 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HUH? 7 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ITEM 22. 9 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ITEM 22. YOU HAVE A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC? 11 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. CLAVREUL? 13 14 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. 15 16 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I'M GLAD TO SEE A CHANGE IN SOME OF 17 THE LOBBYING BEHAVIOR, BUT TO LIMIT IT TO ONE YEAR IS KIND OF 18 A JOKE. IN A BUSINESS AREA, WHEN WE HAVE NONCOMPETITION 19 AGREEMENTS, THAT WOULD BE VERY SIMILAR TO THAT, IT'S A MINIMUM OF THREE TO FIVE YEARS AND I THINK THAT'S THE WAY IT SHOULD 20 21 BE, THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE WORKING FOR THE GOVERNMENT 22 THAN BEING A LOBBYIST FOR ANOTHER COMPANY AND VICE VERSA. I THINK, YOU KNOW, DEFINITELY THERE IS A LOT OF HANKY-PANKY 23

GOING ON AND I'M NOT IN FAVOR OF IT. A GOOD EXAMPLE IS THE

OFFICE OF A.I.D.S. YOU HAVE NUMEROUS PEOPLE WHO WORK FOR THE



- 1 OFFICE OF A.I.D.S. AND THEY GO TO WORK FOR A A.I.D.S.
- 2 ORGANIZATION, THEN COME BACK TO O.A.P.P. AND VICE VERSA. I
- 3 MEAN, IT'S A JOKE. I THINK, YOU KNOW, THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME
- 4 SEPARATION AND I THINK IF YOU WORK FOR THE GOVERNMENT OR THE
- 5 COUNTY OR WHATEVER, YOU SHOULD AT LEAST BE THREE YEARS BEFORE
- 6 YOU CAN NEGOTIATE A CONTRACT AND BE A LOBBYIST FOR A COMPANY.
- 7 I THINK THAT'S, YOU KNOW, REALLY APPALLING. AND I HOPE YOU
- 8 TAKE A DECISION NOT IN 30 DAYS BUT TODAY AND MORE PENALTY.
- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.
- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE IT.

9

11

13

16

18

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED. ANY
- 15 OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.
- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE BEING HELD?
- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PUBLIC COMMENT. WE'LL RECESS INTO
- 20 EXECUTIVE SESSION.
- 22 CLERK ROBIN GUERRERO: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT
- 23 REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF
- 24 SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-





1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors February 28, 2006,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 2nd
16	day of March 2006 for the County records to be used only for
17	authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	